

## FLEXIBLE ALGEBRAS OF DEGREE ONE

ERWIN KLEINFELD AND LOUIS A. KOKORIS<sup>1</sup>

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  be a simple, flexible, powerassociative, finite-dimensional algebra over a field of characteristic zero. Then it is known that  $A$  has a unity element  $1$  [5], and consequently  $A$  has a degree. When  $A$  has degree larger than two, Oehmke has shown [5] that  $A^+$  is a simple Jordan algebra. Kokoris [4] has shown the same result in case  $A$  has degree two. In this paper we are able to show that if  $A$  has degree one then in fact  $A$  must be a one-dimensional algebra. Combining these results, the following theorem may be asserted.

**MAIN THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a simple, flexible, powerassociative, finite-dimensional algebra of characteristic zero then  $A^+$  is a simple Jordan algebra.*

**2. PROOF.** We begin with a result that is more general than actually needed to prove the main theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a flexible algebra with unity element  $1$  over a field  $F$  of characteristic not two. Suppose there exists some vector space decomposition of  $R$ ,  $R = F1 + N$ , such that for all elements  $a, b$  in  $N$   $a \cdot b = (ab + ba)/2$  is in  $N$ . Then the ideal  $C$  generated by all elements of the form  $(x, y, z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z)$  is contained in  $N$  and hence is a proper ideal of  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** For arbitrary elements  $x_1, x_2, y$  in  $N$  we have  $x_1 y = \lambda_1 1 + z_1$ , and  $x_2 y = \lambda_2 1 + z_2$ , where  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are in  $N$ , while  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are scalars. As in Schafer [7, Relation (8)] it follows from the flexible law that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_1 \cdot x_2)y &= \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 x_1 + x_1 \cdot z_2 + x_2 \cdot z_1 - (x_1 \cdot y) \cdot x_2 - (x_2 \cdot y) \cdot x_1 \\ &\quad + (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot y. \end{aligned}$$

As in Kokoris [3, p. 653] one goes on to show from (1) that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2, x_3)y &= (x_1, x_2, z_3) + (x_1, z_2, x_3) + (z_1, x_2, x_3) - (x_1 \cdot y, x_2, x_3) \\ &\quad - (x_1, x_2 \cdot y, x_3) + (x_3 \cdot y, x_2, x_1) + (x_1, x_2, x_3) \cdot y, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(x, y, z)$  is defined here as  $(x, y, z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot x)$ , while  $x_3 y = \lambda_3 1 + z_3$ , where  $z_3$  is in  $N$  and  $\lambda_3$  is a scalar. Then if  $B$  is the subspace generated by all  $(x, y, z)$ , relation (2) implies that  $BN \subset B$

Received by the editors August 16, 1961.

<sup>1</sup> One of the authors was supported in part by a grant from the Office of Ordnance Research.

$+B \cdot N$ ,  $NB \subset B + B \cdot N$ , and more generally  $((BN) \cdots)N \subset B + B \cdot N + \cdots + ((B \cdot N) \cdots) \cdot N$  etc. As a result the set  $C$ , defined as the set of all finite sums of elements from the sets  $B$ ,  $B \cdot N$ ,  $(B \cdot N) \cdot N$ ,  $\cdots$ , can be shown to be an ideal of  $A$ . Since  $B$  is readily shown to be in  $N$  and since  $N \cdot N \subset N$  by hypothesis, we may conclude that  $C \subset N$ . This concludes the proof of the theorem.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $R$  is also assumed to be simple then  $R^+$  is an associative, commutative algebra.*

While the following theorem is not essential to the proof of the Main Theorem, it together with Theorem 1 might be useful in a study of flexible algebras where the elements of  $N$  are not necessarily nilpotent.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $S$  is a flexible ring of characteristic different from two such that  $S^+$  is powerassociative, then  $S$  must be powerassociative.*

**PROOF.** From the flexible law third-power associativity follows. Assume inductively  $k$ -power associativity for all  $k < n$ . We proceed to establish  $n$ -power associativity. The flexible law implies that

$$x^{n-1}x = (xx^{n-2})x = x(x^{n-2}x) = xx^{n-1}.$$

By a second induction suppose  $x^{n-a}x^a = x^ax^{n-a}$  for  $0 < a < n-1$ . We have already established this for  $a=1$ . The linearized form of the flexible law implies that

$$(x^{n-a-1}x)x^a + (x^ax)x^{n-a-1} = x^{n-a-1}(xx^a) + x^a(xx^{n-a-1}).$$

By the second induction hypothesis the first term on the left cancels the second term on the right in the last equality, leaving

$$x^{n-(a+1)}x^{(a+1)} = x^{(a+1)}x^{n-(a+1)}.$$

This completes the proof of the second induction. Powerassociativity in  $A^+$  implies

$$(x^a \cdot x^{n-a-1}) \cdot x = x^a \cdot (x^{n-a-1} \cdot x).$$

However from this it follows that

$$2x^{n-1} \cdot x = 2x^{n-a} \cdot x^a,$$

so that, for all  $a$ ,  $x^{n-1} \cdot x = x^{n-a} \cdot x^a$ , assuming characteristic different from two. This completes the first induction and the proof of the theorem.

We note that in general powerassociativity of  $T^+$  does not suffice to guarantee powerassociativity of  $T$ .

COROLLARY. *If  $R$  is simple then  $R$  must be powerassociative.*

Consider now the case at hand, in which  $A$  is assumed to have degree one over an algebraically closed field. Then there exists a vector space decomposition  $A = F1 + N$ , where in fact all elements of  $N$  are nilpotent. Albert [2, p. 527] has shown that in  $A^+$ ,  $N$  is a subalgebra. From this one infers that  $A$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. From the Corollary to Theorem 1 it follows that  $A^+$  is associative. Hence  $A$  is a noncommutative Jordan algebra. At this point a result of Schafer's [6, Main Theorem] may be used to conclude that  $A$  is trace-admissible. Albert [1, Principal Theorem] has shown that a trace-admissible algebra  $A$  is simple if and only if  $A^+$  is simple. Thus  $A^+$  is a simple, associative, commutative, finite-dimensional algebra. Then it is well known that  $A^+$  must be a field. Therefore  $N$  must be zero. This of course means  $A$  is isomorphic to  $F$ . We have proved

THEOREM 3. *If  $A$  is a simple, flexible, powerassociative, finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and degree one then  $A$  is a one dimensional field.*

The existence of nodal, noncommutative Jordan algebras indicates that the conclusion of Theorem 3 is not true for fields of finite characteristics [3].

#### REFERENCES

1. A. A. Albert, *A theory of trace-admissible algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **35** (1949), 317-322.
2. ———, *A theory of powerassociative, commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 503-527.
3. L. A. Kokoris, *Simple nodal noncommutative Jordan algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 652-654.
4. ———, *Flexible nilstable algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 335-340.
5. R. H. Oehmke, *On flexible algebras*, Ann. of Math. **68** (1958), 221-230.
6. R. D. Schafer, *Noncommutative Jordan algebras of characteristic zero*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 472-475.
7. ———, *On noncommutative Jordan algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 110-117.

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY AND  
ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY