

INVERSION OF AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM¹

HARRY POLLARD AND HAROLD WIDOM

1. **Introduction.** It is the object of this note to give an inversion formula for the integral transform,

$$(1) \quad f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} k(y)\phi(x+y)dy, \quad x > 0$$

under the assumptions:

- (i) $k \in L(0, \infty)$;
- (ii) $k \neq 0$ in the neighborhood of zero;
- (iii) the Laplace transform

$$K(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx}k(x)dx$$

has no zeros in the closed right half-plane;

- (iv) $\phi \in L_p(0, \infty)$ for some p in $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Formally such a formula can be obtained as follows. Define ϕ and k to be zero for negative values of the argument, and define f by the equation in (1) for all real x . If we denote Fourier transformation by a circumflex, i.e.

$$\hat{g}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx}g(x)dx$$

it follows from (1) that

$$\hat{f}(t) = \hat{k}(-t)\hat{\phi}(t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx}\hat{\phi}(t)dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \frac{\hat{f}(t)}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \frac{dt}{\hat{k}(-t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ity} f(y)dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y)dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Presented to the Society April 18, 1958 under the title *Inversion of a class of convolutions*; received by the editors January 2, 1958 and, in revised form, February 17, 1958.

¹ This research was supported by the United States Air Force under Contract No. Af18(600)-685 monitored by the Office of Scientific Research.

This last formula is clearly unsatisfactory since $f(x)$ is given only for $x > 0$. However under our assumptions on k it happens that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt = 0, \quad y < 0,$$

so the formula becomes

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x + y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt.$$

The actual results which we shall prove, motivated by this formula, are these

THEOREM 1. *Under hypotheses (i)–(iv) the equation (1) is inverted by*

$$\phi(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x + y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it(y-\delta) - \epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt.$$

THEOREM 2. *Under hypotheses (i)–(iv) the equation (1) is inverted by*

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x + y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-i\delta t}}{i\delta t} \frac{e^{ity - \epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt,$$

for almost all x , and at all points of right-continuity of ϕ .

An alternative technique, suggested by Sparenberg [3], seems difficult to apply.

2. Lemmas. We need Hayman’s extension [1, Theorem 2] of the Ahlfors-Heins principle.²

LEMMA 1. *If $u(z)$ is subharmonic in the half-plane $y > 0$ and if*

(a)
$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow x} u(z) \leq 0,$$

$$\alpha = \sup_{y > 0} \frac{u(x + iy)}{y}$$

(b)
$$\alpha_0 = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{|z|=r; y>0} u(z) < \infty$$

then $\alpha_0 = \max(\alpha, 0)$ and $\lim u(re^{i\theta})/r = \alpha \sin \theta$ uniformly for $0 < \theta < \pi$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ omitting an r -set of finite logarithmic length (i.e., a set E with $\int_E r^{-1} dr < \infty$).

² The authors are indebted to Professor W. H. J. Fuchs for calling their attention to this lemma, basic for their results.

LEMMA 2. Under the hypotheses (i)–(iii) imposed on k the function $1/\hat{k}(z)$ has the property

$$\frac{1}{\hat{k}(z)} = O(e^{\epsilon|z|}), \quad \epsilon > 0$$

on a sequence of semi-circular arcs $|z| = r_n$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, with $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that $\hat{k}(z) = K(-iz)$ so that $1/\hat{k}(z) \neq 0$ for $y \geq 0$. We may suppose that $|\hat{k}(z)| \leq 1$ for $y \geq 0$.

It follows from hypotheses (i)–(iii) that \hat{k} has the representation

$$u(z) = \log |\hat{k}(x + iy)| = \frac{y}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log |\hat{k}(v)|}{y^2 + (x - v)^2} dv$$

for $y > 0$. See, for example, Nyman [2, pp. 13, 29].

Since $\log |\hat{k}(v)| \leq 0$ it follows from the representation that $u(z) \leq 0$ and also that $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} u(z)/y = 0$. This confirms hypothesis (a) of Lemma 1 and shows that $\alpha = 0$. (b) is also a consequence of the representation.

Hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(re^{i\theta})}{r} = 0$$

with the prescribed uniformity. This establishes the lemma.

LEMMA 3. Under the hypotheses (i)–(iii) $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \log |\hat{k}(iu)|/u = 0$.

This is an immediate consequence of the representation of $u(z)$.

3. The inversion formulas. It follows from (1) and the hypotheses (i), (iv) that

$$\hat{f}(t) = \hat{k}(-t)\hat{\phi}(t)$$

where the Fourier transforms are taken in the appropriate sense. Therefore (by imitating the proof of Theorem 59 in Titchmarsh [4]) we have

$$\phi_\epsilon(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \phi_\epsilon(x) \quad \text{p.p.}$$

where

$$\phi_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} e^{-\epsilon|t|} \frac{\hat{f}(t)}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_\epsilon(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-itx} e^{-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt \stackrel{(q)}{\text{l.i.m.}} \int_{-a}^a e^{ity} f(y) dy \\
 (2) \quad &= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-itx} e^{-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt \int_{-a}^a e^{ity} f(y) dy \\
 (3) \quad &= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^a f(y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it(y-x)} e^{-\epsilon|t|}}{k(-t)} dt \\
 (4) \quad &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity} e^{-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $q = p/(p-1)$. (2) is justified since $e^{-\epsilon|t|}/\hat{k}(-t)$ is in $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, (3) by Fubini's theorem, and (4) because the inner integral as a function of y is in $L_q(-\infty, \infty)$.

Now let

$$R_\epsilon(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt$$

and consider the integral of

$$\frac{e^{iwy-\epsilon w}}{\hat{k}(-w)},$$

as a function of w , over the contour consisting of the intervals $(0, R)$ and $(0, -iR)$ and the quadrant of the circle joining R and $-iR$. If $y < 0$ it follows by Lemma 2 that the integral over the quadrant approaches zero as $R = r_n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{ity-\epsilon t}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{r_n} \frac{e^{uy+ieu}}{\hat{k}(iu)} du.$$

Similarly

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{ity+\epsilon t}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{r_n} \frac{e^{uy-ieu}}{\hat{k}(iu)} du.$$

Thus for $y < 0$

$$R_\epsilon(y) = 2i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{r_n} \frac{e^{uy} \sin \epsilon u}{\hat{k}(iu)} du = 2i \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{uy} \sin \epsilon u}{\hat{k}(iu)} du.$$

The last step is justified by Lemma 3 which enables us to conclude also that

$$\stackrel{(q)}{\text{l.i.m.}}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} R_\epsilon(y) = 0 \quad \text{on } (-\infty, -\delta)$$

for each $\delta > 0$. According to (4)

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x + y) R_{\epsilon}(y) dy$$

and so

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\infty} f(x + y) R_{\epsilon}(y) dy \quad \text{p.p.}$$

for each $\delta > 0$. Consequently for each $\delta > 0$

$$(5) \quad \phi(x + \delta) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x + y) R_{\epsilon}(y - \delta) dy$$

and Theorem 1 follows.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 2. We have (by imitating the proof of Theorem 19 of Titchmarsh [4]) $\phi(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+}^{(p)} \phi_{\epsilon}(x)$, so for any fixed x , $\phi(x + h) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+}^{(p)} \phi_{\epsilon}(x + h)$ over any h -interval $(0, \delta)$. Therefore, by the argument leading to (5),

$$\phi(x + h) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+}^{(1)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x + y) R_{\epsilon}(y - h) dy,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\delta} \phi(x + h) dh &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\delta} dh \int_0^{\infty} f(x + y) R_{\epsilon}(y - h) dy \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x + y) dy \int_0^{\delta} R_{\epsilon}(y - h) dh \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x + y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{i\delta t}}{i\delta t} \frac{e^{ity - \epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 2 follows.

REFERENCES

1. W. K. Hayman, *Questions of regularity connected with the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle*, J. Math. Pures Appl. vol. 35 (1956) pp. 115–126.
2. B. Nyman, *On the one-dimensional translation group and semi-group in certain function spaces*, Upsala, 1950.
3. J. A. Sparenberg, *Application of the theory of sectionally holomorphic functions to Wiener-Hopf type integral equations*, Nederl. Akademie Wetensch. Proceedings Ser. A. vol. 59 (1956) pp. 29–34.
4. E. C. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals*, Oxford, 1937

CORNELL UNIVERSITY