

WEAK n -HOMOGENEITY IMPLIES WEAK ($n-1$)-HOMOGENEITY

MORTON BROWN

Introduction and definitions. Let \tilde{S} be a set of points. By an n -subset of \tilde{S} we shall mean a subset of \tilde{S} consisting of precisely n points. Let S be a subset of \tilde{S} and G a group of 1-1 transformations of \tilde{S} upon itself. Then G is *weakly n -transitive* over S if for any two n -subsets X_1, X_2 of S there exists a $g \in G$ such that $g(X_1) = X_2$. (It is not required that $g(S) \subset S$.) In particular if $S = \tilde{S}$, \tilde{S} is a topological space, and G is the group of homeomorphisms of \tilde{S} upon itself, then the space S is said to be *weakly n -homogeneous*.¹

The purpose of this paper is to prove that an infinite weakly n -homogeneous topological space is weakly $(n-1)$ -homogeneous ($n > 1$).

THEOREM 1. *Let \tilde{S} be a set and let n, k be fixed positive integers ($1 < n \leq k$). Let S be a k -subset of \tilde{S} and let G be weakly n -transitive over S . Then a sufficient condition that G be weakly $(n-1)$ -transitive over S is that $\text{g.c.d.}(n, (C_{k,n-1})) = 1$.*

PROOF. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{(C_{k,n})}$ be the $(C_{k,n})$ different n -subsets of S . We shall impose a convenient ordering on the points of each X_i . Let $X_1 = a_{11} \cup a_{12} \cup \dots \cup a_{1n}$. Let V_1 be the ordered set

$$\langle a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n} \rangle.$$

Now for each X_i there is a g_i in G such that $g_i(X_1) = X_i$. Let V_i be the ordered set $\langle g_i(a_{11}), g_i(a_{12}), \dots, g_i(a_{1n}) \rangle$. It will be convenient to envision the $(C_{k,n}) \times n$ matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ \vdots \\ V_{(C_{k,n})} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The transformation g_i may be thought of as a projection of the first

Presented to the Society, April 24, 1954 under the title *N-homogeneity implies N-1 homogeneity*; received by the editors October 23, 1958.

¹ The definitions of higher homogeneity in the literature differ. The referee has suggested the following terminology (which the author adopts in this paper): n -homogeneity refers to *ordered n -tuples*, weak n -homogeneity refers to n -sets. This terminology coincides with the more standard concepts of transitivity and weak transitivity of groups operating on a set.

row of A onto the i th row. The transformation $g_j g_i^{-1}$ projects the i th row onto the j th row.

For $r=1, 2, \dots, n$ let A_r be the $(C_{k,n}) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by striking out the r th column of A . Let $\{M\}$ denote the class of different $(n-1)$ -subsets of S . Suppose $M \in \{M\}$. For notational purposes we shall say that M occurs as a row in A_r if the elements of M are the elements of some row of A_r .

1.1. Clearly, if $M_1, M_2 \in \{M\}$ and M_1, M_2 occur respectively as the σ th and τ th rows in the same matrix A_r , then $g_\sigma g_\tau^{-1}(M_2) = M_1$.

Let M_0 be a fixed element of $\{M\}$. We define inductively a sequence C_1, C_2, \dots of subsets of $\{M\}$ as follows:

$$C_1 = \{M \in \{M\} \mid \text{for some integer } r, M \text{ and } M_0 \text{ occur as rows in } A_r\},$$

$$C_{i+1} = \left\{ M \in \{M\} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{for some integer } r, M \text{ and an element} \\ \text{of } C_i \text{ occur as rows in } A_r \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$1.2. C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \dots$$

$$1.3. \text{ If } M_1, M_2 \in C_i \text{ then for some } g \text{ in } G, g(M_1) = M_2.$$

1.4. There is an integer q such that $C_q = C_{q+i}$ for every positive integer i .

The proof of 1.2 follows directly from the definition of C_i , and 1.4 follows from 1.2 and the fact that S is finite. We prove 1.3 by induction on i . Suppose $M_1, M_2 \in C_1$. Then for some r , M_1 and M_2 occur respectively as the σ th and τ th rows of A_r . Then $g_\tau g_\sigma^{-1}(M_1) = M_2$. Now suppose $M_1, M_2 \in C_{i+1}$. Then there exist $M_3, M_4 \in C_i$ and integers r, s such that M_1 and M_3 occur as rows of A_r and M_2 and M_4 occur as rows of A_s . Hence by 1.1 there exist $g_1, g_2 \in G$ such that

$$g_1(M_1) = M_3,$$

$$g_2(M_2) = M_4.$$

Since $M_3, M_4 \in C_i$ there is a g_3 in G such that

$$g_3(M_3) = M_4.$$

Hence $g_2^{-1} g_3 g_1(M_1) = M_2$.

As a corollary we have:

1.5. If $M \in C_q$ and M occurs as a row in A_r , then each row of A_r contains an element of C_q .

1.6. Each $M \in \{M\}$ occurs as a row in the matrices A_r precisely $(k-n+1)$ times.

M contains $(n-1)$ points. For each $a \in S - M$, $M \cup a$ occurs as a row precisely once in the matrix A . Hence M occurs as a subset of precisely $(k-n+1)$ rows of A . Hence M occurs in precisely $(k-n+1)$ rows of the matrices A_r .

Now if z is the number of different elements of C_q and t is the number of matrices A_r in which elements of C_q occur, then since each A_r has $(C_{k,n})$ rows, and each $M \in C_q$ occurs as a row $(k-n+1)$ times we have

$$z(k-n+1) = t \binom{k}{n}, \quad 0 < t \leq n.$$

Hence

$$z(k-n+1) = \frac{t(k!)}{n!(k-n)!}$$

or

$$zn = \frac{t(k!)}{(n-1)!(k-n+1)!}.$$

But $\text{g.c.d.}(n, k!/(n-1)!(k-n+1)!) = 1$. So n divides t . Hence $t = n$, i.e., $C_q = \{M\}$, and by 1.3 G is weakly $(n-1)$ -transitive over S .

DEFINITIONS.

1. $a|b$ means a divides b .

2. If p, x are integers then $e(p, x)$ is defined to be the greatest integer z such that $p^z|x$.

THEOREM 2. *For every positive integer n there is an integer $k \geq 2n$ such that $\text{g.c.d.}(n, (C_{k,n-1})) = 1$.*

PROOF. Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r be the distinct prime factors of n . For each p_i let a_i be an integer such that $p_i^{a_i} > n$. Let

$$x = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}.$$

Clearly $n|x$. Choose $k = x + n - 1$. We first note that for every integer h such that $0 < h < n$, $e(p_i, x+h) = e(p_i, h)$. For if $p^z|(x+h)$ then since $p_i^{a_i}|x$ and $h < p_i^{a_i}$, $p_i^{a_i} \nmid (x+h)$. Hence $z < a_i$. But then $p_i^z|x$ and thus $p_i^z|h$. Similarly if $p_i^z|h$ then $z < a_i$ and so $p_i^z|(x+h)$.

Thus for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$

$$\begin{aligned} e\left(p_i, \binom{k}{n-1}\right) &= e\left(p_i, \frac{(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1)}{(n-1)!}\right) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} e(p_i, x+h) - \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} e(p_i, h) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} [e(p_i, (x+h)) - e(p_i, h)] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{g.c.d.}(p_i, (C_{k,n-1})) = 1$. So $\text{g.c.d.}(n, (C_{k,n-1})) = 1$.

THEOREM 3. *Let \bar{S} be an infinite set, and S an infinite subset of \bar{S} . Let G be weakly n -transitive over S . Then G is weakly $(n-1)$ -transitive over S .*

PROOF. Let X, Y be any two $(n-1)$ -subsets of S . By Theorem 2 there is an integer $k \geq 2n$ such that $(n, (C_{k,n-1})) = 1$. Let Z be a k -subset of S such that $Z \supset X \cup Y$. Since G is weakly n -transitive over S , it is weakly n -transitive over Z . But then by Theorem 1, G is weakly $(n-1)$ -transitive over Z . Hence there is a g in G such that $g(X) = Y$.

COROLLARY 1. *If S is an infinite set and G is weakly n -transitive over S then G is weakly $(n-1)$ -transitive over S .*

COROLLARY 2. *If S is an infinite topological space and S is weakly n -homogeneous then S is weakly $(n-1)$ -homogeneous.*

REFERENCES

1. C. E. Burgess, *Some theorems on n -homogeneous continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 5 (1954) pp. 136-143.
2. ——— *Certain types of homogeneous continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 6 (1955) pp. 348-350.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN AND
OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY