## A NOTE ON CERTAIN POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS1

## **EMERY THOMAS**

1. The algebras  $A_r$ . We discuss in this note the properties of certain polynomial algebras over an integral domain k, where k has characteristic 2. Special cases of these algebras arise in Algebraic Topology: first, as the mod 2 cohomology algebras of the classifying spaces for real vector space bundles; and secondly, as a certain subalgebra (defined by C. T. C. Wall, see [4]) of the Thom algebra of nonoriented differentiable manifolds [3].

Let r denote either a positive integer or  $\infty$ . We set

$$A_r = k[x_1, \cdots, x_i, \cdots; y_1, \cdots, y_i, \cdots],$$

where  $1 \le i \le r$ , if r is an integer;  $1 \le i < \infty$ , if  $r = \infty$ . Define a derivation  $\beta$  on  $A_r$  by setting

(1) 
$$\beta x_i = y_i, \quad \beta y_i = 0, \quad \beta \mid k = 0;$$

(2) 
$$\beta(uv) = (\beta u)v + u(\beta v), \quad u,v \in A_r.$$

Using the fact that k has characteristic 2, one may easily show

$$\beta \circ \beta = 0.$$

We prove<sup>2</sup> a simple result relating the structure of  $A_r$  to  $\beta$ , and then apply this result to the examples mentioned above.

Denote by P the subalgebra of  $A_r$  spanned by all the monomials in  $x_i^2$ . Set

(4)  $S = submodule of A_r spanned by all the elements <math>px_{i_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot x_{i_a}y_{j_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot y_{j_b}$ .

Here  $p \in P$ ,  $i_1 < \cdots < i_a$ ,  $a \ge 1$ ;  $j_1 \le j_2 \cdots \le j_b$ ,  $b \ge 0$ ; and  $i_1 \le j_1$ , if b > 0.

THEOREM 1.  $A_r = P \oplus \beta S \oplus S$  (k-module direct sum), where Kernel  $\beta = P \oplus \beta S$ , Image  $\beta = \beta S$ .

PROOF. Let T be the submodule of  $A_r$  spanned by the elements  $px_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_c}y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_d}$ , where  $p \in P$ ,  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_c$ ,  $c \ge 0$ ;  $j_1 \le j_2 \le \cdots \le j_d$ ,  $d \ge 1$ ; and  $j_1 < i_1$ , if c > 0. Clearly, as a k-module,  $A_r = P \oplus T \oplus S$ . Hence, the splitting in Theorem 1 is obtained when we show

Received by the editors August 21, 1959.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research has been partly supported by U. S. Air Force Contract AF 49(638)-79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I would like to thank the referee for his simplifications in the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1.  $T \oplus S = \beta S \oplus S$ .

We prove this by first defining a k-module homomorphism,  $\lambda$ , from S to T. It suffices to define  $\lambda$  on the generating elements  $s = px_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_k}$  of S (see (4)). For this we set

$$\lambda(s) = p x_{i_2} \cdot \cdot \cdot x_{i_n} y_{i_1} y_{j_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot y_{j_b};$$

it is easily checked from the definition that  $\lambda(s) \in T$ . Now let  $t = px_{i_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot x_{i_2}y_{j_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot y_{j_d}$  be an arbitrary generator of T. Let  $s = px_{j_1}x_{i_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot x_{i_2}y_{j_2} \cdot \cdot \cdot y_{j_d}$ . Then,  $s \in S$  and  $\lambda(s) = t$ . Thus we have shown

$$\lambda(S) = T.$$

The homomorphism  $\lambda$  is related to the derivation  $\beta$  in the following way: again let  $s = px_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_a}y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_b}$  be a generator of S. Then by (1), (2), and (3), we have  $\beta p = 0$ , and

$$\beta s = \lambda s + \omega$$
.

Here

$$\omega = \sum_{i=2}^{a} p x_{i_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \hat{x}_{i_i} \cdot \cdot \cdot x_{i_a} y_{i_i} y_{j_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot y_{j_b}$$

if  $a \ge 2$ , and ^ means "omit." It follows from the definition that each term in  $\omega$  belongs to S. Hence,

$$\beta s \equiv \lambda s \mod S.$$

Therefore, by (5),

$$\beta S \equiv \lambda S \equiv T \mod S$$
.

Hence,  $\beta S \oplus S = T \oplus S$ , completing the proof of Lemma 1.

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1 we are left with showing that Kernel  $\beta = P \oplus \beta S$  (since this implies at once that Image  $\beta = \beta S$ ). By (1), (2), and (3), it is clear that  $P \oplus \beta S \subset \text{Kernel } \beta$ . The proof of the theorem is thus complete when we show that  $\beta$  restricted to S is a monomorphism. But since  $S \cap T = 0$ , and  $\lambda(S) = T$ , this will follow at once from (6) and the following lemma.

## LEMMA 2. $\lambda$ is a monomorphism.

Now a k-basis for the submodule S consists of the totality of classes  $s = px_{i_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot x_{i_a}y_{j_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot y_{j_b}$ , where p is a monomial in the elements  $x_i^2$  and the integers  $i_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot , j_b$  satisfy the conditions given after (4). Furthermore, each class  $\lambda s$  is a k-basis element for T. Hence, the proof of Lemma 2 consists in showing that if s and s' are distinct

basis elements of S, then  $\lambda s$  and  $\lambda s'$  are distinct basis elements of T. This follows fairly easily from the definitions of S, T, and  $\lambda$ ; we leave the details to the reader. With Lemma 2 proved, the proof of Theorem 1 is then complete.

2. **Examples.** We give here some examples of algebras of type  $A_r$ . Denote by  $\tilde{G}_n$  the set of oriented n-dimensional subspaces of  $R^{\infty}$  (R=real numbers),  $n=1, 2, \cdots$ .  $\tilde{G}_n$  may be topologized so as to be a CW-complex and is then the base space of the classifying bundle,  $\tilde{\gamma}^n$ , for oriented n-plane bundles (see [1] for details). It is well known that

$$H^*(\tilde{G}_n; Z_2) = Z_2[\tilde{W}_2, \tilde{W}_3, \cdots, \tilde{W}_n],$$

where  $\tilde{W}_i$  is the *i*th Stiefel-Whitney characteristic class of the bundle  $\tilde{\gamma}^n$ . Denote by  $\beta_2$  the Bockstein coboundary associated with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow Z_4 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow 0$$
.

 $\beta_2$  is a derivation (see (2)) and

$$\beta_2 \tilde{W}_{2i} = \tilde{W}_{2i+1}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, n \text{ odd};$$
  
$$\beta_2 \tilde{W}_{2i} = \tilde{W}_{2i+1}, \qquad \beta_2 \tilde{W}_n = 0, \qquad 1 \leq i < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, n \text{ even.}$$

Therefore, for each positive integer q,

(7)  $H^*(\tilde{G}_{2q+1}; Z_2)$  is an algebra of type  $A_q$ , with respect to the field  $k = Z_2$ .

Since we may write  $H^*(\tilde{G}_{2q}; Z_2) = Z_2[\tilde{W}_{2q}][\tilde{W}_2, \cdots, \tilde{W}_{2q-1}]$ , we also have:

(8)  $H^*(\tilde{G}_{2q}; Z_2)$  is an algebra of type  $A_{q-1}$ , with respect to the integral domain  $k = Z_2[\tilde{W}_{2q}]$ .

In another paper [2] we use the following result, obtained from Theorem 1, to obtain a direct sum splitting of  $H^*(\tilde{G}_n; Z)$ .

Corollary 1. (a) In  $H^*(\tilde{G}_{2q+1}; Z_2)$  we have

Kernel 
$$\beta_2 = Z_2[\tilde{W}_2^2, \cdots, \tilde{W}_{2q}^2] \oplus Image \beta_2$$
.

(b) In  $H^*(\tilde{G}_{2q}; Z_2)$  we have

Kernel 
$$\beta_2 = Z_2[\tilde{W}_2^2, \cdots, \tilde{W}_{2q-2}^2, \tilde{W}_{2q}] \oplus Image \beta_2.$$

For a second example consider the algebra,  $\mathfrak{N}$ , of cobordism classes of (compact, differentiable) nonoriented manifolds. This is defined by Thom in [3]. C. T. C. Wall has recently defined a certain polynomial subalgebra,  $\mathfrak{M}$ , of  $\mathfrak{N}$  together with a derivation (boundary

operator),  $\partial$ , defined on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Wall shows that the pair  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\partial$  is an algebra of type  $A_{\infty}$ . The integral domain k in this case is the polynomial algebra  $Z_2[\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots]$ , where each  $\omega_i$  is the (nonoriented) cobordism class of the complex projective space  $P_{2^i}(C)$ . We do not give the details of this here as the example will be discussed more fully in a forthcoming paper. There Theorem 1 will be used to give generators and relations for the Thom algebra,  $\Omega$ , of *oriented* manifolds (see [3]).

3. The nonoriented case. As remarked above the algebras  $A_r$  describe abstractly the cohomology algebra  $H^*(\tilde{G}_n; Z_2)$ . In a separate paper [2] we will need analogous results for the cohomology algebra  $H^*(G_n; Z_2)$ . (Here  $G_n$  is the complex whose points are *n*-dimensional nonoriented subspaces of  $R^{\infty}$ .) To this end we define

$$(9) B_q = A_{q-1} \otimes Z_2[u,v] (1 \leq q < \infty),$$

where  $A_{q-1}$  is defined over the field  $k = Z_2$ . (We set  $A_0 = Z_2[1]$ ). Thus,  $B_q$  may be regarded as a mod 2 polynomial algebra on generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{q-1}; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{q-1}; u, v$ . We want to define a linear endomorphism  $\beta^*$  on  $B_q$  with properties analogous to those of  $\beta$ . Do this by first defining a derivation  $\bar{\beta}$  on  $Z_2[u, v]$  by

$$\bar{\beta}u = u^2, \qquad \bar{\beta}v = uv,$$

and then setting

$$\beta^*(a \otimes b) = \beta a \otimes b + a \otimes \bar{\beta}b,$$

for  $a \in A_{q-1}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u, v]$ . As a companion to Theorem 1 we then obtain

THEOREM 2. Kernel  $\beta^* = P^* \oplus Image \beta^*$ , where

$$P^* = Z_2[x_1^2, \cdots, x_{q-1}^2, v^2].$$

The proof of this follows from a simple result about vector spaces. Suppose we are given mod 2 vector spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  each with a linear endomorphism  $\beta_1$ , respectively  $\beta_2$ . Define an endomorphism  $\beta$  on  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  by

$$\beta(v_1 \otimes v_2) = \beta v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_1 \otimes \beta v_2,$$

for  $v_i \in V_i$ . Suppose further that for i = 1, 2,

Kernel 
$$\beta_i = A_i \oplus \text{Image } \beta_i$$
,

for some summand  $A_i \subset V_i$ . A simple calculation then gives:

LEMMA 3. Kernel  $\beta = (A_1 \otimes A_2) \oplus Image \beta$ .

Now one easily verifies that

Kernel 
$$\bar{\beta} = Z_2[v^2] \oplus \text{Image } \bar{\beta}$$
.

Thus, from Theorem 1 and Lemma 3, we obtain

Kernel 
$$\beta^* = (Z_2[x_1^2, \cdots, x_{q-1}^2] \otimes Z_2[v^2]) \oplus \text{Image } \beta^*.$$

Identifying  $Z_2[x_1^2, \dots, x_{q-1}^2] \otimes Z_2[v^2]$  with  $Z_2[x_1^2, \dots, x_{q-1}^2, v^2]$ , we obtain Theorem 2.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. J. Milnor, Lectures on characteristic classes, Mimeographed notes, Princeton University, 1957.
- 2. E. Thomas, On the cohomology of the real Grassmann complexes and the characteristic classes of n-plane bundles, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- 3. R. Thom, Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables, Comment. Math. Helv. vol. 28 (1954) pp. 17-86.
- 4. C. T. C. Wall, Note on the cobordism ring, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 65 (1959) pp. 329-331.

University of California, Berkeley