

3. ———, *Orthogonality and linear functionals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 61 (1947) pp. 265–292.
4. ———, *Inner products in normed linear spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53 (1947) pp. 559–566.
5. Th. Motzkin, *Sur quelques propriétés caractéristiques des ensembles bornés non convexes*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei. Rend. 6 vol. 21 (1935) pp. 773–779.
6. Th. Motzkin and I. J. Schoenberg, *The relaxation method for linear inequalities*, Canad. J. Math. vol. 6 (1954) pp. 393–404.
7. R. R. Phelps, *Convex sets and nearest points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 8 (1957) pp. 790–797.
8. L. Sandgren, *On convex cones*, Math. Scand. vol. 2 (1954) pp. 19–28.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

### NOTE ON PRODUCTS IN Ext

NOBUO YONEDA<sup>1</sup>

The objective of this note is to present an interrelation between the V-product in [1] and the composition product in [2; 3], which in turn gives a comparison of the cup-product with the composition product. Similar relations can be obtained also for other products involving Tor and (iterated) connecting homomorphisms.

We retain the notations in [1, Chapter XI]. The external product  $V: \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}(A, C) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}(A', C') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} A', C \otimes_{\Sigma} C')$  is defined in the situation  $(\Lambda A_{\Sigma}, \Lambda C_{\Sigma}, \Sigma A'_{\Gamma}, \Sigma C'_{\Gamma})$  under the following assumption: (i)  $\Lambda, \Gamma, \Sigma$  are  $K$ -projective; (ii)  $\text{Tor}_n^{\Sigma}(A, A') = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . To this situation we now add  $(\Lambda B_{\Sigma}, \Sigma B'_{\Gamma})$  and (ii')  $\text{Tor}_n^{\Sigma}(B, B') = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . For  $a \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}^p(A, B)$  and  $b \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}^q(B, C)$  the composition product  $b \circ a$  lies in  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}^{p+q}(A, C)$ . For  $a' \in \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}^{p'}(A', B')$  and  $b' \in \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}^{q'}(B', C')$ ,  $b' \circ a'$  lies in  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}^{p'+q'}(A', C')$ . ( $b \circ a = a \circ b$  in the notation of [2].)

**PROPOSITION 1.**  $(b \circ a)V(b' \circ a') = (-1)^{p a'}(bVb') \circ (aVa')$ .

In fact let  $X, Y$  be  $\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*$ -projective resolutions of  $A, B$  respectively, and let  $X', Y'$  be  $\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*$ -projective resolutions of  $A', B'$ . Then  $X \otimes_{\Sigma} X', Y \otimes_{\Sigma} Y'$  are  $\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*$ -projective resolutions of  $A \otimes_{\Sigma} A', B \otimes_{\Sigma} B'$ . We consider these resolutions as chain complexes with 0's in negative dimensions. Suppose that  $a, b, a', b'$  are respectively represented by maps  $\alpha: X_p \rightarrow B, \beta: Y_q \rightarrow C, \alpha': X'_{p'} \rightarrow B',$  and  $\beta': Y'_{q'} \rightarrow C'$ . The map  $\alpha$

---

Received by the editors April 25, 1958.

<sup>1</sup> Work done while the author was engaged under contract NONR 2383.

is lifted to a chain map  $\tilde{\alpha}_n: X_{p+n} \rightarrow Y_n$  of degree  $-p$ , and  $\alpha'$  to  $\tilde{\alpha}'_n: X'_{p'+n} \rightarrow Y'_n$  of degree  $-p'$ . The elements  $b \circ a, b' \circ a'$  are then represented by  $\beta\tilde{\alpha}_q: X_{p+q} \rightarrow C$  and  $\beta'\tilde{\alpha}'_{q'}: X'_{p'+q'} \rightarrow C'$ , so that  $(b \circ a) \vee (b' \circ a')$  is represented by the map  $\beta\tilde{\alpha}_q \otimes \Sigma\beta'\tilde{\alpha}'_{q'}: (X \otimes_{\Sigma} X')_{p+q+p'+q'} \rightarrow C \otimes_{\Sigma} C'$ . On the other hand  $a \vee a', b \vee b'$  are represented by the maps

$$\alpha \otimes_{\Sigma} \alpha': (X \otimes_{\Sigma} X')_{p+p'} \rightarrow B \otimes_{\Sigma} B', \quad \beta \otimes_{\Sigma} \beta': (Y \otimes_{\Sigma} Y')_{q+q'} \rightarrow C \otimes_{\Sigma} C'.$$

We now define maps  $\tilde{\alpha}''_n: (X \otimes_{\Sigma} X')_{p+p'+n} \rightarrow (Y \otimes_{\Sigma} Y')_n$  by

$$\tilde{\alpha}''_n = \sum_i (-1)^{p(n-i)} \tilde{\alpha}_i \otimes_{\Sigma} \tilde{\alpha}'_{n-i}.$$

Then  $\tilde{\alpha}''$  is a chain map  $(X \otimes_{\Sigma} X') \rightarrow (Y \otimes_{\Sigma} Y')$  of degree  $-(p+p')$ , and it gives a lift of the map  $\alpha \otimes_{\Sigma} \alpha'$ . Therefore  $(b \vee b') \circ (a \vee a')$  is represented by the map  $(\beta \otimes_{\Sigma} \beta')\tilde{\alpha}''_{q+q'} = (-1)^{p'q'}\beta\tilde{\alpha}_q \otimes \Sigma\beta'\tilde{\alpha}'_{q'}$ , which proves our assertion.

Instead of (i), (ii) we shall now assume (iii-A)  $A$  is  $\Sigma$ -flat. Let  $X'$  be as before, and let  $X''$  be a  $\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*$ -projective resolution of  $A \otimes_{\Sigma} A'$ . Since  $A \otimes_{\Sigma} X'$  is acyclic over  $A \otimes_{\Sigma} A'$ , we have a chain map  $X'' \rightarrow A \otimes_{\Sigma} X'$  lifting the identity map of  $A \otimes_{\Sigma} A'$ , which induces a cochain map

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} X', A \otimes_{\Sigma} C') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(X'', A \otimes_{\Sigma} C').$$

On the other hand  $A \otimes_{\Sigma}$  induces naturally a cochain map

$$\text{Hom}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}(X', C') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} X', A \otimes_{\Sigma} C').$$

By composing these cochain maps we get a homomorphism

$$A \otimes_{\Sigma}: \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}(A', C') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} A', A \otimes_{\Sigma} C').$$

If an element  $c' \in \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}^n(A', C')$  is represented by an  $n$ -fold extension  $\phi^n$  of  $C'$  over  $A'$  (cf. [2; 3]), then  $A \otimes_{\Sigma} c'$  is represented by the exact sequence  $A \otimes_{\Sigma} \phi^n$ . A similar homomorphism

$$\otimes_{\Sigma} C': \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} C', C \otimes_{\Sigma} C')$$

is obtained when (iii-C')  $C'$  is  $\Sigma$ -flat. These homomorphisms preserve the composition product. By a similar technique as in the proof of Proposition 1, we get easily the following:

PROPOSITION 2. *Under the assumptions (i) and (iii-A) we have  $A \otimes_{\Sigma} = e_A \vee$ , where  $e_A$  is the identity map of  $A$  considered as an element of  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}^0(A, A)$ . Under the assumptions (i) and (iii-C') we have  $\otimes_{\Sigma} C' = \vee e_{C'}$ .*

We now define products  $V_0, V^0$  parallel to  $\vee$  by

$$c \vee_0 c' = (c \otimes_{\Sigma} C') \circ (A \otimes_{\Sigma} c'), \quad c \vee^0 c' = (C \otimes_{\Sigma} c') \circ (c \otimes_{\Sigma} A').$$

The former is defined under the assumptions (iii-A), (iii-C'), and the latter under (iii-C), (iii-A'). From Propositions 1, 2 we obtain:

PROPOSITION 3. For  $c \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes_{\Sigma^*}}^n(A, C)$ ,  $c' \in \text{Ext}_{\Sigma' \otimes \Gamma^*}^{n'}(A', C')$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} c \vee_0 c' &= c \vee c' \text{ when both sides are defined;} \\ c \vee^0 c' &= (-1)^{nn'} c \vee c' \text{ when both sides are defined.} \end{aligned}$$

Finally we consider the cup-product, and restrict our attention to the case where  $\Lambda$  is a supplemented  $K$ -algebra with augmentation  $\epsilon: \Lambda \rightarrow K$ , and where  $\Gamma = \Lambda^*$ ,  $\Sigma = K$ . For a "diagonal map"  $D: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Lambda$  we postulate the relation  $(\epsilon \otimes \Lambda)D = (\Lambda \otimes \epsilon)D = \text{identity map of } \Lambda$ . We shall denote by  $D\#$  the natural map

$$D\#: \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Lambda}(A \otimes A', C \otimes C') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(A \otimes A', C \otimes C')$$

induced by  $D$ .

We say " $\Lambda$  operates trivially on  $A$ " if  $\Lambda$  operates on  $A$  only through  $\epsilon$ .  $A \otimes A'$  as a left  $\Lambda$ -module does not depend on the diagonal map  $D$  if  $\Lambda$  operates trivially on  $A$  or on  $A'$ . Thus if  $\Lambda$  operates trivially on  $A$  and on  $C'$ , or on  $C$  and on  $A'$ , then  $D\#(c \vee_0 c')$  or  $D\#(c \vee^0 c')$  does not depend on  $D$ . So we get:

PROPOSITION 4. If  $A, C'$  are  $K$ -flat and  $\Lambda$  operates trivially on those, or if  $C, A'$  are  $K$ -flat and  $\Lambda$  operates trivially on those, then the cup-product  $c \cup c'$  does not depend on  $D$ .

Noting the fact that  $D\#(c \otimes K) = c$ ,  $D\#(K \otimes c') = c'$  we get:

PROPOSITION 5. Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $K$ -projective supplemented  $K$ -algebra. Then the composition product

$$\circ: \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(K, K) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(K, K) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(K, K)$$

coincides with the cup-product induced by any diagonal map of  $\Lambda$ . It is associative and anti-commutative.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton, 1956.
2. N. Yoneda, *On the homology theory of modules*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I. vol. 7 (1954) pp. 193-227.
3. ———, *On Ext and exact sequences*, To appear.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY AND  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO