

TORSION FREE COVERING MODULES

EDGAR ENOCHS

Let A be an integral domain and K its field of fractions. An A -module E is said to be torsion free if $\alpha x = 0$ for $\alpha \in A$, $x \in E$ implies $\alpha = 0$ or $x = 0$. We will say that a submodule E_1 of an A -module E is pure in E if $\alpha E_1 = \alpha E \cap E_1$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Then if E is torsion free, a submodule E_1 of E is pure in E if and only if E/E_1 is torsion free. Clearly the union of a chain of pure submodules of a module is still a pure submodule and if $E_2 \subset E_1$, are submodules of E such that E_2 is pure in E_1 and E_1/E_2 pure in E/E_2 then E_1 is pure in E .

It is well known that for any A -module E there exists a torsion free A -module E_1 and an epimorphism $p: E \rightarrow E_1$ such that if ϕ is any linear mapping from E into a torsion free module F then there is a unique linear mapping $f: E_1 \rightarrow F$ such that $f \circ p = \phi$, i.e., the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & E_1 \\
 & \searrow \phi & \downarrow f \\
 & & F
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. It suffices to let E_1 be E/E' where E' is the torsion submodule of E , i.e., the set of elements of E which are not free and p the canonical mapping $E \rightarrow E/E'$.

The object of this paper is to show that for any module E there exists a torsion free A -module $T(E)$ and a linear mapping $\psi: T(E) \rightarrow E$ which is unique "up to isomorphism" subject to the two conditions

- (1) the kernel of ψ contains no nontrivial pure submodules of E ,
- (2) if $\phi: F \rightarrow E$ is a linear mapping where F is torsion free then there is a linear mapping $f: F \rightarrow T(E)$ such that $\psi \circ f = \phi$.

Such a mapping ψ will be called a torsion free covering of E and $T(E)$ will be called a torsion free covering module of E . A linear mapping $\psi: E' \rightarrow E$ will be said to have the torsion free factor property if for any linear mapping $\phi: F \rightarrow E$, where F is torsion free there exists a linear mapping $f: F \rightarrow E'$ such that $\psi \circ f = \phi$.

We first prove four lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If $\psi: E' \rightarrow E$ has the torsion free factor property and E_1 is a submodule of E then the linear mapping $\psi^{-1}(E_1) \rightarrow E_1$ which agrees with ψ on $\psi^{-1}(E_1)$ has the torsion free factor property.*

Received by the editors September 6, 1962.

PROOF. Trivial.

LEMMA 2. *If E is injective then $\psi: E' \rightarrow E$ has the torsion free factor property if and only if for every linear map $\phi: F \rightarrow E$, where F is torsion free and injective there is a linear mapping $f: F \rightarrow E'$ such that $\psi \circ f = \phi$.*

PROOF. The condition is clearly necessary. If $\phi_1: F_1 \rightarrow E$ is any linear mapping where F_1 is torsion free, then since F_1 is a submodule of a torsion free injective (hence divisible) module F and since E is injective there exists a linear mapping $\phi: F \rightarrow E$ such that $\phi|_{F_1} = \phi_1$. Then if $f: F \rightarrow E'$ is such that $\psi \circ f = \phi$ then $\psi \circ (f|_{E_1}) = \phi_1$.

LEMMA 3. *For every module E there exists a torsion free module E' and a linear mapping $\psi: E' \rightarrow E$ having the torsion free factor property.*

PROOF. Using Lemma 1 and the fact that every module is a submodule of an injective module we see that it suffices to assume that E is injective. Then using Lemma 2, we see that in order to prove that a linear mapping $\psi: E' \rightarrow E$ has the torsion free factor property it suffices to show that if $\phi: F \rightarrow E$, where F is torsion free and injective then there is a linear mapping $f: F \rightarrow E'$ such that $\psi \circ f = \phi$.

If we let E' be the direct sum of sufficiently many copies of K then clearly there exists a linear mapping $\psi: E' \rightarrow E$ such that for any linear mapping $\phi': K \rightarrow E$ there is a linear mapping $f': K \rightarrow E'$ such that $\psi \circ f' = \phi'$. Then since any torsion free injective module F is the direct sum of a family of submodules isomorphic to K , clearly for any linear mapping $\phi: F \rightarrow E$ there is a linear mapping $f: F \rightarrow E'$ such that $\psi \circ f = \phi$.

LEMMA 4. *If $\psi: E' \rightarrow E$ has the torsion free factor property and N is a submodule of E' contained in the kernel of ψ then the induced mapping $E'/N \rightarrow E$ has the torsion free factor property.*

PROOF. Trivial.

In particular we see that if $\psi: E' \rightarrow E$ has the torsion free factor property where E' is torsion free and N is a maximal element among the pure submodules of E' contained in the kernel of ψ then the induced mapping $E'/N \rightarrow E$ is a torsion free covering of E .

This remark coupled with Lemma 3 gives us:

THEOREM 1. *Every module E has a torsion free covering.*

Now we need to show the uniqueness of the torsion free covering.

THEOREM 2. *If $\psi': E' \rightarrow E$ and $\psi'': E'' \rightarrow E$ are two torsion free coverings of E and $f: E' \rightarrow E''$ is a linear mapping such that $\psi'' \circ f = \psi'$ then f is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. Since ψ'' is a torsion free covering of E there exists a linear mapping $f: E' \rightarrow E''$ such that $\psi'' \circ f = \psi'$. But then the kernel of f is a pure submodule of E' (since E'' is torsion free) which is contained in the kernel of ψ' . But then since ψ' is a torsion free covering, the kernel of f is 0. Thus f is a monomorphism and so $\text{Card}(E') \leq \text{Card}(E'')$. Similarly $\text{Card}(E'') \leq \text{Card}(E')$ so $\text{Card}(E') = \text{Card}(E'')$, i.e., all torsion free coverings of E have the same cardinality. Thus let X be a set containing the elements of E' and E'' and such that $\text{Card}(X) > \text{Card}(E')$. Let \mathfrak{F} be the set of pairs (E_0, ψ_0) , where E_0 is an A -module whose elements are elements of X and where ψ_0 is a linear mapping $E_0 \rightarrow E$ which is a torsion free covering of E . Then (E', ψ') and (E'', ψ'') belong to \mathfrak{F} .

Partially order \mathfrak{F} by setting $(E_0, \psi_0) \leq (E_1, \psi_1)$ if E_0 is a submodule of E_1 and $\psi_1|_{E_0} = \psi_0$. Then \mathfrak{F} has maximal elements for if \mathcal{C} is a chain of \mathfrak{F} let E^* be the union of the first coordinates of the pairs in \mathcal{C} with the unique structure of an A -module such that E_0 is a submodule of E^* for each (E_0, ψ_0) in \mathcal{C} and let $\psi^*: E^* \rightarrow E$ be the unique linear mapping such that $\psi^*|_{E_0} = \psi_0$ for each pair (E_0, ψ_0) in \mathcal{C} .

Then ψ^* clearly has the torsion free factor property. If N is a pure submodule E^* contained in the kernel of ψ^* then $N \cap E_0$ is a pure submodule of E_0 contained in the kernel of ψ_0 for each (E_0, ψ_0) in \mathcal{C} . Thus $N \cap E_0 = 0$ for each (E_0, ψ_0) in \mathcal{C} so $N = 0$. Thus (E^*, ψ^*) belongs to \mathfrak{F} . Clearly (E^*, ψ^*) is an upper bound of \mathcal{C} .

Thus assume (E^*, ψ^*) is a maximal element of \mathfrak{F} .

Now let $f_1: E^* \rightarrow E'$ be any linear mapping such that $\psi' \circ f_1 = \psi^*$. By our previous remarks we know f_1 is a monomorphism. We would like to show that it is also an epimorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be such that $\text{Card}(Y) = \text{Card}(E' - f_1(E^*))$ and such that $E^* \cap Y = \emptyset$. Such a Y is available since $\text{Card}(X) > \text{Card}(E') = \text{Card}(E^*)$. Let $E_0 = E^* \cup Y$ and let g be a bijection $E_0 \rightarrow E'$ such that $g|_{E^*} = f_1$ and $g(Y) = E' - f_1(E^*)$. Then E_0 can be made uniquely into an A -module so that g becomes an isomorphism. Letting E_0 denote this module we see that E^* is a submodule of E_0 , that $(E_0, \psi' \circ g)$ is an element of \mathfrak{F} and $\psi' \circ g|_{E^*} = \psi' \circ f_1 = \psi^*$ so that $(E^*, \psi^*) \leq (E_0, \psi' \circ g)$. But (E^*, ψ^*) is a maximal element of \mathfrak{F} , hence $Y = \emptyset$ so $E' - f_1(E^*) = \emptyset$ or f_1 is an epimorphism. Similarly any linear mapping $f_2: E^* \rightarrow E''$ such that $\psi'' \circ f_2 = \psi^*$ is an epimorphism. But $f \circ f_1$ is such a mapping since $\psi'' \circ f \circ f_1 = \psi' \circ f_1 = \psi^*$ hence $f \circ f_1$ is an epimorphism but then f must be an epimorphism. But f is a monomorphism hence an isomorphism. This completes the proof.

Using the fact that the Pontrjagin dual of a compact Abelian group is torsion free if and only if the group is connected [3] we get

COROLLARY. Every compact Abelian group G can be embedded uniquely up to isomorphism in a connected compact Abelian group G' in such a manner that every continuous homomorphism of G into a connected compact Abelian group can be extended to G' and so that G' has no closed connected proper subgroups containing G .

THEOREM 3. If $\psi: T(E) \rightarrow E$ is a torsion free covering of E with kernel G then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^n(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^n(F, T(E)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^n(F, E) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact if F is torsion free and if $n \geq 1$.

PROOF. By definition of $T(E)$, $\text{Hom}(F, T(E)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, E) \rightarrow 0$ is exact whenever F is torsion free. Choose

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

exact with L a free module. Then $\text{Ext}_A^i(K, \)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(F, \)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Applying the above remarks to K , which is torsion free and using induction we get that

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(F, T(E))$$

is exact for all $i \geq 1$. Hence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(F, T(E)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(F, E) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for all $i \geq 1$.

For an example we show:

LEMMA 5. If A is a principal ring and π a prime then if E is a torsion free covering module of the A -module $A/(\pi)$ then E is isomorphic to the π -adic numbers.

PROOF. It is known that the π -adic numbers are isomorphic to the inverse limit of the inverse system of A -modules defined by the canonical mappings $A/(\pi^{n+1}) \rightarrow A/(\pi^n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let E denote this limit and let $\psi: E \rightarrow A/(\pi)$ be the projection mapping. It is easy to see that no nontrivial pure submodules of E are contained in the kernel of ψ . Let $\phi: F \rightarrow A/(\pi)$ be any linear mapping where F is torsion free. If $\phi = 0$ then let $f: F \rightarrow E$ be the null mapping. Then $\psi \circ f = \phi$. If $\phi \neq 0$ we choose a base $(\chi_i + \pi F)_{i \in I}$ of the vector space $F/\pi F$ over $A/(\pi)$ such that for one $\iota_0 \in I$, $\phi(\chi_{\iota_0}) = 1$ and such that $\phi(\chi_i) = 0$ for $i \neq \iota_0$. Then the family $(\chi_i + \pi^n F)_{i \in I}$ forms a base of the $A/(\pi^n)$ -module $F/\pi^n F$ for first suppose $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \chi_i \in \pi^n F$. Then since

$(\chi_i + \pi F)_{i \in I}$ is a base of the $A/(\pi)$ -module $F/\pi F$ we see that π divides each α_i , and so π divides $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \chi_i$. Since F is torsion free the symbol $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \chi_i / \pi$ is well defined and we have $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \chi_i / \pi = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i / \pi \chi_i \in \pi^{n-1} F$. Repeating the argument above we see that π^n divides α_i , which says the family $(\chi_i + \pi^n F)_{i \in I}$ is free over $A/(\pi^n)$. To prove $(\chi_i + \pi^n F)_{i \in I}$ generates $F/\pi^n F$ remark that since F is torsion free the map $\chi \rightarrow \pi^i \chi$ of F onto $\pi^i F$ is an isomorphism which maps πF onto $\pi^{i+1} F$. Thus $F/\pi F$ and $\pi^i F/\pi^{i+1} F$ are isomorphic A -modules. Thus $(\pi^i \chi_i + \pi^{i+1} F)_{i \in I}$ is a set of generators of $\pi^i F/\pi^{i+1} F$ for each $i \geq 1$. But this clearly implies that for any $\chi \in F$ and $i \geq 1$ we have $\chi - \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \chi_i \in \pi^i F$ for some linear combination $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \chi_i$ of the χ_i . It is easy to see that no nontrivial pure submodules of E are contained in the kernel of ψ . Let $\phi: F \rightarrow A/(\pi)$ be any linear mapping where F is torsion free. If $\phi = 0$ then let $f: F \rightarrow E$ be the null mapping. Then $\psi \circ f = \phi$. If $\phi \neq 0$ we choose a base $(\chi_i + \pi F)_{i \in I}$ of the vector space $F/\pi F$ over $A/(\pi)$ such that for one $i_0 \in I$, $\phi(\chi_{i_0}) = 1$ and such that $\phi(\chi_i) = 0$ for $i \neq i_0$. [Clearly the family $(\chi_i + \pi^n F)_{i \in I}$ forms a base of the $A/(\pi^n)$ -module $F/\pi^n F$]. Hence there exists a linear mapping $f_n: F/\pi^n F \rightarrow A/(\pi^n)$ such that $f_n(\chi_{i_0} + \pi^n F) = 1 + (\pi^n)$ and $f_n(\chi_i + \pi^n F) = 0$ if $i \neq i_0$. Passing to the limit we get a mapping $f: F \rightarrow E$ such that $\psi \circ f = \phi$.

In more generality the torsion free covering modules of simple A -modules have the following interesting property.

THEOREM 4. *If S is a simple A -module, $\mathcal{Q} \subset A$ is the annihilator of S and $\psi: T(S) \rightarrow S$ is a torsion free covering of S then $T(S)$ is a direct summand of any module F containing $T(S)$ such that $\mathcal{Q}T(S) = \mathcal{Q}F \cap T(S)$.*

PROOF. Let j be the mapping $T(S)/\mathcal{Q}T(S) \rightarrow F/\mathcal{Q}F$ induced by the canonical injection $T(S) \rightarrow F$. By hypothesis, j will be an injection. Then since $F/\mathcal{Q}F$ is semi-simple there will be a mapping $\phi_1: F/\mathcal{Q}F \rightarrow S$ such that $\phi_1 \circ j = \psi$. Thus letting p and p' denote the canonical mappings from $T(S)$ into $T(S)/\mathcal{Q}T(S)$ and from F into $F/\mathcal{Q}F$ we get that $\psi = \psi_1 \circ p = \phi_1 \circ p' \circ i$ where i is the canonical injection $T(S) \rightarrow F$. But there exists a linear mapping $f: F \rightarrow T(S)$ such that $\psi \circ f = \phi_1 \circ p'$. Thus $\psi \circ f \circ i = \phi_1 \circ p' \circ i = \psi$ hence $f \circ i$ is an automorphism of $T(S)$ by Theorem 2 so that $i(T(S)) = T(S)$ is a direct summand of F .

We remark that in case A is a principal ideal domain and $S = A/(\pi)$ then the hypothesis $\mathcal{Q}T(S) = T(S) \cap \mathcal{Q}F$ is equivalent to $T(S)$ being a pure submodule of F . Then the result of this lemma is a special case of Proposition 2.1, p. 371 of [2].

I am grateful to Dr. Johann Sonner whose stimulating ideas interested me in this problem.

REFERENCES

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.
2. D. K. Harrison. *On infinite abelian groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 69 (1959), 366-391.
3. A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*, Actualités Sci. Ind. no. 869, Hermann, Paris, 1940.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA