

## ***B* PARACOMPACT DOES NOT IMPLY *B*<sup>*I*</sup> PARACOMPACT<sup>1</sup>**

EDWARD FADELL

As is well known the category of paracompact spaces is important in algebraic topology and the theory of fiber spaces. The following question arises naturally. If a space (Hausdorff space)  $B$  is paracompact, is the space of paths  $B^I$  ( $I = [0, 1]$ ), with, of course, the compact-open topology, also paracompact? The following simple example answers the question in the negative.

Let  $X$  denote the set of real numbers with the half-open interval topology [1]. This now well-known space has the following properties: regular, Lindelöf (hence paracompact [2], hence normal [3]) and totally disconnected. It is also known that  $X \times X$  is not normal [1] (hence not paracompact). Since  $X^I$  and  $X$  are homeomorphic,  $X^I$  is paracompact so a slight adjustment must be made to provide the counter-example. Let  $C(X)$  denote the cone over  $X$ , i.e., in  $X \times I$  identify  $X \times \{1\}$  to a point, thus obtaining  $C(X)$ . Then, if  $p: X \times I \rightarrow C(X)$  is the identification map,  $C(X)$  is topologized by employing the weakest topology which renders  $p$  continuous. Since  $X \times I$  is Lindelöf and regular, it follows that  $C(X)$  is Lindelöf and regular, hence paracompact. What we will show now is that  $C(X)^I$  is not paracompact. The idea is the following:  $X$  appears in  $C(X)$  as a *closed* subset, namely the "base" of the cone. Therefore  $X \times X$  appears in  $C(X) \times C(X)$  as a *closed* subset and hence  $C(X) \times C(X)$  is not paracompact. Thus, if we can imbed  $C(X) \times C(X)$  in  $C(X)^I$  as a *closed* subset, it will follow that  $C(X)^I$  is not paracompact.

We leave to the reader the simple proofs of the following lemmas.

LEMMA. Let  $Y$  denote a space and  $F: Y \times I \rightarrow Y$  a contraction of  $Y$  to  $y_0 \in Y$ , i.e.,  $F_0 = 1$  and  $F_1 = y_0$ . Then the mapping  $\bar{F}: Y \rightarrow Y^I$  given by  $\bar{F}(y)(s) = F(y, s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is an imbedding of  $Y$  in  $Y^I$  whose image  $\bar{F}(Y)$  is closed in  $Y^I$ .

LEMMA. Let  $B$  and  $Y$  denote spaces and fix  $b \in B$ . Furthermore, let  $\bar{B} = \{\omega \in B^I: \omega(1) = b\}$ . Let  $f: Y \rightarrow \bar{B}$  be a map such that  $f(Y)$  is closed in  $\bar{B}$  (hence in  $B^I$ ). Define a map  $f^2: Y \times Y \rightarrow B^I$  by

$$f^2(y, y') = f(y) \circ f(y')^{-1}$$

where  $\circ$  denotes multiplication of paths. Then,  $f^2(Y \times Y)$  is closed in  $B^I$ .

Received by the editors May 31, 1958.

<sup>1</sup> This note was sponsored in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant NSF G-4223.

Now, let  $F: C(X) \times I \rightarrow C(X)$  denote the usual contraction of  $C(X)$  to its vertex, i.e.,

$$F[p(x, t), s] = p((x, t + s - st)).$$

Applying the above lemmas with  $Y = C(X)$ ,  $B = C(X)$ ,  $F$  the contraction of  $C(X)$  to its vertex and  $f = \bar{F}$ , we see that  $\bar{F}^2: C(X) \times C(X) \rightarrow C(X)^I$  has a closed image, i.e.,  $\bar{F}^2(C(X) \times C(X))$  is closed in  $C(X)^I$ . Thus, to complete the proof that  $C(X)^I$  is not paracompact, it suffices to show that  $\bar{F}^2$  is an imbedding. This fact, however, is immediate as follows: Define a map  $\phi: C(X)^I \rightarrow C(X) \times C(X)$  by setting  $\phi(\alpha) = (\alpha(0), \alpha(1))$ . Thus  $\phi|_{\bar{F}^2(C(X) \times C(X))}$  is the required inverse for  $\bar{F}^2$ .

**THEOREM.**  $C(X)$  is paracompact but  $C(X)^I$  is not paracompact.

**REMARK.** Thus, for example, we see that if one is considering maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in the category of paracompact spaces, the usual technique of replacing  $f$  by a fiber map may take one outside of this category.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. H. Sorgenfrey, *On the topological product of paracompact spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 53 (1947) pp. 631-632.
2. E. Michael, *A note on paracompact spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4 (1953) pp. 831-838.
3. J. Dieudonné, *Une généralisation des espaces compacts*, J. Math. Pures Appl. vol. 23 (1944) pp. 65-76.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN