

# ON INEQUALITIES WITH ALTERNATING SIGNS

RICHARD BELLMAN

1. **Introduction.** In a recent paper, Olkin [2], established the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let*

- (1) (a)  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_m \geq 0$ ,  
(b)  $1 \geq w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \cdots \geq w_m \geq 0$ ,  
(c)  $h(x)$  be convex in  $[0, a_1]$ ,  $h(0) \leq 0$ .

Then

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j-1} w_j h(a_j) \geq h\left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j-1} w_j a_j\right).$$

This is an extension of the result given in [1], which in turn is an extension of the original result of Weinberger, [4].<sup>1</sup> The purpose of this paper is to show that Olkin's result is a special case of an interesting inequality due to Steffensen, [3].

2. **Steffensen's inequality.** The result of Steffensen is the following.

THEOREM 2. *Let*

- (1) (a)  $f(t)$  be non-negative and monotone decreasing in  $[a, b]$ .  
(b)  $g(t)$  satisfy the constraint  $0 \leq g(t) \leq 1$ ,  $t$  in  $[a, b]$ .

Then

$$(2) \quad \int_{b-c}^b f(t) dt \leq \int_a^b f(t) g(t) dt \leq \int_a^{a+c} f(t) dt,$$

where

$$(3) \quad c = \int_a^b g(t) dt.$$

Let us give a proof for the sake of completeness. Define the function  $u(s)$  by the relation

---

Received by the editors November 3, 1958 and, in revised form, December 8, 1958.

<sup>1</sup> This is an appropriate place to note that the results in [1] and [4] are themselves special cases of Theorem 108 of *Inequalities* by Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya.

$$(4) \quad \int_a^s f(t)g(t)dt = \int_a^u f(t)dt.$$

It is easy to see that  $u(a) = a$ , that  $u(s)$  is continuous and monotone increasing as  $s$  goes from  $a$  to  $b$ , and that  $u(s) \leq s$ . The condition that  $0 \leq g(t) \leq 1$  is essential here. We have, upon differentiating,

$$(5) \quad f(u) \frac{du}{ds} = f(s)g(s),$$

whence,

$$(6) \quad \frac{du}{ds} = \frac{f(s)g(s)}{f(u)} \leq g(s),$$

taking account of the fact that  $u(s) \leq s$  and that  $f(s)$  is monotone decreasing. Hence,

$$(7) \quad u \leq a + \int_a^s g(s)ds.$$

This yields the right-hand side of (2), and the left-hand side is derived in the same fashion.

**3. Olkin's inequality.** To derive Olkin's result, choose for  $g(t)$  the function defined by

$$(1) \quad g(t) = \lambda_k, \quad a_{k+1} \leq t \leq a_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1,$$

where  $\lambda_1 = w_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = w_1 - w_2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = w_1 - w_2 + w_3$ , and so on, and for  $h(t)$  the function defined by

$$(2) \quad h'(t) = f(t).$$

Using the inequality of (2.2) for the preceding choice of functions, we obtain a slightly stronger result of the form of (1.2).

**4. A generalization of Steffensen's inequality.** Let us now establish a generalization of the inequality given in §2. It will be clear that many further results of this type can be obtained using the same techniques.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let*

(a)  *$f(t)$  be non-negative and monotone decreasing in  $[a, b]$ .*

(1) (b)  *$f \in L^p[a, b]$ .*

(c)  *$g(t) \geq 0$  in  $[a, b]$  and  $\int_a^b g^p dt \leq 1$ ,*

where  $p > 1$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \left( \int_a^b fgdt \right)^p \leq \int_a^{a+c} f^p dt,$$

where

$$(3) \quad c = a + \left( \int_a^b gdt \right)^p.$$

PROOF. Consider the function  $u(t)$  defined for  $a \leq t \leq b$  by the equation

$$(4) \quad \left( \int_a^t fgdt \right)^p = \int_a^u f^p dt.$$

Since

$$(5) \quad \left( \int_a^t fgdt \right)^p \leq \left( \int_a^t f^p dt \right) \left( \int_a^t g^{p'} dt \right)^{p/p'} \leq \int_a^t f^p dt,$$

we see that  $u(t)$  exists and satisfies the relation  $u(t) \leq t$  for  $t$  in  $[a, b]$  with  $u(a) = a$ . This function is monotone increasing and satisfies the differential equation

$$(6) \quad f(u)^p \frac{du}{dt} = pf(t)g(t) \left( \int_a^t fgdt \right)^{p-1}$$

almost everywhere.

The monotonic nature of  $f(t)$  and  $u(t)$  yield the inequality

$$(7) \quad \frac{du}{dt} \leq pg(t) \left( \int_a^t gdt \right)^{p-1},$$

whence

$$(8) \quad u(t) \leq a + \left( \int_a^t gdt \right)^p.$$

This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Bellman, *On an inequality of Weinberger*, Amer. Math. Monthly vol. 60 (1953) p. 402.
2. I. Olkin, *An inequality of Weinberger and Bellman*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 45 (1959) pp. 230-231.
3. J. F. Steffensen, *Bounds of certain trigonometric integrals*, Tenth Scandinavian Math. Congress, 1946, pp. 181-186.
4. H. F. Weinberger, *An inequality with alternating signs*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 38 (1952) pp. 611-613.