SOME INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

RICHARD P. GOSSELIN

1. The purpose of this paper is to present a general integral inequality concerning subadditive functions and to make applications of this inequality. The applications pertain to relations among integrals involving first and second differences of $L^p$ functions. The finiteness of some of the integrals is connected with generalized Lipschitz conditions and with the existence of fractional derivatives. These facts are exploited to obtain both new and known theorems. Finally we show that in some cases the finiteness of the integral is not affected by interchanging the first and second differences of the function.

We say the positive measurable function $\phi$ is subadditive on the interval $(0, A)$, $0 < A \leq \infty$, if $\phi(u+v) \leq \phi(u) + \phi(v)$ where $u$, $v$, and $u+v$ all belong to $(0, A)$. The first theorem states that for subadditive functions the $L^p$ norm, $p \geq 1$, of $\phi(u)/u^a$ with respect to the infinite measure $du/u$ does not exceed a constant multiple of the $L$ norm of this function with respect to the same measure. Here $a$ is any real number.

**Theorem 1.** Let $\phi(u)$ be positive, measurable, and subadditive on $(0, A)$.

(i) Let $p \geq 1$, and let $\alpha$ be any real number. There exists $C_{a,p}$ depending only on its subscripts such that

$$\left( \int_0^A \frac{\phi^p(u)}{u^{1+pa}} \, du \right)^{1/p} \leq C_{a,p} \int_0^A \frac{\phi(u)}{u^{1+a}} \, du.$$

(ii) If either integral above is finite, then there exists a constant $C$ depending on $\phi$, $p$, and $\alpha$ but not on $u$ such that $\phi(u) \leq Cu^\alpha$ for $u$ in $(0, A)$.

A very special case of this inequality is known: viz. when $\phi$ is decreasing and $\alpha = -1$ [2, p. 39].

Let $M$ denote the value of the integral on the right in (1). $M$ may be assumed finite and strictly positive. Let $E$ denote the set of points $u$ such that $\phi(u) > Mu^\alpha/\log 4/3$, and let $G$ be the complement of $E$. Then
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We assert that for every \( u \) in \((0, A)\) there exists \( v \) in \((u/3, 2u/3)\) such that \( v \) belongs to \( G \) and such that \( w = u - v \) belongs to \( G \). If this were not true, say for \( u_0 \), then \((u_0/3, 2u_0/3) = E_0 \cup E_1\) where \( E_0 = E \cap (u_0/3, 2u_0/3) \) and \( E_1 \) is the set of points of the form \( v = u_0 - w \) where \( w \) belongs to \( E_0 \). Since \( E_0 \) and \( E_1 \) are reflections of each other through the point \( u_0/2 \), they have the same measure, \( |E_0| \). Thus \( |E_0| \cong u_0/6 \), and

\[
\log(4/3) = \int_{u_0/2}^{2u_0/3} \frac{1}{u} \, du \leq \int_{u_0/3}^{2u_0/3} \frac{1}{u} \, du \leq \int_{u}^{A} \frac{1}{u} \, du < \log(4/3)
\]

by (2). This contradiction proves our assertion. Thus

\[
\phi(u) = \phi(v + w) \leq \phi(v) + \phi(w) \leq \frac{M}{\log(4/3)}(v^\alpha + w^\alpha); \quad v, w \in G \cap (u/3, 2u/3).
\]

If \( \alpha \geq 0 \), \( v^\alpha + w^\alpha \leq 2u^\alpha \). If \( \alpha < 0 \), \( v^\alpha + w^\alpha \leq 2u^\alpha/3^\alpha \). Hence

\[
(3) \quad \phi(u) \leq C \alpha M u^\alpha/\log(4/3)
\]

and so

\[
\frac{\phi^p(u)}{u^{1+p\alpha}} \leq C_{\alpha,p} M^{p-1} \frac{\phi(u)}{u^{1+\alpha}}.
\]

Integration over \((0, A)\) completes the proof of \((i)\). \((3)\) shows that \( \phi(u) \cong Cu^\alpha \) if the right side of \((1)\) is finite. If only the left side of \((1)\) is finite, then the same proof holds except that \( M \) must be replaced by the value of the corresponding integral. The constant can be improved somewhat by modification of the set \( E_0 \). In the case \( \alpha \geq 0 \), it is bounded in \( \alpha \) for each \( p \).

It is a fact of some importance for applications that the theorem is vacuous for \( \alpha \geq 1 \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \phi \) be positive, measurable, and subadditive, and let \( \int_0^A \phi^p(u)/u^{1+p\alpha} \, du < \infty \) for some \( p > 0 \) and \( \alpha \geq 1 \). Then \( \phi \) is identically zero.

It is enough to consider the case \( \alpha = 1 \). We may take \( A \) to be \( \infty \) since \( \phi \) may always be defined as 0 to the right of \( A \). This does not affect the subadditivity property nor the finiteness of the above integral. If \( \phi \) is not equivalent to 0, there exists \( B, 0 < B < \infty \), such that
\[ \int_0^B \frac{\phi_p(u)}{u^{1+p}} \, du < \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi_p(u)}{u^{1+p}} \, du. \]

Let \( N \) be a large positive integer, and let \( u = Nv \). Since \( \phi_p(Nv) \leq N^p \phi_p(v) \),

\[ \int_0^{NB} \frac{\phi_p(u)}{u^{1+p}} \, du \leq \int_0^B \frac{\phi_p(v)}{v^{1+p}} \, dv. \]

Now let \( N \) approach \( \infty \). The resulting contradiction shows that \( \phi \) is equivalent to 0, and it is not hard to see from this that it is identically 0.

We mention briefly some variants of Theorem 1 with \( \phi \) positive, measurable, and subadditive as before. Let \( 0 \leq \alpha \) and \( 0 < p < 1 \). Then

\[ \int_0^A \frac{\phi(u)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \, du \leq C_p \left( \int_0^A \frac{\phi_p(u)}{u^{1+p\alpha}} \, du \right)^{1/p}. \]

Let \( A \) be finite, and let \( \alpha \geq 0, \gamma > 1, p \geq 1 \). Then

\[ \left( \int_0^A \frac{\phi_p(u)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \, du \right)^{1/p} \leq C(p, \gamma, A) \int_0^A \frac{\phi(u)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \, du. \]

The proofs of both inequalities follow the lines already established.

It is also easy to see that (1) remains valid if we replace \( 1/u^\alpha \) in both integrands by any positive, decreasing function.

2. Let \( f \) be periodic of period \( 2\pi \). For purposes of applying Theorem 1, our chief concern will be with the function

\[ \phi_r(u; f) = \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(x + u) - f(x) \right|^r \, dx \right)^{1/r}, \quad r \geq 1, \]

which is subadditive in view of Minkowski's inequality and the periodicity of \( f \). Thus the statement of Theorem 1 (i) in the case \( r = p \geq 1, \alpha \geq 0, \) is

\[ \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(x + u) - f(x)|^p}{u^{1+p\alpha}} \, du \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]

\[ \leq C_p \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{du}{u^{1+\alpha}} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x + u) - f(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}. \]

As noted previously, if \( \alpha \geq 0 \), we may take the constant independent of \( \alpha \).

If \( \alpha \geq 1 \), and if the left side of (4) is finite, then according to Theorem 2, \( \phi_p(u; f) \) is identically 0. This implies that \( f \) is equivalent to a
constant, a fact which is an integral analogue of an elementary result for functions satisfying an ordinary Lipschitz condition.

There are examples of functions for which the left side of (4) is finite while the right side is infinite. We define an $L^2$ function by its Fourier coefficients. Let

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}, \quad c_n = \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}(\log n)^\gamma}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < \gamma \leq 2.$$ 

The finiteness of the left side of (4) with $p = 2$ is equivalent to the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 1/n(\log n)\gamma$. For the integral on the right, it is convenient to split up the $u$-interval of integration into subintervals $(\pi/2^k, \pi/2^{k-1})$, $k = 0, 1, \cdots$. An easy calculation then shows that the divergence of the integral follows from the divergence of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\gamma/2}$.

Let $\Delta^2f(x, u)$ be the second symmetric difference of $f$, i.e., $\Delta^2f(x, u) = f(x+u) + f(x-u) - 2f(x)$, and let

$$\psi_r(u; f) = \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta^2f(x, u)|^r \, dx \right)^{1/r}, \quad r \geq 1.$$ 

Since

$$\Delta^2f(x, u + v) = \Delta^2f(x + u, v) + \Delta^2f(x - u, v) + 2\Delta^2f(x, u) - \Delta^2f(x, |u - v|),$$

then by Minkowski's inequality

$$\psi_r(u + v; f) \leq 2\psi_r(u; f) + 2\psi_r(v; f) + \psi_r(|u - v|; f).$$

Using this inequality along with the techniques already established, we may show

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\psi_p^r(u; f)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \, du \right)^{1/p} \leq C_p \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\psi_p^r(u; f)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \, du, \quad p \geq 1, \alpha \geq 0.$$ 

This result has pertinence to a theorem of Offord [3].

3. The finiteness of the integrals in (4) involves in some cases the existence of fractional derivatives. Let $f^{(a)}$ denote the fractional derivative of $f$ of order $a$. The following inequalities are meant to imply the existence of fractional derivatives in appropriate circumstances and represent a sharpened form of (4).

**Theorem 3.** (i) Let $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p \leq 2$. Then
\[
\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(\alpha)}(x)| \, p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq A_{p, \alpha} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\phi_p(u; f)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \, du \right)^{1/p}
\]
\[
\leq B_{p, \alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\phi_p(u; f)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \, du.
\]

(ii) Let \(0 < \alpha < 1\), \(2 \leq q\). Then
\[
\left( \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\phi_q^2(u; f)}{u^{1+2\alpha}} \, du \right)^{1/q} \leq C_{q, \alpha} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(\alpha)}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q}
\]
\[
\leq B_{q, \alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\phi_q(u; f)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \, du.
\]

It is convenient at this point to substitute \(f(x+u) - f(x-\nu)\) for \(f(x+\nu) - f(x)\) in the definition of \(\phi_p(u; f)\). This will not affect the above inequalities except possibly for a change in the constants. The first inequality in (i) is due to Hirschman [1], and the second is simply a special case of (4). The first inequality in (ii) is due to Oford [3], who states it using the second symmetric difference of \(f\); but his proof is equally valid in this case. For the second inequality in (ii), we use the following due to Hirschman [1, p. 545]:
\[
\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(\alpha)}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{2/q} \leq B_{q, \alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\phi_q^2(u; f)}{u^{1+2\alpha}} \, du.
\]

There is a misprint of the statement of this in [1] which accounts for a reversal of the inequality. Now it is enough to apply Theorem 1 to \(\phi_q\) with \(p = 2\).

The proofs in [1] are rather complicated, and we now give a somewhat simplified proof of the first inequality in (i), which however still involves complex methods indirectly through the use of a theorem of Littlewood and Paley. Let \(\sum c_n e^{inx}\) be the Fourier series of \(f\). Throughout the discussion of fractional derivatives, it is assumed that \(c_0 = 0\). We may also assume that \(c_n = 0\) if \(n < 0\) (cf. [4]). The Fourier series of \(f(x+u) - f(x-\nu)\) is then \(2i \sum c_n e^{inx}\). Let
\[
f_k(x) = \sum_{n=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} c_n e^{inx}, \quad f_k^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{n=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} n^\alpha c_n e^{inx}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots.
\]

Let \(\pi/2^{k+2} \leq u \leq \pi/2^{k+3}\), and \(2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}\). Then \((\sin \nu)^{-1}\) is monotone and bounded by \((\sin \pi/8)^{-1}\) for fixed \(\nu\) in the given range as \(n\) increases from \(2^k\) to \(2^{k+1}\). Using the fact that the \(L^p\) norm of a section
of a Fourier series of a function does not exceed a constant multiple of the $L^p$ norm of the function, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f_k(x)|^p dx \leq A_p \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n=\infty} c_n \sin nu e^{inx} \right|^p dx$$

$$\leq B_p \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x + u) - f(x - u)|^p dx$$

if $\pi/2^{k+2} \leq u \leq \pi/2^{k+3}$. We multiply the extreme terms of the above inequality by $1/u^{1+\alpha}$ and integrate over the given $u$ range to obtain

$$2k\pi \int_0^{2\pi} |f_k(x)|^p dx \leq C_{p,\alpha} \int_{\pi/2^{k+3}}^{\pi/2^{k+2}} \frac{du}{u^{1+\alpha}} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x + u) - f(x - u)|^p dx.$$ 

The transformation from $2k\pi f_k(x)$ to $f_k^{(a)}(x)$ is clearly bounded in $L^p$ so that summing over $k$ gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_k^{(a)}(x)|^p dx \leq D_{p,\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{du}{u^{1+\alpha}} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x + u) - f(x - u)|^p dx.$$ 

Let $f^{(a)}(x)$ be the function defined by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^a c_n e^{inx}$. By the theorem of Littlewood and Paley [4, p. 233],

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(a)}(x)|^p dx \leq E_p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_k^{(a)}(x)|^p dx.$$ 

Since $f^{(a)}$ is, apart from a complex constant, the $a$th derivative of $f$, the proof is complete.

4. The point of our last theorem is that in some cases we may substitute the first difference of the function for the second, symmetric difference without affecting the finiteness of the integral involved. Let $\Delta f(x, u) = f(x + u) - f(x)$.

**Theorem 4.** Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $p \geq 1$. Let the periodic function $f$ belong to $L^p$. Then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta f(x, u)|^p dudx \leq C_{p,\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^p dx + C_{p,\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta^2 f(x, u)|^p dudx.$$ 

Since the proof is an adaptation of a classical argument, we may be
brief. Systematic use of the relation $\Delta f(x, u) - 2\Delta f(x, u/2) = 2^{-n}\Delta f(x + u/2, u/2)$ leads to

$$\Delta f(x, u/2^n) = 2^{-n}\Delta f(x, u) - 2^{-n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} 2^{r-1} \Delta^2 f(x + u/2^r, u/2^r).$$

Thus by Hölder’s inequality (if $p > 1$),

$$2^{-p/q} |\Delta f(x, u/2^n)|^p \leq 2^{-np} |\Delta f(x, u)|^p + 2^{-n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} 2^{r-1} |\Delta^2 f(x + u/2^r, u/2^r)|^p.$$

We write

$$\int_0^{2^r} \frac{|f(x, u)|^p}{u^{1+a}} du = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} \frac{|\Delta f(x, u)|^p}{u^{1+a}} du$$

and apply to each term of the series the above inequality. Thus

$$\int_{\tau/2^{n-1}}^{\tau/2^n} \frac{|\Delta f(x, u)|^p}{u^{1+a}} du \leq 2^{n^2} \int_{\tau}^{2^r} \frac{|\Delta f(x, v/2^n)|^p}{v^{1+a}} dv \leq C_{p,a} 2^{n(a-p)} \int_{\tau}^{2^r} \frac{|\Delta f(x, u)|^p}{u^{1+a}} du + C_{p,a} 2^{n(a-1)} \sum_{r=1}^{n} 2^{r(1-a)} \int_{\tau/2^{n-1}}^{\tau/2^n} \frac{|\Delta^2 f(x + u, u)|^p}{u^{1+a}} du.$$

Since $\alpha < 1 \leq p$, summing over $n$ gives

$$\int_0^{2^r} \frac{|\Delta f(x, u)|^p}{u^{1+a}} du \leq C_{p,a} \int_0^{2^r} |f(x)|^p dx + C_{p,a} \int_0^{2^r} \frac{|\Delta^2 f(x + u, u)|^p}{u^{1+a}} du.$$

Integration with respect to $x$ and a change of variable in the second integral on the right complete the proof.
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