

COMMUTATORS IN DIVISION RINGS

BRUNO HARRIS

1. Introduction. A theorem of Wedderburn [4] states: if every element of a finite dimensional associative algebra is a sum of nilpotent elements, then the algebra is nil. In [1], Kaplansky asked whether this theorem could be generalized to rings with minimum condition, and mentioned that an equivalent question is:

Does there exist a division ring D with $D = [D, D]$? (Here $[D, D]$ denotes the subgroup of the additive group of D generated by all additive commutators $[x, y] = xy - yx$.) An affirmative answer to the second question is equivalent to a negative answer to the first. In the finite dimensional case, the trace function shows that the second question has a negative answer.

In this paper we give an example of a division ring D with $D = [D, D]$. In fact every element of D is itself a commutator, and D_n (the $n \times n$ matrix ring with coefficients in D) has the same property.

2. Construction of the division ring. Ore has shown that a non-commutative integral domain in which every two nonzero elements have a nonzero common right multiple can be imbedded in a division ring of (right) fractions [2]. He has also shown that the integral domain of differential polynomials in one variable with coefficients in a division ring has the common right multiple property. We show that a certain ring of differential polynomials in an infinite number of variables also has the common right multiple property, and that the division ring of fraction satisfies $D = [D, D]$ and even the stronger properties mentioned above.

Let F be a field, $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$ two infinite sets of variables with the same ordered index set I , and $P = F[\{x_i\}, \{y_i\}]$ the set of formal polynomial expressions

$$\sum a_{n(i_1) \cdots n(i_k)m(j_1) \cdots m(j_l)} x_{i_1}^{n(i_1)} \cdots x_{i_k}^{n(i_k)} y_{j_1}^{m(j_1)} \cdots y_{j_l}^{m(j_l)}$$

where $i_1 < \cdots < i_k, j_1 < \cdots < j_l$ are in I , $a \cdots$ are in F , and only a finite number of terms occur in the sum. Define addition of polynomials the usual way, and multiplication by $[x_i, x_j] = 0 = [y_i, y_j]$, $[x_i, a] = 0 = [y_i, a]$ for $a \in F$, $[x_i, y_i] = 1$, $[x_i, y_j] = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

We show P has the common right multiple property by a method due to Tamari [3]:

Received by the editors February 17, 1958.

For $p \in P$, let $\text{deg}(p)$ denote the total degree of p in the x_i and y_i ; then $\text{deg}(pq) = \text{deg } p + \text{deg } q$. Let p, q be nonzero polynomials, each of degree $< l$. The problem of finding a common nonzero right multiple $t = pr = qs$ of degree l and such that r and s each contain only those variables that occur in p or in q is the same as the problem of solving a finite number of linear homogeneous equations in a finite number of unknowns: the coefficients of r and s are the unknowns, and each term of $t = pr = qs$ gives an equation. If m is the larger of $\text{deg } p, \text{deg } q$ and v is the number of variables that occur in p or in q then the number of equations is the same as the number of distinct monomials of degree $\leq l$ in v variables, i.e. $C_{v+l,v}$, and the number of terms in each of r, s is $\geq C_{v+l-m,v}$. Thus we have $C_{v+l,v}$ equations in at least $2C_{v+l-m,v}$ unknowns. If $l \geq m/(1-2^{-l/v})$, there are more unknowns than equations and a nonzero solution exists.

Let D be the division ring of fractions $p/q = pq^{-1}$, $p, q \in P$. If $d = pq^{-1} \in D$, each of p, q contains only a finite number of the variables y_i , and so, for some index n , y_n does not occur in p or in q . Then $[x_n, p] = 0 = [x_n, q]$ and $[x_n, d] = 0$, $[x_n, y_n d] = [x_n, y_n]d = d$. Similarly, if d_1, \dots, d_r are a finite number of elements of D , then y_n does not occur in any of the d_j for some n , and so $[x_n, y_n d_j] = d_j, j = 1, \dots, r$. In particular if (d_{ij}) is an $m \times m$ matrix over D , we can find n such that $[x_n, y_n d_{ij}] = d_{ij}$, for all i, j . Let $c_{ij} = y_n d_{ij}$ and let (x_n) be the matrix $x_n I, I$ the identity matrix; then $[(x_n), (c_{ij})] = (d_{ij})$.

3. Commutators and nilpotent matrices. We owe the first proposition and its proof to Professor Kaplansky, and the rest of the section is an amplification of his remarks in [1].

PROPOSITION 1. *Let R be any ring, R_n the $n \times n$ matrix ring over R , ($n \geq 2$). If $A \in R_n$ and trace of $A \in [R, R]$, then A is a sum of nilpotent elements. In particular, if $A \in [R_n, R_n]$ then A is a sum of nilpotent elements.*

PROOF. Any matrix is the sum of a diagonal matrix (i.e. one with zeros off the main diagonal) and two nilpotent matrices, so that for our purposes only the diagonal elements matter. The following 2×2 matrices are nilpotent:

$$\begin{pmatrix} ab & a \\ -bab & -ba \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} -ba & -ba \\ ba & ba \end{pmatrix}, \text{ also } \begin{pmatrix} -d & -d \\ d & d \end{pmatrix};$$

thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} [ab] & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a sum of nilpotent matrices.

Now let $A = (a_{ij}) \in R_n$, and $\sum_1^n a_{ii} = c \in [R, R]$, so $a_{11} = c - (a_{22} + \cdots + a_{nn})$. Let xe_{ij} denote the matrix with x in the i, j position and zeros elsewhere. Using the above 2×2 matrices, we see that ce_{11} and $-a_{ii}e_{11} + a_{ii}e_{ii}$, $i \geq 2$, are sums of nilpotent matrices; therefore this also holds for $a_{11}e_{11} + \cdots + a_{nn}e_{nn}$, and finally for A . If $A \in [R_n, R_n]$, then trace of $A \in [R, R]$.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let D be any division ring, A an element of D_n , $n \geq 2$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $A \in [D_n, D_n]$,
- (b) trace of $A \in [D, D]$,
- (c) A is a sum of nilpotent elements.

PROOF. We have already shown $a \rightarrow b$, $b \rightarrow c$.

Define a "trace modulo commutators," $\text{tr}(A)$, as the coset of the ordinary trace of A in the factor group of the additive group of D modulo $[D, D]$. Then $\text{tr}(A+B) = \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$, and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$. Now let A be nilpotent: then there exists a nonsingular B such that BAB^{-1} is in Jordan canonical form, i.e. BAB^{-1} is a sum of matrices of the form $n_{k,l} = e_{k+1,k} + e_{k+2,k+1} + \cdots + e_{l+1,l}$, $1 \leq k \leq l \leq n-1$. Finally, $[\sum_{i=k}^{l+1} (i+1-k)e_{i,i}, n_{k,l}] = n_{k,l}$; thus $n_{k,l}$ and also A are in $[R_n, R_n]$. This shows (c) \rightarrow (a).

COROLLARY. *If D is a division ring such that $D = [D, D]$, then every element of D_n , $n \geq 2$, is a sum of nilpotent elements.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. I. Kaplansky, *Problems in the theory of rings*, Report of a Conference on Linear Algebras, Long Island, New York, June, 1956, National Academy of Sciences. National Research Council Publication 502, pp. 1-3.
2. O. Ore, *Linear equations in noncommutative fields*, Ann. of Math. vol. 34 (1933) pp. 480-508.
3. D. Tamari, *On the embedding of Birkhoff-Witt rings in quotient fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4 (1953) pp. 197-202.
4. J. H. M. Wedderburn, *Note on algebras*, Ann. of Math. vol. 38 (1937) pp. 854-856.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY