

# EMBEDDINGS OF A $p$ -ADIC FIELD AND ITS RESIDUE FIELD IN THEIR POWER SERIES RINGS<sup>1</sup>

NICKOLAS HEEREMA

**I. Introduction.** Let  $K$  denote a  $p$ -adic field [5, p. 226, Definition 2] with residue field  $k$ . Let  $R$  represent the ring of integers of  $K$  and let  $H$  represent the corresponding place of  $K$ .

In this paper we show that every embedding of  $k$  in its power series ring  $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  or  $k[[x]]_n$  in  $n$  indeterminates is induced by an embedding of  $K$  in its power series  $K[[Y]]_n$  in  $n$  indeterminates.

It follows from this that every automorphism of  $k[[X]]_n$  is induced by an automorphism of  $K[[Y]]_n$ .

Let  $S$  be a complete regular local ring which is not ramified and let  $M = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be the maximal ideal of  $S$ , where  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  is a minimal set of generators of  $M$ . If  $P_i$  denotes the ideal  $(u_1, \dots, u_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  then our concluding result asserts that every automorphism of  $S/P_i$  is induced by an automorphism of  $S$ . This result is, of course, well known in the case  $n = 1$ .

We are able to establish the result on induced embeddings by an argument which is much like that used in [4] to show that each derivation on  $k$  (into  $k$ ) is induced by a derivation on  $K$ . This is not surprising in view of the close connection between derivations and embeddings in power series rings [2; 3].

We define an embedding of a commutative ring  $S$  in a power series ring  $S'[[X]]_n$ , where  $S'$  is a commutative ring containing  $S$ , to be an isomorphism  $\theta$  of  $S$  into  $S'[[X]]_n$  subject to the following condition. Let  $\psi$  represent the natural mapping  $S'[[X]]_n$  onto  $S'$ . Then  $\theta$  has the property that  $a = \psi\theta(a)$  for all  $a \in S$ . If  $S' = S$  we call  $\theta$  simply an embedding of  $S$ .

The homomorphism  $H$  of  $R$  onto  $k$  is extended to a homomorphism  $H'$  of  $R[[Y]]_n$  onto  $k[[X]]_n$  by the condition

$$H' \left( \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}^*} a_I Y^I \right) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}^*} H(a_I) X^I,$$

where  $I$  represents an  $n$ -tuple of ordinary non-negative integers  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ ,  $X^I = X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ , and  $\mathcal{G}^*$  is the set of all such  $n$ -tuples. An embedding  $\Theta$  of  $k$  is induced by an embedding  $\theta$  of  $R$  if for each  $a$  in  $R$  we have

---

Presented to the Society, November 17, 1961, under the title *Embeddings of a  $p$ -adic field*; received by the editors May 3, 1962.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by NSFG-11292 and NSF-G19912.

$$(1) \quad H'\theta(a) = \ominus H(a).$$

II. The embedding theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Each embedding  $\ominus$  of  $k$  is induced by an embedding of  $R$ , or, equivalently, by an embedding of  $K$ .*

**PROOF.** We let  $k_0$  represent the maximal perfect subfield of  $k$ . It follows that  $\ominus$ , restricted to  $k_0$ , is the identity mapping [3, Lemma 1]. Let  $K_0$  be the  $p$ -adic subfield of  $K$  with residue field  $k_0$  and let  $\theta_0$  be the identity mapping on  $K_0$  regarded as an isomorphism of  $K_0$  into  $K[[Y]]_n$ .

Next we choose a set  $\mathfrak{S}$  of units in  $R$  with the property that the set  $\bar{\mathfrak{S}} = H(\mathfrak{S})$  is a  $p$ -basis for  $k$  and we observe in the following way that  $\theta_0$  can be extended to an embedding  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $K_1 = K_0(\mathfrak{S})$  into  $K[[Y]]_n$  such that condition (1) holds for every integral element  $a$  in  $K_1$ . The fact that  $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}$  is a  $p$ -basis implies that  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}$  are algebraically independent over  $K_0$  and  $k_0$  respectively. Assume that  $\theta_0$  has been extended to an integral embedding  $\hat{\theta}$  on  $\hat{K} = K(\mathfrak{S}_1)$  where  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  is a proper subset of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , such that  $\hat{\theta}$  satisfies condition (1) for every integral element  $a$  in  $\hat{K}$ . We choose  $\bar{a}$  in  $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}$  and not in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ . Let  $\ominus(\bar{a}) = \sum \bar{a}_I X^I$ . Necessarily  $\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_0 = \bar{a}$ . We next choose  $a_0, \dots, a_0$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $a_I$  in  $K$ , for each  $I$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , so that  $H(a_I) = \bar{a}_I$ . Finally, the mapping  $\hat{\theta}$  is extended to an isomorphism  $\theta^*$  of  $K^* = \hat{K}(a_0, \dots, a_0)$  into  $K[[Y]]_n$  by the condition  $\theta^*(a_0, \dots, a_0) = \sum_{I \in \mathfrak{g}^*} a_I Y^I$ . By construction  $\theta^*$  is an integral embedding which satisfies condition (1) for every integer in  $K^*$ . Thus, by a standard Zorn's lemma argument we conclude that  $\theta_0$  can be extended to an integral embedding  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $K_1$  into  $K[[Y]]_n$  for which condition (1) holds.

In order to extend  $\hat{\theta}$  to the desired integral embedding on all of  $K$  we proceed as follows. Let  $U$  be a set of units in  $R$  which contains 1 and has the property that  $\bar{U} = H(U)$  is a basis for  $k$  as a linear space over  $k_1$ . Then for any positive integer  $m$  the set  $\bar{U}^{p^m}$  of  $p^m$  powers of the elements in  $\bar{U}$  is also a basis for  $k$  over  $k_1$  [4, p. 347].

Let  $a$  be in  $R$ . The coset  $a + (p^m)$  has a representative of the form  $\sum a_i u_i^{p^m}$  where the  $a_i$  are integral in  $K_1$  and  $\sum$  denotes a finite sum. Moreover, the  $a_i$  are uniquely determined mod  $p^m$ . In the remainder of this paper the coefficients  $a_i$  in an expression of the form  $\sum a_i u_i^{p^m}$ ,  $u_i \in U$ , will be integral in  $K_1$ . Let  $R_m$  denote the ring  $R/(p^m)$ , and let  $R[[Y]]_{(n,m)}$  represent the ring  $R[[Y]]_n/(p^m, Y_1^{p^m}, \dots, Y_n^{p^m})$ . We define a mapping  $\theta_m$  of  $R_m$  into  $R[[Y]]_{(n,m)}$  by the following:

$$(2) \quad \theta_m(\sum a_i u_i^{p^{2m^2+1}} + (p^m)) = \sum u_i^{p^{2m^2+1}} \hat{\theta}(a_i) + (p^m, Y_1^{p^m}, \dots, Y_n^{p^m}).$$

We will show first that  $\theta_m$  is an isomorphism with the property that, for all  $a$  in  $R$ ,  $\theta_m(a + (p^m)) \equiv a, \text{ mod}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ . The  $\theta_m$  determine a limit function which will prove to be the desired embedding of  $R$  in  $R[[Y]]_n$ . To this end we have the following preliminaries.

For  $I$  and  $J$  in  $\mathcal{G}^*$ , we write  $J \leq I$  if each component of  $J$  is less than or equal to the corresponding component of  $I$ ,  $I+J$  is obtained by component-wise addition. If  $p$  divides each integer in  $I$  we say  $p$  divides  $I$ , ( $p|I$ ), and denote the  $n$ -tuple of quotients by  $I/p$ . The largest integer in  $I$  is represented by  $|I|$ , and  $kI$  represents the  $n$ -tuple obtained by component-wise multiplication of  $I$  by the integer  $k$ .

For  $a$  integral in  $K_1$ ,  $\bar{\theta}(a) = \sum a_I Y^I$  where  $a_I$  is in  $R$  for all  $I$  and  $a_0, \dots, a_0 = a$ . Let  $\bar{\Pi}_I$  be the mapping given by  $\bar{\Pi}_I(a) = a_I$ . Then for all  $a$  and  $b$  integral in  $K_1$  and all  $I$  in  $\mathcal{G}^*$

- (i)  $\bar{\Pi}_I(a+b) = \bar{\Pi}_I(a) + \bar{\Pi}_I(b)$ , and
- (ii)  $\bar{\Pi}_I(ab) = \sum_{J \leq I} \bar{\Pi}_I(a) \bar{\Pi}_{I-J}(b)$ .

The symbol  $\mathcal{G}$  will represent the nonzero  $n$ -tuples of  $\mathcal{G}^*$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $a$  be an integral element in  $K_1$ . Then for each  $I$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $m > 0$ ,*

$$(3) \quad \bar{\Pi}_I(a^{p^m}) \equiv 0, \text{ mod } p^m, \quad \text{if } p \nmid I,$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\Pi}_I(a^{p^m}) \equiv & [\bar{\Pi}_{I/p}(a^{p^{m-1}})]^p + p \sum_{J \leq I/p} c_J [\bar{\Pi}_J(a^{p^{m-2}})]^p + \dots \\ & + p^{m-1} \sum_{J \leq I/p} c'_J [\bar{\Pi}_J(a)]^p, \text{ mod } p^m, \text{ if } p \mid I, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $c_J$  and  $c'_J$  are in  $R$ .

PROOF. We argue by induction on  $m$ .

$$(5) \quad \bar{\Pi}_I(a^p) = \sum_{[p, I]} C_{p; r_1, \dots, r_s} \bar{\Pi}_{J_1}(a) \cdots \bar{\Pi}_{J_p}(a),$$

where  $[p, I]$  represents the set of all ordered partitions of  $I$  into  $p$  summands from  $\mathcal{G}^*$ , the integers  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  are the multiplicities of the distinct  $n$ -tuples in the partition  $J_1, \dots, J_p$  of  $I$ , and  $C_{p; r_1, \dots, r_s}$  is the indicated multinomial coefficient. If  $p \nmid I$  then, necessarily,  $p \nmid C_{p; r_1, \dots, r_s}$ . Hence  $\bar{\Pi}_I(a^p) \equiv 0, \text{ mod } p$ . If  $p \mid I$  the only term in (5) not having  $p$  as a factor is  $[\bar{\Pi}_{I/p}(a)]^p$ . Thus the lemma holds for  $m = 1$ . We assume the result for  $j < m$ . Again,

$$\bar{\Pi}_I(a^{p^m}) = \sum_{[p, I]} C_{p; r_1, \dots, r_s} \bar{\Pi}_{J_1}(a^{p^{m-1}}) \cdots \bar{\Pi}_{J_p}(a^{p^{m-1}}).$$

As before, if  $p \nmid I$ , then for each partition  $J_1, \dots, J_p$  in  $[p, I]$ ,  $p \mid C_{p;r_1, \dots, r_p}$  and for some  $i$ ,  $p \nmid J_i$ . Thus, using the inductive hypothesis, we have  $\bar{\Pi}_I(a^{p^m}) \equiv 0, \text{ mod } p^m$ .

If  $p \mid I$ ,

$$\bar{\Pi}_I(a^{p^m}) = [\bar{\Pi}_{I/p}(a^{p^{m-1}})]^p + \sum C_{p;r_1, \dots, r_p} \bar{\Pi}_{J_1}(a^{p^{m-1}}) \dots \bar{\Pi}_{J_p}(a^{p^{m-1}}).$$

The range of the sum is clear. Each coefficient  $C_{p;r_1, \dots, r_p}$  is divisible by  $p$ . If  $p \nmid J_i$  for some  $i$  then by the inductive hypothesis, the term containing  $\bar{\Pi}_{J_i}(a^{p^{m-1}})$  is zero, mod  $p^m$ . Thus we have

$$\bar{\Pi}_I(a^{p^m}) \equiv [\bar{\Pi}_{I/p}(a^{p^{m-1}})]^p + \sum_{I_0 < J \leq I/p} c_J \bar{\Pi}_{pJ}(a^{p^{m-1}}), \text{ mod } p^m,$$

for some set of elements  $c_J$  in  $R$ , where  $I_0$  denotes the  $n$ -tuple of zeros. The result now follows by substituting for  $\bar{\Pi}_{pJ}(a^{p^{m-1}})$  using relation (4).

By a straightforward induction argument on  $m \geq 0$  using Lemma 1 we have

LEMMA 2.  $\bar{\Pi}_I(a^{p^{m^2+1}}) \equiv 0, \text{ mod } p^m$ , if  $0 < |I| < p^{m+1}$ .

By definition of the mappings  $\bar{\Pi}_I$ ,  $\bar{\theta}(a) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}} \bar{\Pi}_I(a) Y^I$ . Hence, using Lemma 2 we have,

LEMMA 3. For all integers  $a$  in  $K_1$ ,

$$\bar{\theta}(a^{p^{m^2+1}}) \equiv a^{p^{m^2+1}}, \text{ mod } (p^m, Y_1^{p^m}, \dots, Y_n^{p^m}).$$

LEMMA 4. The mapping  $\theta_m$  is an isomorphism with the property that  $\theta_m(a) \equiv a, \text{ mod } (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ , for all  $a$  in  $R_m$ .

PROOF. It is clear that  $\theta_m$  is additive. Since for  $b$  an integer in  $K_1$ ,  $\bar{\theta}(b) \equiv b, \text{ mod } (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ , it follows that for  $a$  in  $R_m$ ,  $\theta_m(a) \equiv a, \text{ mod } (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ . Hence,  $\theta_m$  is one-to-one. It remains to show that products are preserved.

Let  $a = \sum a_i u_i^{p^{m^2+1}} + (p^m)$  and  $b = \sum b_i u_i^{p^{m^2+1}} + (p^m)$ . Then,

$$\theta_m(ab) = \theta_m[\sum a_i b_j u_i^{p^{2m^2+1}} u_j^{p^{2m^2+1}} + (p^m)].$$

Now by [4, proof of Lemma 2]

$$u_i^{p^{2m^2+1}} u_j^{p^{2m^2+1}} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p^k \sum_{i,j,k,l} s_{i,j,k,l} c_{i,j,k,l}^{p^{2m^2+1-k}} u_l^{p^{2m^2+1}}, \text{ mod } p^m,$$

where  $s_{i,j,k,l}$  is a rational integer and  $c_{i,j,k,l}$  is integral in  $K_1$ . Hence,

$$\theta_m(ab) = \theta_m[\sum a_i b_j \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p^k \sum s_{i,j,k,l} c_{i,j,k,l}^{p^{2m^2+1-k}} u_i^{p^{2m^2+1}} + (p^m)].$$

Now

$$\tilde{\theta}(a_i b_j p^k s_{i,j,k,l} c_{i,j,k,l}^{p^{(2m^2+1)-k}}) = \tilde{\theta}(a_i) \tilde{\theta}(b_j) p^k s_{i,j,k,l} \tilde{\theta}(c_{i,j,k,l}^{p^{(2m^2+1)-k}}).$$

If  $k \leq m-1$ , then  $2m^2+1-k > m^2+1$ . Thus, by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta}(a_i b_j p^k s_{i,j,k,l} c_{i,j,k,l}^{p^{m^2+1-k}}) \\ \equiv \tilde{\theta}(a_i) \tilde{\theta}(b_j) p^k s_{i,j,k,l} c_{i,j,k,l}^{p^{(2m^2+1)-k}}, \text{ mod } (p^m, Y_1^{p^m}, \dots, Y_n^{p^m}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\theta_m(ab) = \sum \tilde{\theta}(a_i) \tilde{\theta}(b_j) u_i^{p^{2m^2+1}} u_j^{p^{2m^2+1}} + (p^m, Y_1^{p^m}, \dots, Y_n^{p^m}),$$

or,

$$\theta_m(ab) = \theta_m(a)\theta_m(b).$$

Regarding  $\theta_i(a+(p^t))$  as a set of elements in  $R[[Y]]_n$  we have

LEMMA 5.  $\theta_m(a+(p^m)) \supset \theta_{m+1}(a+(p^{m+1}))$  for all integers  $a$  in  $K$ .

PROOF. For each  $u_i$  in  $U$ ,  $u_i^{4m+2} \equiv \sum c_j u_j, \text{ mod } p$ . Hence,  $(u_i^{4m+2})^{p^{2m^2+1}} \equiv (\sum c_j u_j)^{p^{2m^2+1}}, \text{ mod } p^{2m^2+1}$ . By [4, Lemma 1] this becomes

$$u_i^{p^{2(m+1)^2+1}} \equiv \sum_{t=0}^{2m^2} p^t \sum s_{i,t,k} c_{i,t,k}^{p^{2m^2+1-t}} u_k^{p^{2m^2+1}}, \text{ mod } p^{2m^2+1}.$$

Thus we have, for  $a$  in  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a + (p^{m+1}) &= \sum b_r u_r^{p^{2(m+1)^2+1}} + (p^{m+1}), \\ &= \sum b_r \sum_{t=0}^{2m^2} p^t \sum s_{r,t,k} c_{r,t,k}^{p^{2m^2+1-t}} u_k^{p^{2m^2+1}} + (p^{m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_m[a + (p^m)] \\ &= \sum_r \tilde{\theta}(b_r) \sum_{t=0}^{2m^2} p^t \sum s_{r,t,k} c_{r,t,k}^{p^{2m^2+1-t}} u_k^{p^{2m^2+1}} + (p^m, Y_1^{p^m}, \dots, Y_n^{p^m}) \\ &= \sum \tilde{\theta}(b_r) u_r^{p^{2(m+1)^2+1}} + (p^m, Y_1^{p^m}, \dots, Y_n^{p^m}). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\theta_{m+1}[a + (p^{m+1})] = \sum \tilde{\theta}(b_r) u_r^{p^2(m+1)^2+1} + (p^{m+1}, Y_r^{p^{m+1}}, \dots, Y_n^{p^{m+1}}).$$

The lemma follows.

We now let  $\theta(a) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_m[a + (p^m)]$  for each  $a$  in  $R$ . By Lemma 5,  $\theta$  is a well-defined mapping of  $R$  into  $R[[Y]]_n$ . It preserves sums and products mod  $(p^m, Y_1^m, \dots, Y_n^m)$  for all  $m$ , hence is a homomorphism. It has the property that  $\theta(a) \equiv a, \text{ mod } (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ , by virtue of the fact that  $\theta_m(a) \equiv a, \text{ mod } (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ , for all  $m$ . Thus  $\theta$  is an isomorphism and hence an embedding of  $R$  in  $R[[Y]]_n$ .

In order to show that  $\theta$  coincides with  $\tilde{\theta}$  on  $K_1$  we choose an integral element  $a$  in  $K_1$ . Then, one being in  $U$ , we have

$$\theta_m[a + (p^m)] = \tilde{\theta}(a) + (p^m, Y_1^m, \dots, Y_n^m)$$

and thus

$$\theta(a) = \bigcap_{m=1} \theta_m[a + (p^m)] = \tilde{\theta}(a).$$

Finally we note that  $\theta$  induces an embedding  $\ominus'$  on  $k$  which coincides with  $\ominus$  on  $k_1$ . However, since  $k_1$  contains a  $p$ -basis for  $k$ , and an embedding on  $k$  is uniquely determined by its action on a  $p$ -basis [3, Theorem 1] it follows that  $\ominus' = \ominus$  and the theorem is proved.

A set  $\{\Pi_I\}g$  of mappings of a ring  $S$  into  $S$  is an embedding sequence on  $S$  if the conditions (i) and (ii), preceding Lemma 1, obtain for all  $I$ . The correspondence between embeddings of  $S$  and embedding sequences on  $S$ , as indicated by the paragraph preceding Lemma 1, leads to the following extension of the theorem which states that every derivation on  $k$  is induced by a derivation on  $R$  [4, Theorem 1].

**COROLLARY 1.** *Each embedding sequence  $\{\tau_I\}g$  on  $k$  is induced by an embedding sequence  $\{\Pi_I\}g$  on  $R$ . That is, for all  $a$  in  $R$  and  $I$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $H\Pi_I(a) = \tau_I H(a)$ .*

**AN APPLICATION.** Let  $\Phi$  denote an automorphism on  $R[[Y]]_n$ . The ideal  $(p)$  is invariant under  $\Phi$ , hence  $\Phi$  induces, via  $H'$ , an automorphism  $\phi$  on  $k[[X]]_n$ . Let  $G$  represent the group of automorphisms of  $R[[X]]_n$ , and  $G_0$  the "inertial" subgroup of  $\alpha$  in  $G$  such that for all  $x$  in  $R[[X]]_n$   $\alpha(x) \equiv x, \text{ mod } p$ . Then we have

**THEOREM 2.** *Every automorphism on  $k[[X]]_n$  is induced by an automorphism on  $R[[Y]]_n$ . Moreover, the group of automorphisms of  $k[[X]]_n$  is isomorphic to  $G/G_0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\phi$  be an automorphism on  $k[[X]]_n$ . Let  $\phi_0$  denote the

restriction of  $\phi$  to  $k$ . Then for  $a$  in  $k$   $\phi_0(a) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}^*} a_I X^I$ . The mapping  $a \rightarrow a_0, \dots, a_n$  is an automorphism  $\Phi'_0$  on  $k$  which by a well known theorem is induced via  $H$  by an automorphism  $\Phi'$  on  $R$ . Clearly  $\phi_0 = \phi' \Phi'_0$  where  $\phi'$  is the embedding mapping  $a_0, \dots, a_n \rightarrow \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}^*} a_I X^I$  where again  $\phi_0(a) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}^*} a_I X^I$ . Hence, by Theorem 1, there is an embedding mapping  $\Phi'$  on  $R$  such that  $\Phi_0 = \Phi' \Phi'_0$  induces  $\phi_0$ . We extend  $\Phi_0$  to an automorphism on  $R[[Y]]_n$  in the natural way, i.e., let  $\Phi(Y_i) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}^*} a_{i,I} Y^I$  where the  $a_{i,I}$  are so chosen that  $\phi(X_i) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}^*} H(a_{i,I}) X^I$ . The fact that  $\phi$  is an automorphism and the manner in which the  $\Phi(Y_i)$  are chosen assure that the endomorphism of  $R[[X]]_n$   $\Phi$  determined by extending  $\Phi_0$  to all of  $R[[X]]_n$  in the indicated manner is in fact an automorphism which induces  $\phi$ . The remaining statement of the theorem is obvious.

Let  $S$  represent a complete regular local ring which is not ramified and let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be a minimal basis for the maximal ideal  $M$  of  $S$ . I. S. Cohen [1] has shown that  $S$  is isomorphic to a power series ring in  $n$ -indeterminates over a field under a map which takes  $u_i$  into the  $i$ th indeterminate or, in the unequal characteristic case  $S$  is isomorphic to  $R[[X]]_{n-1}$  for a suitable unramified complete discrete valuation ring  $R$  under a map which takes  $u_1$  (say) into  $p$  and  $u_i$  into  $X_{i-1}$  for  $i=2, \dots, n$ .

Theorem 2 asserts that in the latter case every automorphism of  $S/P_1$  where  $P_1 = (u_1)$  is induced by an automorphism of  $S$ . The remaining cases which arise in the proof of the following corollary are immediate.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $S$  be a complete regular local ring which is not ramified and let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be a minimal basis for the maximal ideal  $M$  of  $S$ . If  $P_i$  denotes the ideal generated by  $u_1, \dots, u_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) then every automorphism of  $S/P_i$  is induced by an automorphism of  $S$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. I. S. Cohen, *Structure of complete local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1946), 54-106.
2. N. Heerema, *Derivations and embeddings of a field in its power series ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 188-194.
3. ———, *Derivations and embeddings of a field in its power series ring*, II, Michigan Math. J. **8** (1961), 129-134.
4. ———, *Derivations on  $p$ -adic fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962), 346-351.
5. O. F. G. Shilling, *The theory of valuation*, Math. Surveys, No. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1950.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY