

## ON AUTOMORPHIC-INVERSE PROPERTIES IN LOOPS

R. ARTZY

**Introduction.** In a loop  $(G, \cdot)$  we define  $J$  as the mapping that takes every element into its right inverse, i.e.,  $x \cdot xJ = 1$ , for all  $x$  in  $G$ . It is well known [3] that in I.P. loops  $J$  is an anti-automorphism. In crossed-inverse loops (in short *C.I. loops*), which are defined as satisfying either of the equivalent identities  $xy \cdot xJ = y$  or  $x(y \cdot xJ) = y$ , for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ ,  $J$  is known [1] to be an automorphism. On the other hand, easily constructed counter-examples show that a loop in which  $J$  is an anti-automorphism is not necessarily I.P., and that a loop in which  $J$  is an automorphism is not necessarily C.I. Furthermore a loop which is I.P. *everywhere*, i.e. all of whose isotopes are I.P., is known [4] to be Moufang. A loop which is C.I. everywhere is an abelian group; this can be easily checked by computation, but it is also obvious, due to the fact that the C.I. property can be represented in a 3-web by the validity of the Thomsen figure (cf. [7]) in a special position while the general Thomsen figure corresponds to both associativity and commutativity.

It is the purpose of this paper to show that an I.P. loop in which  $J$  is an anti-automorphism everywhere is Moufang, and that a C.I. loop in which  $J$  is an automorphism everywhere is an abelian group. Thus we show that the weaker "automorphic-inverse properties"  $(xy)J = yJ \cdot xJ$  and  $(xy)J = xJ \cdot yJ$  in I.P. loops and C.I. loops, respectively, are sufficient to replace the full I.P. and C.I. properties of the isotopes.

**THEOREM 1.** *An I.P. loop, in all of whose isotopes  $J$  is an anti-automorphism, is Moufang.*

**PROOF.** We consider an isotope with  $xg * y = xy$ . Its unit is  $g$ . Let  $xJ^*$  be the right inverse of  $x$  in the isotope. Using the inverse property, which implies  $J = J^{-1}$ , we have then

$$xg^{-1} \cdot xJ^* = g, \quad \text{and} \quad J^* = JL(g)R(g).$$

The theorem assumes  $(x * y)J^* = yJ^* * xJ^*$ , that is  $g(xg^{-1} \cdot y)^{-1} \cdot g = [(gy^{-1} \cdot g)g^{-1}](gx^{-1} \cdot g)$ . With  $gx^{-1} = a$ ,  $y^{-1} = b$  we get  $(g \cdot ba)g = gb \cdot ag$ , for all  $a, b, g$  in the loop. Thus the loop is Moufang.

---

Presented to the Society, August 29, 1958; received by the editors July 6, 1958 and, in revised form, November 24, 1958.

**THEOREM 2.** *A C.I. loop,  $G$ , in all of whose isotopes  $J$  is an automorphism, is an abelian group.*

**PROOF.** The proof will be performed in 5 stages, (i) to (v).

(i) *The inverses in  $G$  are unique.*

Let the isotope be defined by  $xg * fy = xy$ . Then we have, using the C.I. property,

$$(gJ^{-1} \cdot x)(xJ^* \cdot fJ) = fg, \quad \text{and} \quad J^* = JL(g)L(fg)L(f).$$

The identity  $(x * y)J^* = xJ^* * yJ^*$  becomes

$$(1) \quad f[fg \cdot (g((g \cdot xJ)(yJ \cdot fJ^2)))] = [gJ^{-1} \cdot (f(fg \cdot (g \cdot xJ)))] [fg \cdot (g \cdot yJ)].$$

Putting  $x = g^2g$  and  $y = f = 1$ , yields  $1 = (gJ^{-1} \cdot gJ)g^2$ , and finally  $gJ^{-1} = gJ$ . Thus inverses are unique in  $G$ .

(ii) *The squares form a normal subloop and lie in the centre.*

If we substitute in (1)  $gx^{-1} = a$ ,  $y^{-1}f = b$  we get

$$f[fg \cdot (g \cdot ab)] = [g^{-1}(f(fg \cdot a))] [fg \cdot (g \cdot f^{-1}b)].$$

This is an autotopism (cf. [4])

$$(2) \quad (L(fg)L(f)L(g^{-1}), L(f^{-1})L(g)L(fg), L(g)L(fg)L(f)).$$

With  $f = 1$  this becomes

$$(3) \quad (L(g)L(g^{-1}), L(g)L(g), L(g)L(g)).$$

According to [2] every autotopism  $(U, V, W)$  in a C.I. loop implies another autotopism  $(L(1U), L(1V), L(1W))$ . Thus (3) implies  $(I, L(g^2), L(g^2))$  as a new autotopism, that is,

$$(4) \quad a \cdot g^2b = g^2 \cdot ab.$$

With  $b = 1$  this yields  $ag^2 = g^2a$ , hence the squares of the elements commute with all elements of  $G$ . Equation (4) becomes  $a \cdot bg^2 = ab \cdot g^2$ . Thus all the squares belong to the right nucleus, but, as the author has proved [2], all the elements of the right nucleus are centre elements, and therefore all squares of loop elements lie in the centre. Moreover the squares form a normal subloop:

$$x^2y^2 = x^2y^2(xy \cdot x^{-1}y^{-1}) = xy \cdot (x^2x^{-1} \cdot y^2y^{-1}) = xy \cdot xy = (xy)^2,$$

and  $(x^2)J = (xJ)^2$ .

(iii)  *$G$  is commutative.*

According to [2], the elements  $1U$  and  $1V$ , for all autotopisms  $(U, V, W)$  of  $G$ , form a Moufang subloop, and the cubes of the elements of this subloop lie in the centre of  $G$ . Since also the squares lie in the centre,  $1U$  and  $1V$  themselves lie in the centre. In particular

take as  $(U, V, W)$  the autotopism (2), then  $1U = g^{-1}(f \cdot fg)$  and  $1V = fg \cdot gf^{-1}$  lie in the centre of  $G$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g^{-1}(g^{-1}f \cdot 1V) &= (g^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}f) \cdot 1V, \\
 f &= g^{-1}(g^{-1}f \cdot (fg \cdot gf^{-1})) = (g^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}f)(fg \cdot gf^{-1}), \\
 (5) \qquad \qquad \qquad fg \cdot gf^{-1} &= fg \cdot gf^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 1V \cdot f^{-1}g^{-1} &= f^{-1}g^{-1} \cdot 1V, \\
 gf^{-1} &= (fg \cdot gf^{-1}) \cdot f^{-1}g^{-1} = f^{-1}g^{-1} \cdot (fg \cdot gf^{-1}), \\
 (6) \qquad \qquad \qquad gf^{-1} \cdot fg &= fg \cdot gf^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We interchange  $f$  and  $g$  in (5) and get

$$g(f \cdot fg^{-1}) = gf \cdot fg^{-1} = (gf^{-1} \cdot fg)f^2g^{-2}.$$

In view of (6) this becomes

$$g^{-1}(f \cdot fg) = (fg \cdot gf^{-1})f^2g^{-2}, \text{ or } 1U = 1V \cdot f^2g^{-2}.$$

Now obviously  $1W = 1U \cdot 1V$ , hence  $1W = 1V \cdot f^2g^{-2} \cdot 1V$ , that is,  $f(fg \cdot g) = f^2g^{-2}(fg \cdot gf^{-1})^2$ , and using the multiplication rule for squares,  $f(fg \cdot g) = f^2g^{-2}f^2g^2g^2f^{-2} = f^2g^2$ , and  $fg = f^2g^2 \cdot g^{-1}f^{-1} = gf$ . Thus the commutativity of  $G$  is established.

The commutativity and the C.I. property imply the inverse property. Moreover the alternative property holds:

$$a \cdot ab = a^2(a \cdot a^{-1}b) = a^2b.$$

(iv)  $G$  is an  $A$ -loop.

Using the associativity of multiplication by squares, we may write the autotopism (2)

$$(U, V, W) = (L(fg)^{-1}L(f)L(g), L(f)L(g)L(fg)^{-1}, L(g)L(fg)^{-1}L(f)).$$

Now  $1U = 1V = 1$ , and that implies  $U = V = W$ . Hence  $V = L(f)L(g)L(fg)^{-1}$  is an automorphism. We shall prove now that every inner mapping of  $G$  is the product of inner mappings of the form  $L(f)L(g)L(fg)^{-1}$ . Owing to the commutativity of  $G$  and the property  $L^{-1}(x) = L(x^{-1})$  of I.P. loops, every inner mapping  $S$  of  $G$  has the form  $S = \prod_{k=1}^n L(a_k)$ , with  $1S = 1$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= [L(a_1)L(a_2)L(a_1a_2)^{-1}][L(a_1a_2)L(a_3)L(a_1a_2 \cdot a_3)^{-1}] \\
 &\quad \dots \left[ L \left( 1 \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} L(a_k) \right) L(a_n) L \left( 1 \prod_{k=1}^n L(a_k) \right)^{-1} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

because the last term of each bracket and the first term of the next

bracket are of the type  $L(x)L(x^{-1})$  and cancel out; furthermore the last term of the last bracket is

$$L\left(1 \prod_{k=1}^n L(a_k)\right)^{-1} = L(1S)^{-1} = L(1^{-1}) = I.$$

Each of the brackets contains a product of the form

$$V = L(f)L(g)L(fg)^{-1}$$

which is an automorphism. Hence every inner mapping  $S$  is a product of automorphisms, and therefore an automorphism. A loop in which every inner mapping is an automorphism is usually called an *A-loop*.

(v) *G is abelian.*

$G$  is a commutative I.P. loop.  $A$ -loops with the inverse property are diassociative [5], and if they are also commutative they are Moufang [6]. Thus we have the identity  $(xy \cdot x)z = x(y \cdot xz)$ , and therefore  $x^2y \cdot z = x(y \cdot xz)$ ,  $yz = x^{-1}(y \cdot xz)$ , and  $yz \cdot x = y \cdot zx$ . Hence  $G$  is associative and therefore abelian.

REMARK. In recent work (to appear in Pacific J. Math.) J. M. Osborn has been dealing with a generalization of both C.I. and I.P. loops: "weak-inverse loops" in which  $xy \cdot z = 1$  if, and only if,  $x \cdot yz = 1$ . In weak-inverse loops  $J^2$  is an automorphism, but this property is not sufficient for defining weak-inverse loops. Osborn has investigated loops which are weak-inverse everywhere, and these loops have interesting properties. In connection with the present paper it might be of interest whether a weak-inverse loop all of whose isotopes have  $J^2$  as an automorphism (and are not necessarily weak-inverse) has already the same properties, such that again an "automorphic-inverse property" would suffice to replace the full loop identity in the isotopes.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Artzy, *On loops with a special property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 6 (1955) pp. 448-453.
2. ———, *Crossed-inverse and related loops*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 91 (1959) pp. 480-492.
3. R. H. Bruck, *Some results in the theory of quasigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 55 (1944) pp. 19-52.
4. ———, *Contributions to the theory of loops*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 60 (1946) pp. 245-354.
5. R. H. Bruck and L. J. Paige, *Loops whose inner mappings are automorphisms*, Ann. of Math. vol. 63 (1956) pp. 308-323.
6. J. M. Osborn, *A theorem on A-loops*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 9 (1958) pp. 347-349.
7. G. Pickert, *Projektive Ebenen*, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, Springer, 1955.