

# SIMPLE $(-1, 1)$ RINGS WITH AN IDEMPOTENT<sup>1</sup>

CARL MANERI

**1. Introduction.** Algebras of type  $(\gamma, \delta)$  were first defined by Albert [1]. They are algebras (nonassociative) satisfying the following identities:

$$A(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y) = 0,$$

and

$$(z, x, y) + \gamma(x, z, y) + \delta(y, z, x) = 0,$$

where  $\gamma^2 - \delta^2 + \delta = 1$ , and where  $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ .

Simple rings of type  $(\gamma, \delta)$  have been studied by Kleinfeld and Kokoris. Their results show that except for types  $(-1, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$ , all simple  $(\gamma, \delta)$  rings with an idempotent  $e$  which is not the unity element are associative [7]. In this paper this result is extended to types  $(-1, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$ .

**2. Basic identities.** When  $\gamma = -1$  and  $\delta = 1$  the defining identities of a  $(\gamma, \delta)$  ring are equivalent to:

$$(1) \quad A(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y) = 0,$$

and

$$(2) \quad B(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) = 0.$$

A ring of type  $(1, 0)$  is anti-isomorphic to one of type  $(-1, 1)$  [7, Theorem 1], therefore we will consider only rings of type  $(-1, 1)$ . The right alternative law,  $(z, x, x) = 0$ , is an immediate consequence of (1) and (2) since  $0 = A(x, x, z) - B(x, x, z)$ .

In any ring we have the identity  $F(w, x, y, z) = (wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) - w(x, y, z) - (w, x, y)z = 0$ . We also have the identity  $(xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y - (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) - (z, x, y) = 0$  in any ring, where  $(x, y) = xy - yx$ . In a  $(-1, 1)$  ring this becomes

$$C(x, y, z) = (xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y - 2(x, y, z) - (z, x, y) = 0,$$

because of (2).

The combination of (1) and  $F(w, x, y, z) = 0$  as in [4] gives

$$G(w, x, y, z) = (w, (x, y, z)) - (x, (y, z, w)) + (y, (z, w, x)) \\ - (z, (w, x, y)) = 0.$$

Presented to the Society, January 29, 1960; received by the editors August 7, 1961.

<sup>1</sup> This paper is from the author's doctoral dissertation which was done under the guidance of Erwin Kleinfeld.

For all that follows we will assume that  $R$  is a ring of type  $(-1, 1)$  of characteristic not 2 or 3 (i.e., no elements of  $R$  have additive order 2 or 3).

The next three identities are consequences of the right alternative law and are proved in [3].

$$H(x, y, z) = (x, y, yz) - (x, y, z)y = 0,$$

$$J(x, w, y, z) = (x, w, yz) + (x, y, wz) - (x, w, z)y - (x, y, z)w = 0,$$

$$K(x, y, z) = (x, y^2, z) - (x, y, yz + zy) = 0.$$

From  $G(x, x, x, y) + (x, B(x, y, x)) = 0$  it follows that  $2(x, (x, x, y)) = 0$ . From this and the fact that  $(x, y, x) = -(x, x, y)$  we obtain

$$(3) \quad (x, (x, x, y)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (x, (x, y, x)) = 0.$$

Now  $G(x, y, x, y) = 0$  gives  $2(x, (y, x, y)) - 2(y, (x, y, x)) = 0$  and thus  $(x, (y, x, y)) - (y, (x, y, x)) = 0$ . From  $B(y, y, x) = 0$  and  $B(x, x, y) = 0$  we then have  $(x, (y, y, x)) - (y, (x, x, y)) = 0$ . Combining this with  $G(x, y, y, x) = 0$  gives  $2(x, (y, y, x)) = 0$  and therefore

$$(4) \quad (x, (y, y, x)) = 0.$$

Replacing  $x$  with  $x+z$  in (4) gives

$$L(x, y, z) = (x, (y, y, z)) + (z, (y, y, x)) = 0.$$

Replacing  $y$  with  $y+z$  in (4) gives

$$M(x, y, z) = (x, (y, z, x)) + (x, (z, y, x)) = 0.$$

From  $0 = F(x, x, x, y) - H(x, x, y) + (x, (x, x, y))$  we see that  $(x^2, x, y) = (x, x^2, y)$  and thus from  $M(y, x^2, x) = 0$  we have  $2(y, (x^2, x, y)) = 0$ . This gives

$$(5) \quad (y, (x^2, x, y)) = 0.$$

We now use  $B(y, x^2, x) - H(y, x, x) = 0$  to get  $(y, x^2, x) = 0$ . Combining this with  $G(y, y, x^2, x) + L(x, y, x^2) = 0$  and (5) gives

$$(6) \quad (x^2, (y, y, x)) = 0.$$

The identity  $(xy, z) + (yz, x) + (zx, y) = A(x, y, z)$  holds in any ring hence in a  $(-1, 1)$  ring where  $A(x, y, z) = 0$  we have

$$D(x, y, z) = (xy, z) + (yz, x) + (zx, y) = 0.$$

Since  $x$  and  $x^2$  commute with  $(y, y, x)$ , we have  $D(x, x, (y, y, x)) = 2((y, y, x)x, x) = 0$  and thus  $((y, y, x)x, x) = 0$ . Now we use  $(y, y, x)x = (y, y, x)x - B(y, y, x)x - H(y, x, y) = -(y, x, xy)$  and we get  $(x, (y, x, xy)) = 0$ . Replacing  $y$  with  $y+w$  gives

$$(7) \quad (x, (y, x, xw)) + (x, (w, x, xy)) = 0.$$

From  $L(x, y, x^2) = 0$  and (6) we get  $(x, (y, y, x^2)) = 0$ . Now since  $0 = (x, B(y, y, x^2)) - (x, (y, y, x^2)) - (x, K(y, x, y)) = (x, (y, x, xy)) + (x, (y, x, yx))$  and  $(x, (y, x, xy)) = 0$  we have  $(x, (y, x, yx)) = 0$ . Clearly  $(x, (y, yx, x)) = 0$ , since  $B(y, x, yx) = 0$  and thus  $0 = (x, (y, yx, x)) - M(x, y, yx) + (x, B(yx, y, x)) = (x, (yx, x, y))$ . Therefore  $(x, A(yx, x, y)) = 0$  gives  $(x, (x, y, yx)) = 0$ . Replacing  $y$  with  $y+w$  in  $(x, (x, y, yx)) = 0$  and in  $(x, (yx, x, y)) = 0$  gives respectively:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (x, (x, w, yx)) + (x, (x, y, wx)) &= 0, \\ (x, (wx, x, y)) + (x, (yx, x, w)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We now use  $F(w, x, x, y) = 0$  to get  $w(x, x, y) = (wx, x, y) - (w, x^2, y) + (w, x, xy) + K(w, x, y) = (wx, x, y) - (w, x, yx)$ . Commuting this with  $x$  gives  $(x, w(x, x, y)) = (x, (wx, x, y)) - (x, (w, x, yx)) = (x, (wx, x, y)) - (x, (w, x, yx)) + (x, B(w, x, yx)) - M(x, w, yx) + (x, B(yx, x, w)) = (x, (wx, x, y)) + (x, (yx, x, w))$  and this is zero by (8). Therefore

$$(9) \quad (x, w(x, x, y)) = 0.$$

The next step is to use  $0 = F(x, y, x, w) + F(x, y, w, x) - B(xy, x, w) + xB(y, x, w) + B(x, yx, w)$  to get  $(x, y, x)w = (x, w, yx) + (x, y, wx) - (x, yw, x) + (x, y, xw) - (x, y, w)x$ . From (8) we see that  $x$  commutes with  $(x, w, yx) + (x, y, wx)$  and from (3) we see that  $x$  commutes with  $(x, yw, x)$ . We wish now to show that  $x$  commutes with  $(x, y, xw) - (x, y, w)x$ . To do this we observe from  $0 = -A(x, y, w)x + B(y, w, x)x + H(y, x, w) - H(w, x, y) - B(y, x, xw) + A(x, y, xw)$  that  $(x, y, xw) - (x, y, w)x = (w, x, xy) - (xw, x, y)$ . Thus  $(x, (x, y, xw)) - (x, (x, y, w)x) = (x, (w, x, xy)) - (x, (xw, x, y)) + (x, B(xw, x, y)) - M(x, xw, y) + (x, B(y, xw, x)) = (x, (w, x, xy)) + (x, (y, x, xw))$  and this is zero by (7). Thus  $(x, (x, y, x)w) = 0$  and since  $(x, x, y) = -(x, y, x)$  we have

$$(10) \quad (x, (x, x, y)w) = 0.$$

An immediate consequence of (9), (10), (3) and  $D((x, x, y), w, x) - D(w, (x, x, y), x) = 0$  is  $((w, x), (x, x, y)) = 0$ . Then from  $L(y, x, (w, x)) = 0$  we get

$$(11) \quad (y, (x, x, (x, w))) = 0.$$

We conclude this section with two remarks. The first is that

$$(12) \quad (x, x, y)^2 = 0.$$

To prove this let  $S(a, b) = \{x \in R \mid (x, a, b) = x(b, a)\}$ . Then  $(x, x, y)$

and  $(x, x, y)x$  are elements of  $S(x, y)$  by Lemma 4 of [3]. In  $R, (x, x, y)x = x(x, x, y)$ , thus by Lemma 3 of [3] we get (12).

For the second remark we define  $U$  to be the set of elements  $u$  of  $R$  which commute with all elements of  $R$ . Let  $u \in U$ . Then  $C(x, x, u) = 0$  gives  $-2(x, x, u) = 0$ . Hence  $(x, x, u) = 0$ , and  $(x, u, x) = 0$  because of (2). Replacing  $x$  by  $x + y$  in these last two identities gives

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} (x, y, u) &= -(y, x, u) \quad \text{and} \\ (x, u, y) &= -(y, u, x) \quad \text{for all } u \in U. \end{aligned}$$

**3. Identities involving an idempotent.** Let  $e$  be an element of  $R$  such that  $e^2 = e \neq 0$ . From  $(x, K(e, e, y)) = 0$  and (11) we obtain  $(x, (e, e, y)) - 2(x, (e, e, ey)) = 0$ . From  $C(e, e, (e, e, y)) = 0$  we get  $-2(e, e, (e, e, y)) = 0$  because of (3), and thus  $(e, e, (e, e, y)) = 0$ . Therefore we can replace  $y$  by  $ey$  in  $(x, (e, e, y)) - 2(x, (e, e, ey)) = 0$  to get  $-(x, (e, e, ey)) = 0$  and finally

$$(14) \quad (x, (e, e, y)) = 0,$$

i.e., an associator of the form  $(e, e, y)$  commutes with all elements of  $R$ .

We have from (12) that  $(e, e, y)^2 = 0$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $y + w$  and using (14) gives  $2(e, e, y)(e, e, w) = 0$  and thus

$$(15) \quad (e, e, y)(e, e, w) = 0.$$

Let  $u$  be substituted for  $(e, e, y)$ . From  $C(e, e, xu) = 0$  we have  $-2(e, e, xu) = 0$  since  $(e, xu) = 0$  by (9). Hence  $(e, e, xu) = 0$  and  $F(e, e, x, u) = 0$  gives

$$(16) \quad (e, x, u) = (e, ex, u) + e(e, x, u),$$

because of (15).

We will transform (16) in three successive stages. First we observe that  $G(e, e, x, y) = 0$  and  $(y, (e, e, x)) = 0$  give  $(e, (e, x, y)) - (e, (x, y, e)) = 0$ . From this and  $0 = (e, A(e, x, y)) - (e, B(y, e, x)) + M(e, y, x)$  we have  $3(e, (x, y, e)) = 0$ . Hence  $(e, (x, y, e)) = 0$  and  $(e, (e, x, y)) = 0$  for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ . This reduces (16) to

$$(17) \quad (e, x, u) = (e, ex, u) + (e, x, u)e.$$

Now since  $u \in U$  we conclude from (13) and from  $0 = A(e, x, u) + B(e, x, u)$  that  $2(e, x, u) + (u, e, x) = 0$ . Similarly  $2(e, ex, u) + (u, e, ex) = 0$ . Multiplying  $2(e, x, u) + (u, e, x) = 0$  on the right by  $e$  and subtracting from  $2(e, ex, u) + (u, e, ex) = 0$  gives  $2(e, ex, u) - 2(e, x, u)e = 0$  since  $H(u, e, x) = 0$ . This gives  $(e, ex, u) - (e, x, u)e = 0$  and (17) becomes

$$(18) \quad (e, x, u) = 2(e, ex, u).$$

The next step follows from the easy observation that if  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  then  $(x, u_1, u_2) = (u_1, x, u_2) = (u_1, u_2, x) = 0$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ . This follows from  $A(x, u_1, u_2) = 0$ ,  $B(x, u_1, u_2) = 0$ , and (13). Thus since  $(e, e, x)$  and  $u$  are in  $U$  we have  $(e, (e, e, x), u) = 0$  and we can replace  $x$  by  $ex$  in (18) to get  $(e, ex, u) = 2(e, ex, u)$ . Hence  $(e, ex, u) = 0$  and

$$(19) \quad (e, x, u) = 0.$$

From (19), (13), (1) and (2) it is easily seen that

$$(20) \quad (x, u, e) = (u, e, x) = 0.$$

Since  $ue = (e, e, y)e + H(e, e, y) = (e, e, ey)$ , we have that  $0 = C(u, e, x) = -u(e, x)$  by (14) and (20). Thus using (14) again we have

$$(21) \quad (e, x)(e, e, y) = 0.$$

We are now finally able to use  $0 = J(e, y, e, (e, x)) - A(e, y, e(e, x)) + A(e, y, (e, x))e + B(y, e(e, x), e) - B(y, (e, x), e)e - H(y, e, (e, x)) + H((e, x), e, y) - (C(e, e, x), e, y) - F((e, x), e, e, y)$ , along with the right alternative law, (20), and (21) to get

$$(22) \quad (e, e, (e, x))y = (e, e, y(e, x)).$$

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $(-1, 1)$  ring of characteristic not 3. Then  $R$  is associative.*

**PROOF.** For a commutative  $(-1, 1)$  ring  $C(y, x, x) = 0$  gives  $(x, y, x) = 0$  and  $R$  can easily be seen to be alternative. In an alternative ring  $A(x, y, z) = 3(x, y, z)$ . Therefore  $(x, y, z) = 0$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $R$  be a simple, not associative, ring of type  $(-1, 1)$  of characteristic not 2 or 3. Let  $S = \{s \in R \mid s \in U \text{ and } sy \in U \text{ for all } y \in R\}$ , where  $U = \{u \in R \mid (u, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in R\}$ . Then  $S = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $s \in S$  and  $x, y \in R$ . Then using the fact that  $s$  and  $sx$  are in  $U$  and using (13) we have the following:  $(sx)y = (s, x, y) + s(xy)$  and  $(sx)y = y(xs) = -(y, x, s) + (yx)s = (x, y, s) + s(yx)$ . Combining these, we have  $3(sx)y = (s, x, y) + 2(x, y, s) + s(xy + 2yx)$ . Since  $s \in U$ , we have  $(x, y, s) = (y, s, x)$  because of (13) and (2), and  $0 = A(s, x, y) = (s, x, y) + 2(x, y, s)$ . From this we have  $3(sx)y \in U$ . Now the set  $T = \{3x \mid x \in R\}$  is an ideal of  $R$  and is not zero, thus  $T = R$ . This means that  $S$  is an ideal of  $R$  because  $3y$  is an arbitrary element of  $R$  and  $(sx)(3y) \in U$ . If  $S = R$ ,  $R$  is commutative hence associative by Lemma 1. Thus  $S = 0$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $R$  be a simple not associative ring of type  $(-1, 1)$  of characteristic not 2 or 3. Let  $e$  be an idempotent of  $R$ . Then we have the following:*

$$(23) \quad (e, e, x) = (e, x, e) = 0.$$

REMARK. This is false if  $R$  is not simple as will be shown by an example.

PROOF. From (14) we have  $(e, e, y) \in U$  for all  $y$  in  $R$ . Thus  $(e, e, (e, x)) \in U$ . From (22) we have  $(e, e, (e, x))y = (e, e, y(e, x))$  and this again is in  $U$ . Thus  $(e, e, (e, x)) \in S$ . But  $S=0$  by Lemma 2 and we have  $(e, e, (e, x))=0$ . This applied to  $0=K(e, e, x)$  gives  $(e, e, x) - 2(e, e, ex)=0$ . Observing again that  $(e, e, (e, e, x))=0$  we can replace  $x$  by  $ex$  in  $(e, e, x) - 2(e, e, ex)=0$  to get  $-(e, e, ex)=0$  and therefore  $(e, e, x)=0$ . From  $B(e, e, x)=0$  we get the rest of (23).

4. **Main section.** For the following remarks and the lemmas which follow,  $R$  will be assumed to be a simple  $(-1, 1)$  ring which is not associative and which is of characteristic not 2 or 3. For Lemmas 4 and 5  $R$  is also assumed to have an idempotent  $e \neq 0$ .

Before proceeding to Lemma 4 we first observe that, when  $R$  has an idempotent  $e$ , we have  $R = R_{11} + R_{10} + R_{01} + R_{00}$  where  $R_{ij} = \{x \in R \mid ex = ix \text{ and } xe = jx\}$ . This follows from (23) and the fact that  $x = exe + (ex - exe) + (xe - exe) + (x - ex - xe + exe)$ , and the right alternative law.

LEMMA 4. *The multiplication for the spaces  $R_{ij}$  is as follows:*

- (1)  $R_{ij}R_{jk} \subset R_{ik}$ ;
- (2)  $R_{ij}R_{km} = 0$  for  $j \neq k$  except when  $i = k$  and  $j = m$ ;
- (3)  $R_{10}R_{10} \subset R_{11}$  and  $R_{01}R_{01} \subset R_{00}$ . (This differs from the associative situation only in (3)).

PROOF. When  $x \in R_{ij}$ ,  $y \in R_{ji}$  we have directly that  $(x, e, y) = (y, e, x) = 0$ . From  $B(x, e, y) = 0$  and  $B(y, e, x) = 0$  we have  $(x, y, e) = (y, x, e) = 0$ . Thus from  $A(x, y, e) = 0$  and  $A(y, x, e) = 0$  we get  $(e, x, y) = (e, y, x) = 0$ . Thus we can easily see that  $R_{ij}R_{ji} \subset R_{ii}$ .

Next we take  $x \in R_{ij}$ ,  $y \in R_{ii}$  where  $i \neq j$ . We can compute directly that  $(y, e, x) = 0$ . From  $K(x, e, y) = (-1)^i(x, e, y) = 0$  we get  $(x, e, y) = 0$ . It follows from  $B(x, e, y) = 0$ ,  $B(y, e, x) = 0$ ,  $A(x, y, e) = 0$ , and  $A(y, x, e) = 0$  that  $(x, y, e) = (y, x, e) = (e, x, y) = (e, y, x) = 0$ . Thus  $R_{ij}R_{ii} = 0$  and  $R_{ii}R_{ij} \subset R_{ij}$ .

When  $x \in R_{00}$  and  $y \in R_{11}$  we have  $K(x, e, y) = -(x, e, y) = 0$  and thus  $xy = 0$ . We also have  $K(y, e, x) = (y, e, x) = 0$  and thus  $yx = 0$ . This gives  $R_{00}R_{11} = R_{11}R_{00} = 0$ .

When  $x \in R_{00}$  and  $y \in R_{10}$  we have  $(y, e, x) = 0$  by direct computation. Thus  $A(e, x, y) - B(x, y, e) = 0$  gives  $(e, x, y) - (x, e, y) = 0$ . Hence  $e(xy) = xy$ . From  $B(y, e, x) = 0$  and  $(y, e, x) = 0$  we get  $(y, x, e) = 0$  and so  $A(e, y, x) = 0$  gives  $(e, y, x) + (x, e, y) = 0$ . This can be expanded to give  $yx - e(yx) - xy = 0$ . Multiplying this last equation on the left by  $e$  gives  $-e(xy) = 0$  in view of (23). Hence  $xy = 0$ . Thus  $e(yx) = yx$ .

From  $(y, x, e) = 0$  we have  $(yx)e = 0$ . Therefore  $R_{00}R_{10} = 0$  and  $R_{10}R_{00} \subset R_{10}$ .

When  $x \in R_{01}$  and  $y \in R_{11}$  we have  $(x, e, y) = 0$  by direct calculation. Thus  $B(x, y, e) = 0$  gives  $(x, y, e) = 0$ . Thus  $(xy)e = xy$ . Now  $0 = H(y, e, x)$  gives  $(y, e, x)e = 0$ . Therefore  $A(e, x, y) = 0$  along with  $(x, y, e) = 0$  gives  $(e, x, y)e = 0$ . By the remark just before (17) we have  $(e, (e, z, w)) = 0$  for all  $z, w$  in  $R$ . Hence  $e(e, x, y) = 0$  and  $e(e(xy)) = 0$  and  $e(xy) = 0$  by (23). Therefore  $xy \in R_{01}$ . Since  $e(xy) = 0$ ,  $(e, x, y) = 0$ . Combining this with  $A(e, x, y) = 0$  and  $(x, y, e) = 0$  gives  $(y, e, x) = 0$  and  $yx = 0$ . Thus  $R_{01}R_{11} \subset R_{01}$  and  $R_{11}R_{01} = 0$ .

For the final case  $x, y \in R_{ij}$  where  $i \neq j$  we have  $H(x, e, y) = 0$  combined with  $(e, (x, e, y)) = 0$  to give  $(x, e, y) \in R_{ii}$ . But  $(x, e, y) = \pm xy$ . Hence  $xy \in R_{ii}$ .

**LEMMA 5.** For all  $x, y \in R$  and the idempotent  $e$  the following identity holds:

$$(24) \quad (e, x, y) = 0.$$

**PROOF.** For  $x, y \in R$  we have that  $x = x_{11} + x_{10} + x_{01} + x_{00}$  and  $y = y_{11} + y_{10} + y_{01} + y_{00}$  where  $x_{ij}, y_{ij} \in R_{ij}$ . Direct calculation and Lemma 4 yield (24).

**LEMMA 6.** Every element of  $R$  is the sum of a finite number of associators.

**PROOF.** Let  $S$  be the class of elements in  $R$  which are sums of a finite number of associators. Since  $R$  is not associative  $S \neq 0$ . We will show that  $S$  is an ideal of  $R$ . From  $F(w, x, y, z) + F(w, y, x, z) + B(w, x, y)z = 0$  we have  $w((x, y, z) + (y, x, z)) \in S$  for all  $w, x, y, z \in R$ . Therefore  $wA(x, y, z) + wB(x, y, z) + w((x, y, z) + (y, x, z)) - w((x, z, y) + (z, x, y)) - wB(y, x, z) = 3w(x, y, z)$  is an element of  $S$ . However  $3w$  is an arbitrary element of  $R$ , and so  $RS \subset S$ . Next from  $F(w, x, y, z) = 0$  we see that  $SR \subset RS + S \subset S$  and  $S$  is an ideal not zero. Hence  $S = R$ .

The center of  $R$  is defined to be the elements  $n \in U$  with the property that  $(n, x, y) = (x, n, y) = (x, y, n) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

**LEMMA 7.** Let  $n \in R$  satisfy  $(n, x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $n$  is in the center of  $R$ .

**PROOF.** We must first show that  $n \in U$ . To do this we need only show that  $n$  commutes with any associator because of Lemma 6.  $M(x, n, y) = 0$  gives  $(x, (y, n, x)) = 0$  since  $(n, y, x) = 0$ . Thus from  $(x, A(n, x, y)) = 0$  we get  $(x, (x, y, n)) = 0$ . Combining these results with  $G(x, y, n, x) = 0$  gives  $(n, (x, x, y)) = 0$ . Replacing  $x$  with  $x + z$

in this last identity gives  $(n, (x, z, y)) + (n, (z, x, y)) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z$  in  $R$ . Thus  $0 = (n, A(x, y, z)) + ((n, (x, y, z)) + (n, (y, x, z))) - ((n, (x, z, y)) + (n, (z, x, y))) + (n, B(x, y, z)) - (n, B(y, z, x)) = 3(n, (x, y, z))$  and  $(n, (x, y, z)) = 0$  for any associator  $(x, y, z)$  in  $R$ . We now have  $n \in U$  because every element of  $R$  is the sum of associators. Because of (13) we also have  $A(n, x, y) + B(x, y, n) = 2(x, y, n) = 0$ . Therefore  $(x, y, n) = 0$  and from  $A(n, x, y) = 0$  we have  $(y, n, x) = 0$ . This shows that  $n$  is in the center of  $R$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  be a simple ring of type  $(-1, 1)$ . Let  $R$  have characteristic not 2 or 3. Let  $e$  be an idempotent of  $R$  such that  $e \neq 0, 1$ . Then  $R$  is associative.*

**PROOF.** Assume  $R$  is not associative. Then Lemma 5 gives  $(e, x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ . Lemma 7 then gives us that  $e$  is in the center of  $R$ . Therefore  $R_{01} = R_{10} = 0$  and  $R = R_{11} + R_{00}$ . But then  $R_{00}$  is an ideal of  $R$  by Lemma 4 and  $e \notin R_{00}$ . Thus  $R_{00} = 0$  and  $R = R_{11}$ , a contradiction since  $e$  is the unity element of  $R_{11}$ .

5. Example. The following example is given by Albert in [2] as a right alternative algebra. It can be shown to satisfy (1) and (2) hence it is a  $(-1, 1)$  algebra. It is finite dimensional and Lemma 3 fails for it.

Let  $F$  be a field and  $A$  an algebra with basis elements  $e, u, v, w, z$ . We define multiplication of basis elements as follows:  $e^2 = e$ ,  $eu = v$ ,  $ev = 0$ ,  $ue = u$ ,  $ew = w - z$ ,  $ez = ze = z$  and all other products are zero.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. A. Albert, *Almost alternative algebras*, Portugal. Math. **8** (1949), 23-36.
2. ———, *On the right alternative algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **50** (1949), 318-328.
3. E. Kleinfeld, *Right alternative rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 939-944.
4. ———, *Rings of  $(\gamma, \delta)$  type*, Portugal. Math. **18** (1959), 107-110.
5. ———, *Simple algebras of type  $(1, 1)$  are associative*, Canad. J. Math. **13** (1961), 129-148.
6. L. A. Kokoris, *On a class of almost alternative algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **8** (1956), 250-255.
7. ———, *On rings of  $(\gamma, \delta)$  type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 897-904.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO