

## A THEOREM ON INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

ROBERT J. BLATTNER<sup>1</sup>

In [1], we proved a criterion for the disjointness of two induced representations  $U^L$  and  $U^M$  of a Lie group  $G$ , where  $L$  and  $M$  are finite-dimensional unitary representations of compact subgroups  $H$  and  $K$ , respectively, of  $G$ . The purpose of this paper is to improve this theorem by getting a stronger conclusion, while dropping the conditions that  $G$  be a Lie group and  $H$  be compact, and that  $L$  and  $M$  be finite-dimensional. Moreover, the restriction on  $K$  is weakened to read:  $G$  has arbitrarily small neighborhoods of the identity invariant under the adjoint action of  $K$  on  $G$ . Finally, the proof given below is fairly elementary, while the proof in [1] is quite involved.

Notations and conventions: Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a topological vector space.  $C(G, \mathfrak{U})$  will denote the space of continuous functions from  $G$  to  $\mathfrak{U}$ , equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $G$  while  $C_0(G, \mathfrak{U})$  will denote the space of those  $f \in C(G, \mathfrak{U})$  with compact support. If  $\mathfrak{U}$  is omitted it is understood that  $\mathfrak{U} = \mathbf{C}$ . If  $\mathfrak{U}_1$  is another topological vector space,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{U}_1)$  will denote the space of continuous linear maps from  $\mathfrak{U}$  into  $\mathfrak{U}_1$  equipped with the topology of bounded convergence. All integrations are with respect to right Haar measure. For any locally compact group  $G$ ,  $\delta_G$  will denote its modular function. If  $f, g \in C_0(G)$ ,  $f \circ g$  will denote the convolution of  $f$  and  $g$ , and  $f^*$  is defined by  $f^*(x) = \delta_G(x)^{-1}f(x^{-1})$ . If  $L$  and  $M$  are representations of  $G$ ,  $R(L, M)$  will denote the space of intertwining operators for  $L$  and  $M$  (see [3]), while  $I(L, M)$  will denote the dimension of  $R(L, M)$ . For the definition of induced representation used below, see [1]. Finally, for any function  $f$  on the group  $G$  and any  $x \in G$ ,  $f_x$  and  $f^x$  are defined by  $f_x(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$  and  $f^x(y) = f(yx)$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $H$  and  $K$  be closed subgroups of the locally compact group  $G$ . Let  $L$  (resp.  $M$ ) be a unitary representation of  $H$  (resp.  $K$ ) on the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{V}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{W}$ ). Suppose that  $G$  has arbitrarily small neighborhoods of the identity invariant under the adjoint action of  $K$  on  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the subspace of those  $S \in C(G, \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{W}))$  such that*

$$S(\xi^{-1}x\eta) = \delta_H(\xi)^{-1/2}\delta_G(\xi)^{1/2}M_\eta^{-1}S(x)L_\xi$$

*for all  $\xi \in H$ ,  $\eta \in K$ , and  $x \in G$ . Then*

$$I(U^L, U^M) \leq \dim \mathfrak{M},$$

*provided  $\dim \mathfrak{M}$  is finite.*

Received by the editors, November 10, 1961.

<sup>1</sup> National Science Foundation Fellow.

PROOF. We recall from [1] the bilinear map  $\epsilon_H$  defined on  $C_0(G) \times \mathfrak{U}$  with values in the Hilbert space of  $U^L$ :

$$\epsilon_H(f, v)(x) = \int_H \delta_H(\xi)^{-1/2} \delta_G(\xi)^{1/2} f(\xi x) L_\xi^{-1} v d\xi$$

for  $f \in C_0(G)$ ,  $v \in \mathfrak{U}$ .  $\epsilon_K$  is similarly defined from  $C_0(G) \times \mathfrak{W}$  into the space of  $U^M$ . Given  $f, g \in C_0(G)$ ,  $v \in \mathfrak{U}$ , and  $w \in \mathfrak{W}$ , we define the linear functional  $\Phi(f, g, v, w)$  on  $R(U^L, U^M)$  by setting  $\Phi(f, g, v, w)(A) = (A \epsilon_H(f, v), \epsilon_K(g, w))$  and the linear functional  $\Psi(f, g, v, w)$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$  by setting

$$\Psi(f, g, v, w)(S) = \int_G (f \circ g^*)(x) (S(x)v, w) dx.$$

$\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are linear in  $f$  and  $v$  are conjugate-linear in  $g$  and  $w$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{J}$ ) be the linear space of functionals spanned by the  $\Phi$ 's (resp.  $\Psi$ 's). It is obvious that  $\mathfrak{J}$  separates  $\mathfrak{M}$ . That  $\mathfrak{S}$  separates  $R(U^L, U^M)$  follows from [1, Lemma 2]. We shall show that there is a linear map of  $\mathfrak{J}$  onto  $\mathfrak{S}$  which sends each  $\Psi(f, g, v, w)$  onto  $\Phi(f, g, v, w)$ , and this will accomplish the proof.

According to [1, Lemma 2], there is a constant  $C_Q$  for each compact set  $Q$  in  $G$  such that

$$|\Phi(f, g, v, w)(A)| \leq C_Q \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty \|v\| \|w\| \|A\|$$

whenever  $f$  and  $g$  have their supports in  $Q$ . From this it follows easily that for each  $h \in C_0(G)$ ,  $A \in R(U^L, U^M)$ , and  $x \in G$  there is an operator  $T_h(A)(x) \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{W})$  such that  $(T_h(A)(x)v, w) = \delta_G(x)^{-1} \Phi(h_x, h, v, w)(A)$  for all  $v \in \mathfrak{U}$  and  $w \in \mathfrak{W}$  and that  $T_h \in \mathfrak{L}(R(U^L, U^M), C(G, \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{W})))$ .

Now the standard proof of the complete regularity of  $G$  (cf. [4, pp. 28-30]) may be modified to show that for any neighborhood  $N$  of  $e$  in  $G$ , there is a nonzero positive continuous function  $h$  whose support is in  $N$  such that  $h(\eta x) = h(x\eta)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $\eta \in K$ : one need merely require all neighborhoods of  $e$  mentioned therein to be invariant under the adjoint action of  $K$  on  $G$ . Let  $h$  be such a  $K$ -invariant function. For every  $\eta \in K$ ,  $\delta_G(\eta) \int_G h(x) dx = \int_G h(\eta^{-1}x) dx = \int_G h(x\eta^{-1}) dx = \int_G h(x) dx$ . Since  $\int_G h(x) dx > 0$ , it follows that  $\delta_G|_K = 1$ . Similarly  $\delta_K = 1$ .

If  $h$  is a  $K$ -invariant function in  $C_0(G)$ , then  $T_h(A) \in \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $A \in R(U^L, U^M)$ . In fact,  $\epsilon_H(f_{\xi^{-1}}, v) = \delta_H(\xi)^{-1/2} \delta_G(\xi)^{-1/2} \epsilon_H(f, L_\xi v)$  and  $\epsilon_H(f_x, v) = U_x \epsilon_H(f, v)$  for all  $f \in C_0(G)$ ,  $v \in \mathfrak{U}$ ,  $\xi \in H$ , and  $x \in G$  (cf. the proof of Theorem 3 in [1]). Similar statements hold for  $\epsilon_K$ . Also

$h_\eta = h^{\eta^{-1}}$  for  $\eta \in K$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (T_h(A)(\xi^{-1}x\eta)v, w) &= \delta_G(\xi^{-1}x\eta)^{-1}\Phi(h_{\xi^{-1}x\eta}, h, v, w)(A) \\ &= \delta_H(\xi)^{-1/2}\delta_G(\xi)^{1/2}\delta_G(x)^{-1}\Phi(h_x^{\eta^{-1}}, h, L_\xi v, w)(A) \\ &= \delta_H(\xi)^{-1/2}\delta_G(\xi)^{1/2}\delta_G(x)^{-1}\Phi(h_x, h^\eta, L_\xi v, w)(A) \\ &= \delta_H(\xi)^{-1/2}\delta_G(\xi)^{1/2}\delta_G(x)^{-1}\Phi(h_x, h, L_\xi v, M_\eta w)(A) \\ &= \delta_H(\xi)^{-1/2}\delta_G(\xi)^{1/2}(M_\eta^{-1}T_h(A)(x)L_\xi v, w) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi \in H, \eta \in K, x \in G, v \in \mathcal{U},$  and  $w \in \mathcal{W},$  as claimed.

We next assert that  $\Psi(f, g, v, w) \circ T_h = \Phi(f, h \circ h^* \circ g, v, w)$  for  $f, g, h \in C_0(G), v \in \mathcal{U}, w \in \mathcal{W},$  where  $h$  is  $K$ -invariant. To see this, note that  $f \circ g = \int g(x)f^{x^{-1}}dx = \int f(x^{-1})g_{x^{-1}}dx,$  where the integrals on the right are strong integrals in the uniform topology. Using the continuity mentioned above of  $\Phi$  in its arguments, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(f, g, v, w)(T_h(A)) &= \int (f \circ g^*)(x^{-1})\Phi(h_{x^{-1}}, h, v, w)(A)dx \\ &= \Phi\left(\int (f \circ g^*)(x^{-1})h_{x^{-1}}dx, h, v, w\right)(A) \\ &= \Phi(f \circ g^* \circ h, h, v, w)(A) \\ &= \Phi\left(\int (g^* \circ h)(x)f^{x^{-1}}dx, h, v, w\right)(A) \\ &= \int (g^* \circ h)(x)\Phi(f^{x^{-1}}, h, v, w)(A)dx \\ &= \int (g^* \circ h)(x)\Phi(f, h^x, v, w)(A)dx \\ &= \Phi\left(f, \int [(g^* \circ h)(x)]^{-h^x}dx, v, w\right)(A) \\ &= \Phi(f, h \circ (g^* \circ h)^*, v, w)(A), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Finally, let  $\lambda_{fgvw}$  be a set of complex numbers indexed by  $C_0(G) \times C_0(G) \times \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{W},$  all but a finite number of which vanish, such that  $\sum \lambda_{fgvw} \Psi(f, g, v, w) = 0.$  Then  $\sum \lambda_{fgvw} \Phi(f, h \circ h^* \circ g, v, w) = 0$  for all  $K$ -invariant  $h \in C_0(G).$  Letting  $h \circ h^*$  approach the  $\delta$ -measure, we see that  $\sum \lambda_{fgvw} \Phi(f, g, v, w) = 0.$  Therefore the linear map of  $\mathfrak{J}$  onto  $\mathfrak{S}$  mentioned at the beginning of this proof exists, and our proof is complete.

For  $x \in G$ , we define a representation  $M^x$  of  $xKx^{-1}$  by setting  $M_\eta^x = M_x^{-1} \eta_x$  for all  $\eta \in xKx^{-1}$ . It is easy to see that

$$I(\delta_H^{-1/2} \delta_G^{1/2} L \mid H \cap xKx^{-1}, M^x \mid H \cap xKx^{-1})$$

depends only on  $L, M$ , and the  $H:K$  double coset  $D$  to which  $x$  belongs (cf. [3]). We shall denote this number by  $I(L, M, D)$ . In what follows,  $\mathfrak{D}$  will denote the set of  $H:K$  double cosets. We retain the hypotheses of the Theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{D}_0$  be the set of open  $D \in \mathfrak{D}$ . Suppose that  $\cup \{D: D \in \mathfrak{D}_0\}$  is dense in  $G$ . Then  $I(U^L, U^M) \leq \sum \{I(L, M, D): D \in \mathfrak{D}_0\}$ , provided this sum is finite.*

(A simple category argument shows that the denseness hypothesis is satisfied if  $H$  and  $K$  are denumerable at infinity and  $\mathfrak{D}$  is denumerable. Cf. [2, Lemma 3; 1].)

**PROOF.** To each  $D \in \mathfrak{D}$ , assign an element  $x_D \in D$ . Let

$$R = \prod \{R(\delta_H^{-1/2} \delta_G^{1/2} L \mid H \cap x_D K x_D^{-1}, M^{x_D} \mid H \cap x_D K x_D^{-1}): D \in \mathfrak{D}_0\}.$$

For each  $S \in \mathfrak{M}$ , let  $\Lambda(S)$  be the function from  $\mathfrak{D}$  to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  defined by  $\Lambda(S)(D) = S(x_D)$ ,  $D \in \mathfrak{D}_0$ .  $\Lambda$  is linear. It is also 1-1, since  $\Lambda(S) = 0$  implies that  $S \mid D = 0$  if  $D \in \mathfrak{D}_0$  so that  $S$  vanishes on a dense subset of  $G$ . Finally,  $\text{Im}(\Lambda) \subseteq R$ . In fact, let  $\xi \in H \cap x_D K x_D^{-1}$ . Then  $x_D^{-1} \xi x_D \in K$  and  $S(x_D) = S(\xi^{-1} x_D (x_D^{-1} \xi x_D)) = \delta_H^{-1/2}(\xi) \delta_G(\xi)^{1/2} M_{x_D^{-1} \xi x_D^{-1}} S(x_D) L_\xi$ , whence our assertion. The corollary is an immediate consequence of the Theorem and the properties of  $\Lambda$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  be the set of  $D \in \mathfrak{D}$  for which  $I(L, M, D) = 0$ . Suppose that  $\cup \{D: D \in \mathfrak{D}_n\}$  is dense in  $G$ . Then  $I(U^L, U^M) = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $S \in \mathfrak{M}$ . The methods used in proving Corollary 1 show that  $S(x_D) = 0$  for  $D \in \mathfrak{D}_n$ , whence  $S$  vanishes on a dense subset of  $G$  and we have our result.

### REFERENCES

1. Robert J. Blattner, *On induced representations*, Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961), 79-98.
2. F. Bruhat, *Sur les représentations induites des groupes de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **84** (1956), 97-205.
3. G. W. Mackey, *Induced representations of locally compact groups*. I, Ann. of Math. **55** (1952), 101-139.
4. D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience, New York, 1955.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES