NOTE ON THE GLOBAL DIMENSION OF A CERTAIN RING

GEORGE S. RINEHART

If $M$ is a module over a ring $S$, we denote by $d_S(M)$ the projective dimension of $M$ as an $S$-module, and by $\text{gl.d.}(S)$ the global dimension of $S$; i.e., the sup of $d_S(M)$ as $M$ runs over all left $S$-modules [2, VI].

Let $S$ be a commutative ring with identity element 1, and let $F$ be a subring of $S$ containing 1. Let $T$ be the left $R$-module of all $F$-derivations of $S$. $T$ may be regarded as a Lie algebra over $F$ in the natural fashion. Regarding $S$ as an abelian Lie algebra over $F$, we construct a Lie algebra $L$ over $F$, containing $S$ as an ideal and $T$ as a subalgebra, by letting $L$ be the semidirect sum $S + T$, the commutation being given by

$$[s_1 + t_1, s_2 + t_2] = (t_1(s_2) - t_2(s_1)) + [t_1, t_2].$$

Let $U$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $L$, and denote the canonical injection of $L$ into $U$ by $x \rightarrow x'$. Let $I$ be the ideal of $L'U$ generated by the elements $r'x' - (rx)'$, with $r$ in $S$ and $x$ in $L$. Put $V = L'U/I$. To each element of $V$ there corresponds a differential operator on $R$ in the natural fashion, and $S$ thus becomes a $V$-module. The $F$-algebra $V$ has been used by Hochschild, Kostant and Rosenberg for the theory of the differential forms on $S$. They have shown [4] that if $F$ is a perfect field and $S$ is a regular affine $F$-algebra of Krull dimension $n$, $d_{V}(S) = n$ and $\text{gl.d.}(V) \leq 2n$.

We are chiefly concerned here with the problem of the precise determination of the global dimension of $V$ in the simplest nontrivial case, where $S = F[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ is the polynomial algebra in $n$ variables over an arbitrary field $F$. In this case, $T$ is a free $S$-module on $n$ generators, the partial differentiations with respect to the variables. From this it is easily seen that $V$ may be identified with $(S \otimes F_S)/J$, $J$ being the ideal generated by the elements $y_i \otimes y_j - y_j \otimes y_i - \delta_{ij} 1 \otimes 1$, where $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta. Writing $x_i$ for $y_i \otimes 1$ and $y_i$ for $1 \otimes y_i$, we have $V = R_n = F[y_1, \ldots, y_n]\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, with $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$ and $x_i y_j - y_j x_i = \delta_{ij}$.

From the above, we have $n \leq \text{gl.d.}(R_n) \leq 2n$. If $F$ has characteristic $\neq 0$, we easily show $\text{gl.d.}(R_n) = 2n$. The case for characteristic zero turns out to be hard. Here we obtain a definite result only for $n = 1$.
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showing that \( \text{gl.d.}(R_1) = 1 \). This result in turn gives a slight strengthening of the above inequality \( n \leq \text{gl.d.}(R_n) \leq 2n - 1 \), if \( F \) has characteristic 0).

The considerations here are independent of the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg result.

1. By a theorem of M. Auslander [1, Theorem 1], we know that 
   \[ \text{gl.d.}(S) \leq 1 + \sup d_g(I), \] 
as \( I \) runs through all left ideals of \( S \). We therefore begin with some considerations of the ideal structure of the ring 
   \( R = F[y]\{x\} \) (with \( xy - yx = 1 \)).

   Every element of \( R \) can be written uniquely in the form

\[
\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i(y)x^i
\]

where each \( f_i(y) \) is an element of \( F[y] \). If \( f_k(y) \neq 0 \), we say that \( \theta \) is of degree \( k \) (written \( \delta^0(\theta) = k \)) and has leading coefficient \( f_k(y) \). We have

\[
x^n f(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} f^{(k)}(y)x^{n-k}.
\]

It follows from this that \( \delta^0(\theta \phi) = \delta^0(\theta) + \delta^0(\phi) \), for all \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) in \( R \).

Let \( I \) be any (left) ideal of \( R \) and let \( I_k \subset F[y] \) be the ideal consisting of the leading coefficients of those elements of \( I \) which have degree \( k \). Let \( g_k(y) \) be the monic polynomial that generates \( I_k \) as an ideal in \( F[y] \). Let \( \theta_k \) be an element of \( I \) of degree \( k \) with leading coefficient \( g_k(y) \). Then if \( \phi \in I \) has degree \( s \), \( \phi - k(y)\theta_s \) has degree less than \( s \), for some \( h(y) \in F[y] \). Hence, by an induction on the degree,

\[
I = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F[y]\theta_k.
\]

Now, since

\[
x \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i(y)x^i = f_k(y)x^{k+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k} (f'_i(y) + f_{i-1}(y))x^i,
\]

we have \( I_k \subset I_{k+1} \). Hence \( g_{k+1}(y) \) divides \( g_k(y) \). Therefore, for some sufficiently large \( m \), \( g_k(y) = g_m(y) \) for every \( k \geq m \). Hence, by (4), we can choose \( \theta_k = x^{k-m}\theta_m \), for every \( k \geq m \). Then, by (3),

\[
I = \sum_{k=0}^{m} R\theta_k.
\]

Let \( d_k(y) = g_k(y)/g_{k+1}(y) \). Then \( \delta^0(d_k(y)\theta_{k+1} - x\theta_k) \leq k \), by (4), so that
Let $F$ have characteristic 0 and let $I$ be a proper left (right) ideal in $R$ containing a monic irreducible polynomial $p(y) \in F[y]$. Then $I = Rp(y)$ ($I = p(y)R$).

Proof. Write $I$ as in (5) with $m$ minimal. Clearly $\theta_m = p(y)$, and it suffices to show that $m=0$. Suppose not. Then $g_k(y) \neq g_0(y) = p(y)$, for some $k$. Choose a minimal such $k$. Since $g_k(y)$ divides $p(y)$, $g_k(y) = 1$. Hence $\theta_k = x^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i(y)x^i$. Hence

$$p(y)\theta_k - x^k p(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} p(y)f_i(y) - p(y)\theta_{k-i}(y) .$$

Since this element is in $I$, $g_{k-i}(y)$ divides its leading coefficient. But $g_{k-i}(y) = p(y)$. Hence $p(y)$ divides $p(y)f_{k-i}(y) - kp'(y)$, whence $p(y) = 1$, a contradiction.

A similar proof gives the result for right ideals.

2. Now we turn to the question of the global dimension of $R_n$.

Proposition 1. Let $R^*$ be the opposite algebra of $R$. Then $d_{R \otimes R^*}(R) = 2$.

Proof. As an $R \otimes R^*$-module, $R$ is isomorphic to $R \otimes R^*/K$, where $K$ is the ideal generated by $x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x^*$ and $y \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y^*$. It is sufficient to verify that these two elements form an "$R \otimes R^*$-sequence" in the sense that they commute with each other and that the only left multiples of one lying in the left ideal generated by the other are multiples by an element in that ideal; for then a standard resolution due to Koszul shows that $d_{R \otimes R^*}(R) = 2$ [2, VIII, 4.2]. But we can define an $F$-isomorphism between $R_2$ and $R \otimes R^*$ by $x_1 \mapsto x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x^*$, $x_2 \mapsto 1 \otimes x^*$, $y_1 \mapsto y \otimes 1$, $y_2 \mapsto y \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y^*$, and it is easily seen that $x_1$ and $y_1$ form an "$R_2$-sequence."

Corollary. $\text{gl.d.}(R_n) \leq \text{gl.d.}(R) + 2(n-1)$; and $\text{gl.d.}(R_n) \leq 2n$. 
Proof. For \( n > 1 \), \( R_n = R_{n-1} \otimes_R R \). By [3, Prop. 2], the global dimension of the latter does not exceed \( \text{gl.d.}(R_{n-1}) + d_{R \otimes_R R}(R) = \text{gl.d.}(R_{n-1}) + 2 \). Hence, by iteration, the first result is obtained. The second follows from it by one more iteration, since \( R = F \otimes_R R \), and \( \text{gl.d.}(F) = 0 \).

Proposition 2. If \( F \) has characteristic zero, \( \text{gl.d.}(R) \leq 1 \).

Proof. Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \), written as in (5). For \( \theta_k \neq 0 \), choose \( d_{k,0}(y), d_{k,1}(y), \ldots, d_{k,q_k}(y) \) in \( F[y] \) such that \( d_{k,0}(y) = d_k(y), d_{k,q_k}(y) = 1 \), \( d_{k,j+1}(y) \) divides \( d_{k,j}(y) \), and \( d_{k,j}(y)/d_k(j+1)(y) \) is an irreducible polynomial. Let \( k_0 \) be minimal such that \( \theta_k \neq 0 \). Set \( \phi_0 = \theta_{k_0}, \phi_1 = d_{k_2,1}(y)\theta_{k_2+1}, \ldots, \phi_a = d_{k_a,q_a}(y)\theta_{k_a+1} = \theta_{k_a+1}, \phi_{a+1} = d_{k_{a+1},1}(y)\theta_{k_{a+1}+2}, \ldots \) etc. Let \( J_k = \sum_{j=0}^i R\phi_j \). Then, using (6),

\[
\rho_k(y)\phi_k+1 \subset J_k
\]

for some irreducible polynomial \( \rho_k(y) \in F[y] \); and \( J_t = I \) for \( t = \sum_{k=k_0}^{a-1} q_k \).

Now \( J_0 \) is \( R \)-free and hence \( d_R(J_0) = 0 \). Assume inductively that \( d_R(J_k) = 0 \). We will show \( d_R(J_{k+1}) = 0 \), and conclude by induction that \( d_R(I) = 0 \), and hence that \( \text{gl.d.}(R) \leq 1 \). We assume that \( \phi_{k+1} \) is not in \( J_k \), since otherwise there is nothing to prove.

Lemma 2. The restriction map \( \rho : \text{Hom}_R(J_{k+1}, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(J_k, R) \) is not surjective.

Proof. Since \( J_k \) is finitely generated, there is a finitely generated free \( R \)-module \( E \) and an epimorphism \( \rho : E \rightarrow J_k \). Since by inductive hypothesis \( J_k \) is projective, there is a homomorphism \( i : J_k \rightarrow E \) such that \( \rho \circ i \) is the identity. Consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hom}(J_{k+1}, E) & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & \text{Hom}(J_k, E) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Hom}(J_{k+1}, J_k) & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & \text{Hom}(J_k, J_k)
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical maps are induced by \( \rho \). If \( \rho \) were surjective so would be \( \rho_1 \), by a direct sum argument. Then \( \rho_2 \) would be in the image of \( \rho_1 \), so that the identity would be in the image of \( \rho_2 \). But if \( \rho_2(a) \) were the identity, for some \( a \in \text{Hom}(J_{k+1}, J_k) \), we would have \( a(\rho_k(y)\phi_{k+1}) = \rho_k(y)\phi_{k+1} \) by (7). Then \( \rho_k(y) a(\phi_{k+1}) = \rho_k(y)\phi_{k+1} \), whence \( a(\phi_{k+1}) = \phi_{k+1} \), whence \( \phi_{k+1} \in J_k \), contrary to assumption. Thus the lemma is proved.

Now \( J_{k+1}/J_k \cong R\phi_{k+1}/(R\phi_{k+1} \cap J_k) \). Hence there is an \( R \)-epimorphism \( \beta : R \rightarrow J_{k+1}/J_k \) such that \( \beta(1) = \phi_{k+1} + J_k \). Since \( \beta(\rho_k(y)) = 0 \) by
(7), $\beta$ induces an isomorphism between $R/Rp_k(y)$ and $J_{k+1}/J_k$, by Lemma 1. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$(0) \rightarrow J_k \rightarrow J_{k+1} \rightarrow R/Rp_k(y) \rightarrow (0),$$

from which we obtain the exact sequence

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Hom}(J_{k+1}, R) & \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Hom}(J_k, R) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{Ext}^1(R/Rp_k(y), R) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}^1(J_{k+1}, R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Ext}^1(J_k, R) = (0).
\end{align*}$$

The right $R$-module structure of $R$ induces a right $R$-module structure on the groups of (8), and the maps are then $R$-homomorphisms. From the projective resolution

$$(0) \rightarrow Rp_k(y) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/Rp_k(y) \rightarrow (0)$$

we compute $\text{Ext}^1(R/Rp_k(y), R)=R/p_k(y)R$. By Lemma 1, this is simple as a right $R$-module. But, by Lemma 2, the map $\rho$ in (8) is not surjective, and therefore $\gamma \neq 0$. Hence $\gamma$ must be surjective, and therefore $\delta = 0$. Since $\delta$ is surjective,

$$\text{Ext}^1(J_{k+1}, R) = (0).$$

Since $\text{gl.d.}(R) \leq 2$, by the previous corollary,

$$d_R(J_{k+1}) \leq 1$$

so that there is a projective resolution

$$(0) \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow J_{k+1} \rightarrow (0)$$

of $J_{k+1}$. Since $R$ is noetherian, $X_0$ and $X_1$ can be chosen to be finitely generated. Let $E$ be $R$-free. Then since by (9) the map $\text{Hom}(X_0, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_1, R)$ is surjective, it is easily seen that $\text{Hom}(X_0, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_1, E)$ is also surjective; i.e.,

$$\text{Ext}^1(J_{k+1}, E) = (0).$$

Now let $C$ be any $R$-module, and consider an exact sequence

$$(0) \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow C \rightarrow (0)$$

with $E$ $R$-free. We obtain an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}^1(J_{k+1}, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(J_{k+1}, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(J_{k+1}, M).$$

Using (10) and (11), we conclude $\text{Ext}^1(J_{k+1}, C) = (0)$. Hence $d_R(J_{k+1}) = 0$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

**Theorem.** If $F$ has characteristic $\neq 0$, $\text{gl.d.}(R_n) = 2n$. If $F$ has characteristic 0, $n \leq \text{gl.d.}(R_n) \leq 2n - 1$. 

Proof. \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) is an "\(R_n\)-sequence." (See the proof of Proposition 1.) Hence the module obtained by factoring out the ideal generated by \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) has dimension \(n\). If \(F\) has characteristic \(p \neq 0\), \(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1^p, \ldots, y_n^p\) is such a sequence, and hence determines a module of dimension \(2n\). In the light of Proposition 2 and the corollary to Proposition 1, this completes the proof of the theorem.
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