

## A NOTE ON PARACOMPACTNESS AND NORMALITY OF MAPPING SPACES<sup>1</sup>

A. H. STONE

In a discussion with the author, J. C. Moore asked whether the space  $Y^X$  of mappings of a compact metric space  $X$  in a locally compact paracompact space  $Y$  is necessarily paracompact. (Throughout, "space" means "Hausdorff space," all mappings are understood to be continuous, and  $Y^X$  is given the compact-open topology.) It has been shown by Fadell [1] that if we drop the assumption that  $Y$  is locally compact the answer is negative. We shall give two simple examples to show that not even the assumption that  $Y$  is compact is sufficient. In both examples, as in Fadell's,  $X$  will be the unit interval  $I$ , so that  $Y^X$  becomes the space of paths on  $X$ . In our first example,  $Y$  is 1-dimensional (in the covering sense); in our second,  $Y$  has infinite dimension but  $Y^X$  is not even normal. In Fadell's example,  $Y$  (though not compact) is 1-dimensional and  $Y^X$  is also not normal. It would be interesting to know whether  $Y^I$  is normal whenever  $Y$  is both compact and finite-dimensional.

Let  $L$  be the transfinite line from 0 to  $\omega_1$  inclusive; this is the compact, totally ordered 1-dimensional space obtained by joining each countable ordinal to its successor by an interval, and adjoining  $\omega_1$  (see, for example, [2, p. 263] for a formal definition of  $L - (\omega_1)$ ).

**THEOREM 1.**  $L^I$  is not paracompact.

Let  $M$  be the subset of  $L^I$  consisting of those maps  $f: I \rightarrow L$  for which  $f(0) = 0$ . Clearly  $M$  is closed in  $L^I$ , and it will suffice to prove  $M$  not paracompact. In what follows,  $\alpha$  will run over the ordinals  $< \omega_1$ . Put  $U_\alpha = \{f \in M \text{ and, for all } t \in I, f(t) < \alpha\}$ ; the sets  $U_\alpha$  form an open covering of  $M$ . Let  $\mathfrak{V}$  be any (open) refinement of  $\{U_\alpha\}$ ; we show that  $\mathfrak{V}$  is not locally finite.

We define a sequence of maps  $f_n \in M$  and of countable ordinals  $\alpha(n)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) as follows. Take  $f_1 \in M$  such that  $f_1(1) > 1$ . Then  $f_1 \in$  some  $V_1 \in \mathfrak{V}$ , and  $V_1 \subset$  some  $U_{\alpha(1)}$ . When  $f_n$  and  $\alpha(n)$  have been defined, take  $f_{n+1} \in M$  such that (i)  $f_{n+1}(1) > \alpha(n)$ , (ii)  $f_{n+1}(t) \geq f_n(t)$  for all  $t \in I$ , and (iii)  $f_{n+1}(t) = f_n(t)$  whenever  $0 \leq t \leq 1 - n^{-1}$ . This is possible, because the subspace of  $L$  consisting of points  $\leq \alpha(n) + 1$  is order-homeomorphic to  $I$ . Then take  $V_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{V}$  containing  $f_{n+1}$ , and

---

Received by the editors June 5, 1961 and in revised form, November 3, 1961.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. 266(57) at Columbia University.

choose  $\alpha(n+1)$  so that  $V_{n+1} \subset U_{\alpha(n+1)}$ . Clearly  $\alpha(1) < \alpha(2) < \dots$ ; put  $\sup \alpha(n) = \beta (< \omega_1)$ . It is easy to see that, for each  $t \in I$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t)$  exists; call it  $g(t)$ . Thus  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(1) = \beta$ . Further,  $g$  is continuous, because (a) if  $0 \leq t < 1$  there is a neighborhood of  $t$  on which  $f_n$  is ultimately constant, from (iii), and (b) if  $t = 1$  and  $m$  is a given positive integer, we have  $f_{m+1}(s) > \alpha(m)$  whenever  $s$  is close enough to 1, and then  $\alpha(n) > f_n(s) > \alpha(m)$  for all  $n > m$ , proving  $\beta \geq g(s) \geq \alpha(m)$ . By Dini's theorem [3, p. 239]  $f_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly, and hence  $f_n \rightarrow g$  in  $M$ . Thus every neighborhood of  $g$  meets  $V_n$  for infinitely many values of  $n$ . But the sets  $V_n$  are all distinct, since if  $k > n$  we have  $V_n \subset U_{\alpha(n)}$  and  $f_k \in V_k - U_{\alpha(n)}$ , by (i). Hence  $\mathfrak{U}$  is not locally finite, and  $M$  is not paracompact.

I do not know whether  $L^I$  is normal. However, a compact (but infinite-dimensional) space  $Y$  for which  $Y^I$  is not normal can be obtained from the following lemmas:

(1) *For any space  $X$  and family of spaces  $Y_\lambda$ ,  $(\prod Y_\lambda)^X$  and  $\prod(Y_\lambda^X)$  are homeomorphic.*

This is well known, and the proof is straightforward.

(2) *If a product of nonempty spaces is normal, all but at most countably many of the factor spaces are countably compact.*

This is [4, Theorem 3, Corollary].

(3)  *$I^I$  is not countably compact.*

Again this is known; the proof consists in observing that the collection of maps  $f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where  $f_n(t) = t^n$ , has no cluster point (for the pointwise limit is discontinuous).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $Y$  be the product of uncountably many copies of the unit interval. Then  $Y^I$  is not normal.*

For, by (1),  $Y^I$  is homeomorphic to  $\prod(I_\lambda^I)$ , which by (2) and (3) is not normal.

The construction employed here raises the question: Under what conditions will  $Y^X$  be compact? The following partial answers are easily obtained.

**THEOREM 3.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that  $Y^I$  be compact is that  $Y$  is compact and contains no arc.*

We may identify  $Y$  with a closed subset of  $Y^I$ , namely the set of constant functions; hence, if  $Y^I$  is compact, so is  $Y$ . If  $Y$  contains an arc  $J$ , then  $J^I$  is a closed subset of  $Y^I$ , and (3) above shows that  $Y^I$  cannot be compact. Conversely if  $Y$  contains no arc, then each  $f \in Y^I$  must be constant; for  $f(I)$  is a Peano space (it is metrizable because,  $Y$  being Hausdorff and  $I$  compact,  $f$  is closed) and so contains an

arc if it has more than one point. Thus  $Y^I$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic, which proves the sufficiency.

It is easily seen that in Theorem 3 we may replace "compact" by "countably compact" throughout, and  $I$  (in either version) by any normal arcwise connected space of more than one point. Also the condition remains necessary if  $I$  is replaced by any connected completely regular space of more than one point.

Finally, a similar argument but with  $I$  replaced by the space  $K$  formed by a single convergent sequence (plus its limit point) proves that *the only spaces  $Y$  for which  $Y^X$  is compact for all compact metric spaces  $X$  (or for  $X=K$ ) are the spaces with at most one point.*

The author is indebted to the referee for several helpful suggestions and improvements.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Fadell, *B paracompact does not imply  $B^I$  paracompact*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 839–840.
2. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
4. A. H. Stone, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 977–982.

UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER