

# ON NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JOHN JONES, JR.

1. The purpose of this paper is to consider the existence of large zeros for solutions of a class of nonlinear second order differential equations considered recently by C. T. Taam [2; 3; 4]. Equations of this type occur in astrophysics in considering the equilibrium of a gaseous configuration in stellar space, in atomic physics in the form of the Fermi-Thomas equation, and in mechanics in the study of free vibration of a hard spring not subject to damping.

A solution will be an absolutely continuous real-valued function with an absolutely continuous derivative and satisfying the differential equation almost everywhere in the sense of Carathéodory [5]. All solutions considered are different from the identically zero solution. All coefficients are assumed to be real-valued, bounded, Lebesgue-measurable functions of a real variables  $x$  for  $x \geq 0$ . For the existence and uniqueness of solutions see Chapters I, II of [5].

2. **THEOREM 1.** *Let the following conditions be satisfied:*

- (i)  $f_n(x)$  has a positive lower bound for  $x \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f_i(x) \geq 0$ ,  $f'_i(x) \in \mathcal{L}(0, \infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $f'_i(x) \leq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $n$  a positive integer greater than one,
- (iv)  $\int_0^\infty \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x^{2i-1}dx < \infty$ .

Then,

$$(1) \quad y'' + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)y^{2i-1} \leq 0$$

has no solutions  $y(x)$  with arbitrarily large positive zeros.

**PROOF.** According to Taam [2], for every solution  $y(x)$  of (1),  $y(x)$  and  $y'(x)$  are bounded on  $0 \leq x < \infty$ . Now let  $R(x)$  be an amplitude variable defined for solutions  $y(x)$  of (1) by

$$(2) \quad R(x) = \left( \frac{y'^2}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i y^{2i} \right).$$

$R(x)$  is positive for  $x \geq 0$  and

$$(3) \quad R'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f'_i y^{2i} \leq 0.$$

---

Received by the editors January 7, 1958 and, in revised form, February 3, 1958.

Equations (2), (3) imply that for any solution  $y(x)$  of (1),  $y'(x)$  remains bounded as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Let us assume that  $y(x)$ , a solution of (1), has arbitrarily positive zeros

$$(4) \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots$$

and let  $x_j$  be a zero for which  $y'(x_j) > 0$ . Let  $\hat{x}_j$  be the first zero of  $y'(x)$  on  $(x_j, x_{j+1})$ . Upon integrating (1) over the interval  $(x_j, \hat{x}_j)$  we have

$$(5) \quad y'(\hat{x}_j) - y'(x_j) + \int_{x_j}^{\hat{x}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i y^{2i-1} dx = 0,$$

or,

$$(6) \quad y'(x_j) = \int_{x_j}^{\hat{x}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i y^{2i-1} dx.$$

Now  $y'(x) > 0$  and  $y''(x) < 0$  so  $y(x)$  is concave downward on  $(x_j, \hat{x}_j)$ , thus  $y'(x)$  is decreasing on  $(x_j, \hat{x}_j)$ . So on  $(x_j, \hat{x}_j)$  we have,

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq y(x) \leq y'(x_j)(x - x_j); \quad 0 \leq [y(x)]^{2i-1} \leq [y'(x_j)(x - x_j)]^{2i-1}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Now from (6) and (7) we have

$$(8) \quad y'(x_j) \leq \int_{x_j}^{\hat{x}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i [y'(x_j)(x - x_j)]^{2i-1} dx.$$

Since  $y'(x_j)$  is positive and bounded on  $(x_j, \hat{x}_j)$  then for some index  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have,

$$(9) \quad 1 \leq \frac{1}{y'(x_j)} \int_{x_j}^{\hat{x}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i [y'(x_j)(x - x_j)]^{2i-1} dx,$$

or,

$$(10) \quad 1 \leq [y'(x_j)]^{k-1} \int_{x_j}^{\hat{x}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n x^{2i-1} f_i dx.$$

As the zeros of  $y(x)$ , a solution of (1), become arbitrarily large, and since  $y'(x_j)$  is bounded as  $x_j \rightarrow \infty$ , then the right-hand side of (10) tends to zero. Hence we reach a contradiction and the theorem holds.

3. THEOREM 2. *Let the following conditions be satisfied:*

- (i)  $f_n(x)$  has a positive lower bound for  $x \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f_i(x) \geq 0, f'_i(x) \leq 0, f'_i(x) \in \mathcal{L}(0, \infty), i = 1, 2, \dots, n, x \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $n$  a positive integer greater than one,
- (iv)  $y(x)$  be a solution of (1) with arbitrarily large positive zeros.

Then

$$(11) \quad \int_0^{\infty} x \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) dx = \infty.$$

Let us assume that (11) fails to hold and then show that there exists a solution  $y(x)$  of (1) such that  $y'(\infty)=0$ ,  $y(\infty)=1$  which implies that  $y(x)$  is not oscillatory for large positive values of  $x$ . This is equivalent to showing the existence of a solution of the following integral equation

$$(12) \quad y(x) = 1 - \int_x^{\infty} (t-x) \sum_{i=1}^n f_i y^{2i-1} dt.$$

Making use of the method of successive approximation as indicated by Atkinson [1], a solution to (12) may be shown to exist. Thus we reach a contradiction to (iv).

#### REFERENCES

1. F. V. Atkinson, *On second order nonlinear oscillations*, Pacific J. Math. vol. 5 (1955) pp. 643-647.
2. C. T. Taam, *Criteria of boundedness of the solutions of nonlinear differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 6 (1955) pp. 377-385.
3. ———, *An extension of Osgood's oscillation theorem for a nonlinear differential equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 5 (1954) pp. 705-715.
4. ———, *On the solutions of nonlinear differential equations*, I, J. Math. Mech. vol. 6 (1957) pp. 287-301.
5. Coddington and Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, Chapters I, II, New York, 1955.

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE