

3. ———, *Orthogonality and linear functionals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 61 (1947) pp. 265–292.
4. ———, *Inner products in normed linear spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53 (1947) pp. 559–566.
5. Th. Motzkin, *Sur quelques propriétés caractéristiques des ensembles bornés non convexes*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei. Rend. 6 vol. 21 (1935) pp. 773–779.
6. Th. Motzkin and I. J. Schoenberg, *The relaxation method for linear inequalities*, Canad. J. Math. vol. 6 (1954) pp. 393–404.
7. R. R. Phelps, *Convex sets and nearest points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 8 (1957) pp. 790–797.
8. L. Sandgren, *On convex cones*, Math. Scand. vol. 2 (1954) pp. 19–28.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

NOTE ON PRODUCTS IN Ext

NOBUO YONEDA¹

The objective of this note is to present an interrelation between the V-product in [1] and the composition product in [2; 3], which in turn gives a comparison of the cup-product with the composition product. Similar relations can be obtained also for other products involving Tor and (iterated) connecting homomorphisms.

We retain the notations in [1, Chapter XI]. The external product $V: \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}(A, C) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}(A', C') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} A', C \otimes_{\Sigma} C')$ is defined in the situation $(\Lambda A_{\Sigma}, \Lambda C_{\Sigma}, \Sigma A'_{\Gamma}, \Sigma C'_{\Gamma})$ under the following assumption: (i) Λ, Γ, Σ are K -projective; (ii) $\text{Tor}_n^{\Sigma}(A, A') = 0$ for $n > 0$. To this situation we now add $(\Lambda B_{\Sigma}, \Sigma B'_{\Gamma})$ and (ii') $\text{Tor}_n^{\Sigma}(B, B') = 0$ for $n > 0$. For $a \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}^p(A, B)$ and $b \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}^q(B, C)$ the composition product $b \circ a$ lies in $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}^{p+q}(A, C)$. For $a' \in \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}^{p'}(A', B')$ and $b' \in \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}^{q'}(B', C')$, $b' \circ a'$ lies in $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}^{p'+q'}(A', C')$. ($b \circ a = a \circ b$ in the notation of [2].)

PROPOSITION 1. $(b \circ a)V(b' \circ a') = (-1)^{p a'}(bVb') \circ (aVa')$.

In fact let X, Y be $\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*$ -projective resolutions of A, B respectively, and let X', Y' be $\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*$ -projective resolutions of A', B' . Then $X \otimes_{\Sigma} X', Y \otimes_{\Sigma} Y'$ are $\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*$ -projective resolutions of $A \otimes_{\Sigma} A', B \otimes_{\Sigma} B'$. We consider these resolutions as chain complexes with 0's in negative dimensions. Suppose that a, b, a', b' are respectively represented by maps $\alpha: X_p \rightarrow B, \beta: Y_q \rightarrow C, \alpha': X'_{p'} \rightarrow B',$ and $\beta': Y'_{q'} \rightarrow C'$. The map α

Received by the editors April 25, 1958.

¹ Work done while the author was engaged under contract NONR 2383.

is lifted to a chain map $\tilde{\alpha}_n: X_{p+n} \rightarrow Y_n$ of degree $-p$, and α' to $\tilde{\alpha}'_n: X'_{p'+n} \rightarrow Y'_n$ of degree $-p'$. The elements $b \circ a, b' \circ a'$ are then represented by $\beta\tilde{\alpha}_q: X_{p+q} \rightarrow C$ and $\beta'\tilde{\alpha}'_{q'}: X'_{p'+q'} \rightarrow C'$, so that $(b \circ a) \vee (b' \circ a')$ is represented by the map $\beta\tilde{\alpha}_q \otimes_{\Sigma} \beta'\tilde{\alpha}'_{q'}: (X \otimes_{\Sigma} X')_{p+q+p'+q'} \rightarrow C \otimes_{\Sigma} C'$. On the other hand $a \vee a', b \vee b'$ are represented by the maps

$$\alpha \otimes_{\Sigma} \alpha': (X \otimes_{\Sigma} X')_{p+p'} \rightarrow B \otimes_{\Sigma} B', \quad \beta \otimes_{\Sigma} \beta': (Y \otimes_{\Sigma} Y')_{q+q'} \rightarrow C \otimes_{\Sigma} C'.$$

We now define maps $\tilde{\alpha}''_n: (X \otimes_{\Sigma} X')_{p+p'+n} \rightarrow (Y \otimes_{\Sigma} Y')_n$ by

$$\tilde{\alpha}''_n = \sum_i (-1)^{p(n-i)} \tilde{\alpha}_i \otimes_{\Sigma} \tilde{\alpha}'_{n-i}.$$

Then $\tilde{\alpha}''$ is a chain map $(X \otimes_{\Sigma} X') \rightarrow (Y \otimes_{\Sigma} Y')$ of degree $-(p+p')$, and it gives a lift of the map $\alpha \otimes_{\Sigma} \alpha'$. Therefore $(b \vee b') \circ (a \vee a')$ is represented by the map $(\beta \otimes_{\Sigma} \beta') \tilde{\alpha}''_{q+q'} = (-1)^{p'q} \beta\tilde{\alpha}_q \otimes_{\Sigma} \beta'\tilde{\alpha}'_{q'}$, which proves our assertion.

Instead of (i), (ii) we shall now assume (iii-A) A is Σ -flat. Let X' be as before, and let X'' be a $\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*$ -projective resolution of $A \otimes_{\Sigma} A'$. Since $A \otimes_{\Sigma} X'$ is acyclic over $A \otimes_{\Sigma} A'$, we have a chain map $X'' \rightarrow A \otimes_{\Sigma} X'$ lifting the identity map of $A \otimes_{\Sigma} A'$, which induces a cochain map

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} X', A \otimes_{\Sigma} C') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(X'', A \otimes_{\Sigma} C').$$

On the other hand $A \otimes_{\Sigma}$ induces naturally a cochain map

$$\text{Hom}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}(X', C') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} X', A \otimes_{\Sigma} C').$$

By composing these cochain maps we get a homomorphism

$$A \otimes_{\Sigma}: \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}(A', C') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} A', A \otimes_{\Sigma} C').$$

If an element $c' \in \text{Ext}_{\Sigma \otimes \Gamma^*}^n(A', C')$ is represented by an n -fold extension ϕ^n of C' over A' (cf. [2; 3]), then $A \otimes_{\Sigma} c'$ is represented by the exact sequence $A \otimes_{\Sigma} \phi^n$. A similar homomorphism

$$\otimes_{\Sigma} C': \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^*}(A \otimes_{\Sigma} C', C \otimes_{\Sigma} C')$$

is obtained when (iii-C') C' is Σ -flat. These homomorphisms preserve the composition product. By a similar technique as in the proof of Proposition 1, we get easily the following:

PROPOSITION 2. *Under the assumptions (i) and (iii-A) we have $A \otimes_{\Sigma} = e_A \vee$, where e_A is the identity map of A considered as an element of $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Sigma^*}^0(A, A)$. Under the assumptions (i) and (iii-C') we have $\otimes_{\Sigma} C' = \vee e_{C'}$.*

We now define products V_0, V^0 parallel to \vee by

$$c \vee_0 c' = (c \otimes_{\Sigma} C') \circ (A \otimes_{\Sigma} c'), \quad c \vee^0 c' = (C \otimes_{\Sigma} c') \circ (c \otimes_{\Sigma} A').$$

The former is defined under the assumptions (iii-A), (iii-C'), and the latter under (iii-C), (iii-A'). From Propositions 1, 2 we obtain:

PROPOSITION 3. For $c \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes_{\Sigma^*}}^n(A, C)$, $c' \in \text{Ext}_{\Sigma' \otimes \Gamma^*}^{n'}(A', C')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} c \vee_0 c' &= c \vee c' \text{ when both sides are defined;} \\ c \vee^0 c' &= (-1)^{nn'} c \vee c' \text{ when both sides are defined.} \end{aligned}$$

Finally we consider the cup-product, and restrict our attention to the case where Λ is a supplemented K -algebra with augmentation $\epsilon: \Lambda \rightarrow K$, and where $\Gamma = \Lambda^*$, $\Sigma = K$. For a "diagonal map" $D: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Lambda$ we postulate the relation $(\epsilon \otimes \Lambda)D = (\Lambda \otimes \epsilon)D = \text{identity map of } \Lambda$. We shall denote by $D\#$ the natural map

$$D\#: \text{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Lambda}(A \otimes A', C \otimes C') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(A \otimes A', C \otimes C')$$

induced by D .

We say " Λ operates trivially on A " if Λ operates on A only through ϵ . $A \otimes A'$ as a left Λ -module does not depend on the diagonal map D if Λ operates trivially on A or on A' . Thus if Λ operates trivially on A and on C' , or on C and on A' , then $D\#(c \vee_0 c')$ or $D\#(c \vee^0 c')$ does not depend on D . So we get:

PROPOSITION 4. If A, C' are K -flat and Λ operates trivially on those, or if C, A' are K -flat and Λ operates trivially on those, then the cup-product $c \cup c'$ does not depend on D .

Noting the fact that $D\#(c \otimes K) = c$, $D\#(K \otimes c') = c'$ we get:

PROPOSITION 5. Let Λ be a K -projective supplemented K -algebra. Then the composition product

$$\circ: \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(K, K) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(K, K) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(K, K)$$

coincides with the cup-product induced by any diagonal map of Λ . It is associative and anti-commutative.

REFERENCES

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton, 1956.
2. N. Yoneda, *On the homology theory of modules*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I. vol. 7 (1954) pp. 193-227.
3. ———, *On Ext and exact sequences*, To appear.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY AND
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO