

## VARIATIONAL COMPLETENESS FOR COMPACT SYMMETRIC SPACES

ROBERT HERMANN<sup>1</sup>

We prove the following theorem: *Let  $K$  be a connected symmetric subgroup of the group of isometries of a compact connected globally Riemannian symmetric space  $M$ . Then,  $K$  acts in a variationally complete manner on  $M$ .* (We refer to the work of R. Bott and H. Samelson [1; 2] for a definition of this concept and applications to topology.)

Let  $G$  be a compact, connected Lie group,  $L$  a connected symmetric subgroup of  $G$ . Bott and Samelson have proved the following: (1)  $L$  acts in a variationally complete manner on  $G/L$ , the right coset space, (2)  $L$  acts via the linear isotropy representation in a variationally complete way on the tangent space at a point of  $G/L$ .

Our theorem then generalizes (1), but does not imply (2). The method is essentially the same as Bott's [1], but uses a Lie algebra approach in a stronger way. The proof then provides an exposition of Bott's very important result from a Lie-algebra point of view. Notice also that it suggests the general program of studying the Jacobi-fields on a symmetric space as a problem of Lie algebra theory, even in the nonvariational complete case.

1. We briefly recall Bott's notations: If  $p \in M$ ,  $M_p$  denotes the tangent space to  $M$  at  $p$ . Let  $O_p(K)$  be the orbit of  $K$  at  $p$ , let  $O(K)_p$  be its tangent space at  $p$ , and let  $g: R \rightarrow M$  be a geodesic of  $M$  beginning at  $p$ , perpendicular to  $O_p(K)$ . Consider the vector space  $J_g$  of Jacobi vector fields along  $g$ . A Jacobi field is a map  $t \rightarrow Y_t \in M_{g(t)}$  for  $t \in R$  that is a solution of the Jacobi Equation:

$$(1.1) \quad Y_t'' + R(X_t, Y_t)(X_t) = 0,$$

where  $t \rightarrow X_t$  is the tangent vector field to the geodesic  $g$ ,  $R(X_t, Y_t)$  is the linear map  $M_{g(t)} \rightarrow M_{g(t)}$  defined by the curvature form evaluated at  $(X_t, Y_t)$  and  $t \rightarrow Y_t''$  is the second covariant derivative of the field  $Y_t$  along  $g$ .

$J_g^K$  denotes the focal subspace of  $J_g$  relative to  $O(K)$ , i.e.  $J_g^K$  consists of those fields  $Y_t$  satisfying the initial conditions

---

Received by the editors December 18, 1958.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by OOR, U. S. Army under contract no. Da19-020-ORD-3778.

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & Y_0 \in O(K)_p, \\ Y'_0 + T_\theta(Y_0) \in O(K)_p^\perp, \quad \text{where } T_\theta \text{ is a certain linear} \end{aligned}$$

transformation  $O(K)_p \rightarrow O(K)_p$ .

Hence,  $\dim J_\theta^K = \dim M$ .

Let  $\mathbf{K}$  denote the Lie algebra of  $K$ . There is a linear mapping  $\pi: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow J_\theta^K$  such that for  $k \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $\pi(k)$  is obtained by restricting the vector field determined by  $k$  on  $M$  to  $g$ .

By definition, to prove variational completeness we must show that every element of  $J_\theta^K$  that vanishes at some point of  $g$  must lie in  $\pi(\mathbf{K})$ .

2.  $M$  is a coset space  $G/L$ , where  $L$  is a compact, symmetric subgroup of a compact, connected Lie group  $G$ . It evidently suffices to prove the theorem in the case where  $p$  is the identity coset.

We have a natural reduction  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{L} \oplus \mathbf{M}$ , with  $[\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}] \subset \mathbf{L}$  and  $[\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}] \subset \mathbf{M}$ . (For the ideas and results of the differential geometry of symmetric spaces, see [3].)  $M_p$  can be identified with  $\mathbf{M}$ , and each  $M_{g(t)}$ , for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , can be identified with  $M_p$  by parallel transport along  $g$ . There is then a correspondence between vector fields along  $g$  and curves  $t \rightarrow Y_t$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ . The metric on  $M$  can be considered as induced by a positive definite quadratic form on  $\mathbf{G}$  invariant under  $\text{Ad } G$ .

Let  $P$  denote the projection of  $\mathbf{G}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ . Then  $\mathbf{N} = P(\mathbf{K})$  is identified with  $O(K)_p$ . Let  $X \in \mathbf{M}$  correspond to  $X_0$ . Then  $X \in \mathbf{N}^\perp$ . One sees that a curve  $t \rightarrow Y_t$  in  $\mathbf{M}$  corresponds to a vector field in  $J_\theta^K$  if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & Y_0 \in \mathbf{N}, \\ & Y'_0 + T_\theta(Y_0) \in \mathbf{N}^\perp, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad Y'_t = (\text{Ad } X)^2 Y_t,$$

where  $t \rightarrow Y'_t$  is now the derivative in the ordinary sense. (One uses the explicit formula  $\text{Ad}[x, y] = -R(x, y)$  for the curvature in symmetric spaces and the fact that curvature is invariant under parallel translation in identifying  $R(X_t, Y_t)X_t$  with  $(\text{Ad } X)^2 Y_t$ , [3].)

If  $k \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $\pi(k)$  corresponds to the curve  $t \rightarrow \pi(k)_t = P((\text{Ad Exp } tX)(k))$ , hence  $\pi(k)_0 = P(k)$ ,  $\pi(k)'_0 = P([X, k])$ .

The question of variational completeness can now be treated in this Lie algebra setting as a property of solutions of vector-valued ordinary linear differential equations (2.2) with constant coefficients. In particular, a reduction of  $\mathbf{M}$  into subspaces invariant under

(Ad  $X$ )<sup>2</sup> leads to a decomposition of solutions of (2.2) into solutions taking values in the invariant subspaces.

**3. The proof.**

- (a) kernel  $\pi = K \cap L \cap \text{kernel Ad } X$ .
- (b)  $\dim \pi(K) = \dim K - \dim K \cap L \cap \text{kernel Ad } X = \dim P(K) + \dim K \cap L - \dim K \cap L \cap \text{kernel Ad } X = \dim P(K) + \dim \text{Ad } X(K \cap L)$ .
- (c)  $[K^\perp, K^\perp] \subset K, [K, K^\perp] \subset K^\perp$ , since  $K$  is a symmetric subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . (The perpendicular operation  $\perp$  is always with respect to the given metric on  $\mathfrak{G}$ .)  $L^\perp = M, (\text{Ad } X)^2(P(K)) \subset P(K)$ , since  $X \in K^\perp \cap L^\perp$ .
- (d)  $\text{Ad } X(K \cap L) \subset K^\perp \cap L^\perp$  hence  $\text{Ad } X(K \cap L) \subset P(K)^\perp \cap M, (\text{Ad } X)^2(\text{Ad } X(K \cap L)) \subset (\text{Ad } X)^2(K^\perp \cap L^\perp) \subset \text{Ad } X(K \cap L)$ .
- (e) Define  $\mathcal{Q} = M \cap P(K)^\perp \cap \text{Ad } X(K \cap L)^\perp = (P(K) + \text{Ad } X(K \cap L))^\perp \cap M$ . Then,  $(\text{Ad } X)^2(\mathcal{Q}) \subset \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q} \subset K^\perp \cap L^\perp$ .
- (f)  $\text{Ad}^2 X(\mathcal{Q}) = 0$ , for,  $\text{Ad } X(\mathcal{Q}) \subset K \cap L$ , hence  $\text{Ad}^2 X(\mathcal{Q}) \subset \text{Ad } X(K \cap L) \cap \mathcal{Q} = 0$ .
- (g)  $\text{Dim } M = \dim \mathfrak{M} = \dim J_\sigma^K = \dim (\pi(K) + \mathcal{Q})$ .
- (h) If  $k \in K$ , then  $\pi(k)_t \in \mathcal{Q}^\perp$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

PROOF.  $\pi(k)_t = P(\sinh(\text{Ad } tX)(k)) + P(\cosh(\text{Ad } tX)(k))$ . Now,  $P(\cosh(\text{Ad } tX)(k)) \in P(K) \subset \mathcal{Q}^\perp$ . Then,  $\sinh(\text{Ad } tX)(k) \in \mathcal{Q}^\perp$  because of (e) and (f), and the fact that  $(\text{Ad } X)^2$  is a symmetric transformation, with respect to the positive-definite quadratic form on  $\mathfrak{G}$ , that commutes with  $P$ .

Now, define a map  $\psi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow J_\sigma^K$  as follows: For  $q \in \mathcal{Q}, \psi(q)_t$  is the curve in  $M$  satisfying (2.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(q_0) &= 0, \\ \psi(q'_0) &= q. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (e) and (f),  $\psi(q)_t = tq$ , and hence  $\psi$  is one-to-one. Then  $J_\sigma^K = \psi(\mathcal{Q}) + \pi(K), \psi(\mathcal{Q}) \cap \pi(K) = 0$  and the theorem follows. For if  $t \rightarrow Y_t$  is an element of  $J_\sigma^K, Y_t = \pi(k)_t + tq$  for  $k \in K, q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and  $Y_t = 0$  for some  $t > 0$ , then  $q = 0$  by (h).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. Bott, *An application of the Morse theory to the topology of Lie groups*, Bull. Soc. Math. France vol. 4 (1956) pp. 251-281.
2. R. Bott and H. Samelson, *Applications of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 80 (1958) pp. 964-1029.
3. K. Nomizu, *Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 76 (1954) pp. 33-65.