

## COMPACTNESS AND SEMI-CONTINUOUS CARRIERS

JACK G. CEDER

A real-valued function  $f$  on a topological space  $X$  is defined to be upper (lower) semi-continuous if the set  $\{x: f(x) \geq \lambda\}$  (resp.  $\{x: f(x) \leq \lambda\}$ ) is closed in  $X$  for each real number  $\lambda$  [3, p. 101]. This notion has been generalized to a function from a topological space into some set of subsets of another topological space (cf. Hahn [2, p. 148] or Michael [4, p. 179]). More precisely, letting  $\mathcal{A}$  be some collection of nonempty subsets of  $Y$ , we say that a function  $\Phi$  from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is an *upper (lower) semi-continuous carrier* from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  if the set  $\{x: \Phi(x) \subset U\}$  (resp.  $\{x: \Phi(x) \cap U \neq \Lambda\}$ ) is open in  $X$  for each open set  $U$  in  $Y$ . Note that if  $f$  is an u.s.c. (l.s.c.) real-valued function, then  $\Phi$ , defined by  $\Phi(x) = \{r: r \leq f(x)\}$ , becomes an u.s.c. (l.s.c.) carrier from  $X$  to the set of all nonempty closed subsets of  $E^1$ .

It is well known and easily proven that a real-valued u.s.c. (l.s.c.) function on a compact space attains its maximum (minimum). However, this property does not characterize the compactness of the domain space. For example, it is easily shown that each u.s.c. (l.s.c.) function on  $\Omega$ , the first uncountable ordinal, attains its maximum (minimum).<sup>1</sup> The purpose of this paper is to characterize various kinds of "compactness" in terms of u.s.c. (l.s.c.) carriers "attaining their maxima (minima)." We say that a carrier  $\Phi$  *attains a maximum (minimum)* if the family  $\{\Phi(x): x \in X\}$  has a maximal (minimal) member with respect to set inclusion.

In the sequel  $X$  and  $Y$  are always  $T_1$  topological spaces, and  $2^Y$  is the set of all nonempty closed subsets of  $Y$ . If  $\alpha$  is any infinite cardinal, then we say that  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -compact if each open cover of  $X$  having cardinality  $\leq \alpha$  admits a finite subcover. And we say that a net is an  $\alpha$ -net if its domain is  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  may be any ordinal. Then we obtain the following well-known (cf. Chittenden [1]) and easily proved lemmas:

LEMMA 1.  *$X$  is  $\alpha$ -compact if and only if each  $\beta$ -net in  $X$  has a cluster point where  $\beta$  is any ordinal  $\leq \alpha$ .*

LEMMA 2. *A space  $X$  is compact if and only if each  $\alpha$ -net in  $X$  has a cluster point in  $X$ , where  $\alpha$  is any ordinal.*

---

Received by the editors March 23, 1962 and, in revised form, August 18, 1962.

<sup>1</sup> We consider ordinals and cardinals as defined, for example, in the appendix of Kelley [3], in which an ordinal is equal to the set of its predecessors and a cardinal is an ordinal which is not equivalent to any of its predecessors. In fact, our topological terminology, unless otherwise specified is consistent with that used in Kelley [3].

Given an infinite cardinal  $\alpha$  we say that a space  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -separable if  $Y$  has a dense subset of cardinality  $\leq \alpha$ ; and is *hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable* if each subset of  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -separable. (If  $\omega$  is the first infinite cardinal, then " $\omega$ -separable" is the same as "separable.") Now we obtain our main result.

**THEOREM 1.** *A space  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -compact if and only if each u.s.c. (l.s.c.) carrier from  $X$  to  $2^Y$ , where  $Y$  is any hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable space, attains a maximum (minimum).*

**PROOF.** We will prove the theorem only for the u.s.c. case; the l.s.c. case is similar.

Suppose  $\Phi$  is an u.s.c. carrier from an  $\alpha$ -compact space  $X$  to  $2^Y$ , where  $Y$  is some hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable space. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{\Phi(x) : x \in X\}$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{\Phi(x_i) : i \in L\}$  be any chain in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We will show, using Zorn's lemma, that there exists a  $\Phi(x)$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \subset \Phi(x)$ , which will complete the proof. Let  $D$  be a dense subset of  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  which is well-ordered by some cardinal  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . Now pick  $a_1 \in L$  so that  $\Phi(x_{a_1})$  contains the first element of  $D$ . By transfinite induction, assume we have chosen for each  $\gamma < \delta$  where  $\delta < \beta$  an  $a_\gamma \in L$  so that the set  $C_\gamma = \bigcup - (\bigcup \{\Phi(x_{a_\xi}) : \xi < \gamma\})^-$  is nonempty and  $\Phi(x_{a_\gamma})$  contains the first element of  $D \cap C_\gamma$ . Now consider  $\delta$ . If  $C_\delta = \Lambda$ , then we terminate the induction. If  $C_\delta \neq \Lambda$ , we choose  $a_\delta \in L$  so that  $\Phi(x_{a_\delta})$  contains the first element of  $D \cap C_\delta$ . Let  $A$  be the set of such  $a_\delta$ . Then clearly  $A$  becomes a well-ordered subset of  $L$  whose cardinality is  $\leq \beta \leq \alpha$ , for which we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \subset (\bigcup \{\Phi(x_a) : a \in A\})^-$ . By  $\alpha$ -compactness, the net  $\{(a, x_a) : a \in A\}$  has a cluster point  $x \in X$ . Next, let  $a \in A$  and  $y \in \Phi(x_a)$ . Since  $Y$  is  $T_1$  and  $\Phi$  is u.s.c., the set  $V = \{z : \Phi(z) \subset Y - \{y\}\}$  is open. If  $y \notin \Phi(x)$ , then  $x \in V$  and there exists a  $b \geq a$  such that  $\Phi(x_b) \subset Y - \{y\}$ , whence  $y \notin \Phi(x_b)$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $\Phi(x_a) \subset \Phi(x_b)$ . Thus  $y \in \Phi(x)$  and  $\bigcup \{\Phi(x_a) : a \in A\} \subset \Phi(x)$ . Since  $\Phi(x)$  is closed, we then have  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \subset \Phi(x)$ . (Note: in the l.s.c. case the  $T_1$ -ness of  $Y$  is not needed.)

Suppose that each u.s.c. carrier from  $X$  to  $2^Y$ , where  $Y$  is any hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable space, attains a maximum. Let  $\delta$  be the first cardinal such that  $X$  is not  $\delta$ -compact. If  $\delta$  is nonexistent or if  $\alpha < \delta$ , then  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -compact. So assume  $\delta \leq \alpha$ . By Lemma 1 there exists a  $\delta$ -net  $\{(\gamma, x_\gamma) : \gamma < \delta\}$  in  $X$  having no cluster point. Putting, for each  $\gamma < \delta$ ,  $V_\gamma = X - (\{x_\xi : \xi \geq \gamma\})^-$ , we obtain a family of open sets  $\{V_\gamma : \gamma < \delta\}$  covering  $X$  so that  $V_\xi \subset V_\gamma$  whenever  $\xi < \gamma$  and  $\bigcup \{V_\xi : \xi < \gamma\} \neq X$  for any  $\gamma < \delta$ . Now we define  $\Phi$  on  $X$  to  $2^\delta$  by putting  $\Phi(x) = \{\xi : \xi \leq \gamma_x\}$  where  $\gamma_x$  is the first ordinal  $\gamma$  for which  $x \in V_\gamma$ . To show that  $\Phi$  is u.s.c. we must show that  $W' = \{x : \Phi(x) \subset W\}$  is open

in  $X$  for each open set  $W$  in  $\delta$  ( $\delta$  has the order topology). In case  $W = \delta$ , we clearly have  $W' = X$ . In case  $W \neq \delta$ , let  $\gamma$  be the first member of  $\delta - W$ . If  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $W' = \Lambda$ . If  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then clearly  $W' = \bigcup \{V_\xi: \xi < \gamma\}$ , which is open in  $X$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is an u.s.c. carrier from  $X$  to  $2^\delta$  (where  $\delta$  is  $\alpha$ -hereditarily separable) which obviously has no maximum. This contradicts the assumption that  $\delta \leq \alpha$ , which finishes the proof.

Now from the above theorem and Lemma 2 it easily follows that

**THEOREM 2.** *A space  $X$  is compact if and only if each u.s.c. (l.s.c.) carrier from  $X$  to any  $2^Y$  attains a maximum (minimum).*

As a corollary of Theorem 2 we of course obtain the result that each real-valued u.s.c. (l.s.c.) function on a compact space attains its maximum (minimum). As another consequence: If  $2^Y$  is topologized so that any continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $2^Y$  becomes also an u.s.c. (and/or l.s.c.) carrier, then  $f$  attains a maximum (and/or minimum) provided  $X$  is compact. (E.g. if  $Y$  is a bounded metric space, give  $2^Y$  the Hausdorff metric topology. See Michael [4] for this and other possible topologies on  $2^Y$ .)

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. E. W. Chittenden, *On general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1929), 290–321.
2. H. Hahn, *Reelle Funktionen*, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1932.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
4. E. A. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1951), 152–182.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT SANTA BARBARA