

A SUPPLEMENT TO PARKER'S "REMARKS ON BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGNS"¹

ESTHER SEIDEN

1. E. T. Parker proved [2] the following theorem.

Let D be a balanced incomplete block design (BIBD) with parameters $(v, b, k, r, \lambda) = (2x+2, 4x+2, x+1, 2x+1, x)$, where x is a positive even integer. Then (i) any two blocks of D have at least one common element, and (ii) no two blocks of D are the same subset.

Parker showed further that conclusion (i) need not hold when x is odd. He concludes his remarks saying, "Whether conclusion (ii) holds for odd x appears less easy to decide." It is the purpose of this note to show that conclusion (ii) holds also for x odd.

The result of this note is established using formulae of Bose and Bush [1] connecting parameters of orthogonal arrays $(\lambda s^2, k, s, 2)$:

- (a) $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} n_i = \lambda s^2 - 1,$
- (b) $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} i n_i = k(\lambda s - 1),$
- (c) $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} i(i-1) n_i = k(k-1)(\lambda - 1),$

where n_i denotes the number of columns which have i coincidences with any chosen fixed column of the array, λ is the frequency of each ordered pair in like columns of each pair of distinct rows of the array, k is the number of rows, and s is the number of values the elements of the array can take on.

2. **On conclusion (ii) of Parker's Theorem.** Conclusion (ii) holds for any positive integer x .

PROOF. Parker shows that the existence of BIBD with parameters $(2x+2, 4x+2, x+1, 2x+1, x)$, x a positive integer, implies the existence of an orthogonal array with $s=2, \lambda=x+1, k=2x+2$. If conclusion (ii) does not hold then this array would have to include at least two identical columns. This means that equations (a), (b), and (c) would have to hold with $n_{2\lambda} \geq 1$. For $k=2\lambda, s=2$ equations (a), (b) and (c) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2\lambda} n_i &= 4\lambda - 1 \\ \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2\lambda} i n_i &= 2\lambda(2\lambda - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors July 16, 1962.

¹ This research memorandum was partially supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. G18976.

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2\lambda} i(i-1)n_i = 2\lambda(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-1).$$

Hence, the average number of coincidences, say, $\bar{n} = 2\lambda(2\lambda-1)/(4\lambda-1)$. Furthermore $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2\lambda} (i-\bar{n})^2 n_i = 2\lambda^2(2\lambda-1)/(4\lambda-1)$. If $n_{2\lambda} = 1$ then the contribution of this term alone to the total sum of squares equals $16\lambda^4/(4\lambda-1)^2$ which is clearly impossible.

REFERENCES

1. R. C. Bose and K. A. Bush, *Orthogonal arrays of strength two and three*, Ann. Math. Statist. **23** (1952), 508-524.
2. E. T. Parker, *Remarks on balanced incomplete block designs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 729-730.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY