

MULTIPLIER TRANSFORMATIONS. III

I. I. HIRSCHMAN, JR.

Let N_α , $-1/2 < \alpha < 1/2$, be the space of those complex valued functions $F(n)$, $n \in I$ the additive group of integers, for which $N_\alpha[F]$ is finite where

$$N_\alpha[F] = \left[\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(n)|^2 (|n| + 1)^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/2}.$$

If $F \in N_0$ the Fourier transform

$$(M_2) \quad F^\wedge(\theta) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(n) e^{2\pi i n \theta}$$

is defined as a limit in the mean of order 2 for $\theta \in T$, where T is the additive group of real numbers modulo 1. For such F the following inversion formula is valid,

$$F(n) = \int_T F^\wedge(\theta) e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta.$$

Let $t(\theta)$ be a bounded measurable function on T and let us define

$$TF \cdot (n) = \int_T F^\wedge(\theta) t(\theta) e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta$$

for $n \in I$ and $F \in N_0$. If

$$N_\alpha[T] = \text{l.u.b.} \{ N_\alpha[TF] / N_\alpha[F], F \in N_\alpha \cap N_0, F \neq 0 \}$$

is finite then, since $N_\alpha \cap N_0$ is dense in N_α , T has a unique extension as a bounded linear transformation (with norm $N_\alpha[T]$) of N_α into itself. The problem with which we are concerned is that of finding sufficient conditions on the multiplier function $t(\theta)$ which will insure that the corresponding multiplier transformation T is bounded on N_α . In the present paper, which continues investigations begun in [1; 2; 3], we will obtain a sufficient condition involving β -variation. A function $f(x)$ defined on $I = \{a \leq x \leq b\}$ is said to be of bounded β -variation ($1 \leq \beta < \infty$) if $V_\beta[f, I] = V_\beta[f]$ is finite where

$$V_\beta[f] = \text{l.u.b.} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n |f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)|^\beta \right]^{1/\beta}.$$

Received by the editors October 30, 1961.

Here the least upper bound is taken over all finite sets $a \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n \leq b$. Note that if $\beta_2 > \beta_1$

$$V_{\beta_2}[f]^{\beta_2} \leq (2\|f\|_{\infty})^{\beta_2-\beta_1} V_{\beta_1}[f]^{\beta_1}.$$

Thus if $V_{\beta_1}[f]$ is finite then so is $V_{\beta_2}[f]$. Our principal result is that if $V_{\beta}[t(\theta)]$ is finite, $\beta \geq 2$, then $N_{\alpha}[T]$ is finite for $|\alpha| < 1/\beta$. This result is of interest in that the entire permissible range of α , $-1/2 < \alpha < 1/2$, is already obtained for $\beta = 2$.

We begin by recalling several results from [2] and [3]. Let $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ be fixed. Note that for $\alpha > 0$, $N_{\alpha} \subset N_0$ so that $F^{\wedge}(\theta)$ is well defined for every $F \in N_{\alpha}$. For $t(\theta)$ a bounded measurable function on T we define $A_{\alpha}[t]$ to be the smallest constant such that

$$\int_T \int_T |F^{\wedge}(\theta)|^2 |t(\theta) - t(\phi)|^2 |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-1-2\alpha} d\theta d\phi \leq A_{\alpha}[t] N_{\alpha}[F]^2$$

for every $F \in N_{\alpha}$. $A_{\alpha}[t]$ may of course be $+\infty$.

LEMMA 1. For $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ we have

$$N_{\alpha}[T] \leq 2 \text{Max}\{\|t\|_{\infty}, A_{\alpha}[t]\}.$$

LEMMA 2. For $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ there is a constant $A(\alpha)$ depending only on α such that for any ψ in T

$$\int_T |F(\theta)|^2 |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-2\alpha} d\theta \leq A(\alpha) N_{\alpha}[F]^2.$$

LEMMA 3. Let $f(x)$ be a real function defined on the interval $I = \{a \leq x \leq b\}$. For each $\beta > 1$ there exists a constant $C(\beta)$ depending only on β such that for each f for which $V_{\beta}[f] < \infty$ and each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $f_{\epsilon}(x)$ with the properties:

- a. $\|f - f_{\epsilon}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon \quad x \in I$;
- b. $V_1[f_{\epsilon}] \leq C(\beta) V_{\beta}[f]^{\beta} \epsilon^{1-\beta}$.

Here $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is the uniform norm on I . This is proved in [2] under the added assumption that $f(x)$ is continuous. However a simple modification of the proof given there shows that this assumption is unnecessary.

LEMMA 4. Suppose that $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. Let $t(\theta)$ be of bounded 1-variation on T . Then if T is the corresponding multiplier transformation we have

$$N_{\alpha}[T]^2 \leq B(\alpha) \{\|t\|_{\infty}^2 + \|t\|_{\infty} V_1[t]\},$$

where $B(\alpha)$ is a finite constant depending only on α .

PROOF. We begin by proving this result under the assumption that

$t(\theta)$ is in addition continuous. At the end this restriction will be removed, using a standard approximation argument. For $F \in N_\alpha$ consider the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \int_T F^\wedge(\theta)^2 d\theta \int_T |t(\theta) - t(\phi)|^2 |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-1-2\alpha} d\phi \\ &\leq 2 \|t\|_\infty \int_T |F^\wedge(\theta)|^2 d\theta \int_T |t(\theta) - t(\phi)| |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-1-2\alpha} d\phi. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_T |t(\theta) - t(\phi)| |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-1-2\alpha} d\phi \leq I_1 + I_2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_\theta^{\theta+1/2} |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-1-2\alpha} d\phi \int_\theta^\phi |dt(\psi)|, \\ I_2 &= \int_{\theta-1/2}^\theta |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-1-2\alpha} d\phi \int_\phi^\theta |dt(\psi)|. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem

$$I_1 = \int_\theta^{\theta+1/2} |dt(\psi)| \int_\psi^{\theta+1/2} |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-1-2\alpha} d\phi.$$

An easy computation shows that there exists a constant $A_1(\alpha)$ such that if $\theta \leq \psi \leq \theta + 1/2$

$$\int_\psi^{\theta+1/2} |\sin \pi(\theta - \phi)|^{-1-2\alpha} d\phi \leq A_1(\alpha) |\sin \pi(\theta - \psi)|^{-2\alpha}.$$

Thus

$$I_1 \leq A_1(\alpha) \int_\theta^{\theta+1/2} |\sin \pi(\theta - \psi)|^{-2\alpha} |dt(\psi)|,$$

and similarly

$$I_2 \leq A_1(\alpha) \int_{\theta-1/2}^\theta |\sin \pi(\theta - \psi)|^{-2\alpha} |dt(\psi)|.$$

Making use of these inequalities and using Fubini's theorem we find that

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\leq 2A_1(\alpha) \|t\|_\infty \int_T |F^\wedge(\theta)|^2 d\theta \int_T |\sin \pi(\theta - \psi)|^{-2\alpha} |dt(\psi)| \\ &\leq 2 \|t\|_\infty A_1(\alpha) \int_T |dt(\psi)| \int_T |F^\wedge(\theta)|^2 |\sin \pi(\theta - \psi)|^{-2\alpha} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2 we obtain

$$Q \leq 2A_1(\alpha)A(\alpha)\|t\|_\infty V_1[t]N_\alpha[F]^2.$$

Thus $A_\alpha[t] \leq 2A_1(\alpha)A(\alpha)\|t\|_\infty V_1[t]$. Our proof is now complete if $t(\theta)$ is continuous. If $t(\theta)$ is not continuous we set

$$t_n(\theta) = \int_T k_n(\theta - \phi)t(\phi)d\phi \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $k_n(\theta)$ is any sequence of functions on T satisfying:

- i. $k_n(\theta)$ is continuous;
- ii. $k_n(\theta) \geq 0, \int_T k_n(\theta)d\theta = 1$;
- iii. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_U k_n(\theta)d\theta = 1$, for any fixed open set U in T which contains 0.

With these assumptions it is easily verified that:

- i. $\|t_n\|_\infty \leq \|t\|_\infty$;
- ii. $V_1[t_n] \leq V_1[t]$;
- iii. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n(\theta) = t(\theta)$ for all θ at which $t(\cdot)$ is continuous.

Let T_n be the multiplier transform generated by $t_n(\theta)$; then

$$N_\alpha[T] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_\alpha[T_n].$$

Since $t_n(\theta)$ is continuous

$$\begin{aligned} N_\alpha[T_n]^2 &\leq B(\alpha)\{\|t_n\|_\infty^2 + \|t_n\|_\infty V_1[t_n]\}, \\ &\leq B(\alpha)\{\|t\|_\infty^2 + \|t\|_\infty V_1[t]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these results our desired lemma follows.

THEOREM. *Let $t(\theta)$ be defined for $\theta \in T$ and let T be the corresponding multiplier transformation. If $V_\beta[t]$ is finite (where $\beta > 2$) then*

$$N_\alpha[T] < \infty \quad \text{if } |\alpha| < 1/\beta.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 3 there exists a sequence of functions $s_n(\theta), \theta \in T$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_n - t\|_\infty &\leq 2^{-n}, \\ V_1[s_n] &\leq C(\beta)V_\beta[f]^\beta 2^{n(\beta-1)} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} t_1(\theta) &= s_1(\theta), \\ t_n(\theta) &= s_n(\theta) - s_{n-1}(\theta) \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$t(\theta) = \sum_1^{\infty} t_n(\theta),$$

and thus by an evident argument

$$N_{\alpha}[T] \leq \sum_1^{\infty} N_{\alpha}[T_n]$$

where T_n is the multiplier transformation generated by the multiplier function $t_n(\theta)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_n\|_{\infty} &= O(2^{-n}) & n &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ V_1[t_n] &= O(2^{n(\beta-1)}) & n &= 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\gamma, \alpha < \gamma < 1/2$. By Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\gamma}[T_n] &= O[(2^{-n})^2 + 2^{-n}2^{n(\beta-1)}]^{1/2}, \\ &= O(2^{n(\beta/2-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by Parseval's equality

$$N_0[T_n] = \|t_n\|_{\infty} = O(2^{-n}).$$

Applying the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem we find that if $\alpha = (1-\theta)0 + \theta\gamma$ then

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\alpha}[T_n] &= O(2^{-n(1-\theta)}2^{n(\beta/2-1)\theta}), \\ &= O(2^{n(-1+\beta\alpha/2\gamma)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the series $\sum_1^{\infty} N_{\alpha}[T_n]$ is convergent if $\beta\alpha/2\gamma < 1$; that is if $\alpha < 2\gamma/\beta$. Since γ is arbitrary subject to the restriction $\alpha < \gamma < 1/2$, it is always possible to choose γ so that $\alpha < 2\gamma/\beta$ if $0 < \alpha < 1/\beta$. Thus our theorem is true if $0 < \alpha < 1/\beta$. The case $-1/\beta < \alpha < 0$ follows by a familiar duality argument, while the case $\alpha = 0$ is trivial.

For $f(x)$ defined on the interval I let $W_{\beta}[f, I]$ be the smallest constant such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a function $f_{\epsilon}(x)$, $x \in I$, satisfying:

- a. $\|f - f_{\epsilon}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$,
- b. $V_1[f_{\epsilon}, I] \leq W_{\beta}[f, I]\epsilon^{1-\beta}$.

$W_{\beta}[f, I]$ can of course be $+\infty$. Lemma 3 asserts that

$$(1) \quad W_{\beta}[f, I] \leq C(\beta)V_{\beta}[f, I]^{\beta}.$$

The assumption in our principal theorem that $V_{\beta}[f, T] < \infty$ is made only to insure that $W_{\beta}[f, T] < \infty$. The following lemma shows that

the assumption $W_\beta[f, T] < \infty$ is "almost" as strong as the assumption $V_\beta[f, T] < \infty$.

LEMMA 5. For each $\beta, 1 \leq \beta < \infty$, and each $\gamma > \beta$ there exists a finite constant $A(\beta, \gamma)$ such that

$$(2) \quad V_\gamma[f, I] \leq A(\beta, \gamma) \|f\|_\infty^{(\gamma-\beta)/\gamma} W_\beta[f, I]^{1/\gamma}.$$

PROOF. For each $k=0, 1, \dots$ let f_k satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_k\|_\infty &\leq 2^{-k} \|f\|_\infty, \\ V_1[f_k] &\leq W_\beta[f] 2^{k(\beta-1)} \|f\|_\infty^{1-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

If we define

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(x) &= f_0(x), \\ g_k(x) &= f_k(x) - f_{k-1}(x) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty g_k(x) = f(x) \quad x \in I.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_k(x)\|_\infty &\leq 4 \cdot 2^{-k} \|f\|_\infty, \\ V_1[g_k] &\leq 2W_\beta[f] 2^{k(\beta-1)} \|f\|_\infty^{1-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see using Hölder's inequality that $V_\gamma[f] \leq \sum_0^\infty V_\gamma[g_k]$. Also

$$V_\gamma[g]^\gamma \leq (2\|g\|_\infty)^{\gamma-1} V_1[g].$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} V_\gamma[f] &\leq \sum_0^\infty (2^{3-k} \|f\|_\infty)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma} (2W_\beta[f] 2^{k(\beta-1)} \|f\|_\infty^{1-\beta})^{1/\gamma} \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty^{(\gamma-\beta)/\gamma} W_\beta[f]^{1/\gamma} 2^{(3\gamma-2)/\gamma} \sum_0^\infty 2^{-k(\gamma-\beta)/\gamma} \\ &\leq A(\beta, \gamma) \|f\|_\infty^{(\gamma-\beta)/\gamma} W_\beta[f]^{1/\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the assumption $W_\beta[f] < \infty$ is slightly weaker than the assumption $V_\beta[f] < \infty$ in that for $\beta > 1$ no inequality of the form

$$(3) \quad V_\beta[f, I]^\beta \leq A'(\beta) W_\beta[f, I]$$

is true for all f . To see this let us set $I_k = \{k-1 \leq x \leq k\}$ for all $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $I^N = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_N$. Further let

$$f_1(x) = 2^{-k/\beta} \sin[2^k(2\pi x)] \quad x \in I_k.$$

Very simple computations show that there are positive constants $c_1(\beta)$ and $c_2(\beta)$ independent of k and N such that

$$W_\beta[f_1, I_k] \geq c_1(\beta) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$W_\beta[f_1, I^N] \leq c_2(\beta) \quad N = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is evident that

$$\sum_1^N V_\beta[f, I_k]^\beta \leq V_\beta[f, I^N]^\beta.$$

If (3) held then using (1) we would have

$$C(\beta)^{-1} \sum_1^N W_\beta[f, I_k] \leq A'(\beta) W_\beta[f, I^N].$$

However for $f=f_1$ and for N sufficiently large this is impossible.

REFERENCES

1. A. Devinatz and I. I. Hirschman, Jr., *Multiplier transformations on $1^{2,\alpha}$* , Ann. of Math. **69** (1959), 575-587.
2. I. I. Hirschman, Jr., *On multiplier transformations*, Duke Math. J. **26** (1959), 221-242.
3. ———, *Multiplier transformations. II*, Duke Math. J. **28** (1961), 45-56.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY