

$2(2d+1)$ is not a k th power residue modulo p . Since n was arbitrary then $\Lambda(k, 4) = \infty$. This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. E. Kummer, Abh. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1859).
2. D. H. and E. Lehmer, *On runs of residues*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 102-106.
3. W. H. Mills, *Characters with preassigned values*, Canad. J. Math. **15** (1963), 169-171.

BELL TELEPHONE LABORATORIES

 ON DECOMPOSITIONS OF PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS

E. S. WOLK

1. Introduction. Let P be a set which is partially ordered by a relation \leq . A *decomposition* \mathfrak{D} of P is a family of mutually disjoint non-empty chains in P such that $P = \cup \{C : C \in \mathfrak{D}\}$. Two elements x, y of P are *incomparable* if and only if $x \not\leq y$ and $y \not\leq x$. A *totally unordered* set in P is a subset in which every two different elements are incomparable. We denote the cardinal number of a set S by $|S|$.

Dilworth [1] has proved the following well-known decomposition theorem.

THEOREM 1 (DILWORTH). *Let P be a partially ordered set, and suppose that n is a positive integer such that*

$$n = \max \{ |A| : A \text{ is a totally unordered subset of } P \}.$$

Then there is a decomposition \mathfrak{D} of P with $|\mathfrak{D}| = n$.

It is natural to ask whether, in this theorem, the positive integer n may be replaced by an infinite cardinal number. However, the theorem is no longer valid in this case, as is shown by an example in [3] which is due in essence of Sierpinski [2]. In this example P is a set of pairs which represents a 1-1 mapping from ω_1 , the first uncountable ordinal, into the real numbers. $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ is defined by: $x_1 \leq x_2$ (as ordinals) and $y_1 \leq y_2$ (as real numbers). The purpose of this note is to show that a similar idea leads, given any infinite cardinal k , to

Received by the editors December 28, 1962.

a construction of a partially ordered set in which all totally unordered subsets are finite but every decomposition is of power k . We also give an application of this result to the theory of graphs.

In the following we identify cardinals with initial ordinals. If C is any chain and $B \subseteq C$, we shall say that B is *cofinal* in C if and only if for each $x \in C$ there exists $y \in B$ with $x \leq y$.

2. Main result.

THEOREM 2. *Let k be any infinite cardinal. Let $Q(k) = k \times k$, and let a partial ordering on $Q(k)$ be defined by: $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ if and only if $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$. Then*

- (i) *every totally unordered subset of $Q(k)$ is finite, and*
- (ii) *every decomposition of $Q(k)$ is of power k .*

PROOF. (i) If $x_1 = x_2$, then (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) are not incomparable. Hence in a totally unordered subset of $Q(k)$ first coordinates of different members are different; they are also well ordered by \leq . Therefore, if there is an infinite totally unordered subset it would include a sequence $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n), \dots$ in which $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < x_{n+1} < \dots$. If $y_n \leq y_{n+1}$ we would have $(x_n, y_n) \leq (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$, and hence $y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_n > y_{n+1} > \dots$; but this is impossible as the y_n 's are well ordered by \leq .

(ii) Since $|Q(k)| = k$ every decomposition of $Q(k)$ is of power $\leq k$. Hence it suffices to show that every decomposition is of power $\geq k$. First assume that k is regular. For $v < k$, let us define $L_v = k \times \{v\} = \{(a, v) : a < k\}$. If C is a chain in $Q(k)$ such that C is cofinal in L_v and $v < v'$, then $C \cap L_{v'} = \emptyset$. For if $(a, v') \in C$, then there is an a' such that $a' > a$ and $(a', v) \in C$, but (a, v) and (a', v) are incomparable. In particular, no chain is cofinal in both L_v and $L_{v'}$ if $v \neq v'$.

Let \mathfrak{D} be any decomposition of $Q(k)$. If for every $v < k$ there is a C in \mathfrak{D} such that C is cofinal in L_v , then it follows by the observation just made that $|\mathfrak{D}| \geq k$. If on the other hand there is a v such that no C in \mathfrak{D} is cofinal in L_v , it follows from the regularity of k and from the fact that $\bigcup \{C : C \in \mathfrak{D}\} \supseteq L_v$, that $|\mathfrak{D}| \geq k$.

Now if k is any infinite cardinal and \mathfrak{D} is a decomposition of $Q(k)$, then $\{C \cap Q(h) : C \in \mathfrak{D}\}$ is a decomposition of $Q(h)$ for every cardinal $h \leq k$. Hence, for every regular cardinal h which is $\leq k$, we have $|\mathfrak{D}| \geq h$, and therefore $|\mathfrak{D}| \geq k$. This completes the proof.

3. An application to graph theory. Let G be a set, and let G^2 denote the set of all two-element subsets of G . By a *graph* we mean a pair (G, R) , where G is a set and $R \subseteq G^2$. If the unordered pair $\{x, y\}$ is an element of R , we write xRy : if this is not the case, we write $x\bar{R}y$.

A subset H of G is *complete* if and only if xRy for all $x \in H, y \in H$. A subset H of G is *independent* if and only if $x \bar{R}y$ for all $x \in H, y \in H$. A *decomposition* of a graph (G, R) is a family of mutually disjoint nonempty independent subsets of G whose union is G . For any graph (G, R) , we define

$$d(G) = \text{l.u.b.} \{ |H| : H \text{ is a complete subset of } G \},$$

$$c(G) = \min \{ |\mathfrak{D}| : \mathfrak{D} \text{ is a decomposition of } (G, R) \}.$$

It is clear that $d(G) \leq c(G)$ for all graphs (G, R) .

Zykov [4, Theorem 8] has shown that, given any positive integers d_0 and c_0 with $d_0 \leq c_0$, there is a graph (G, R) such that $d(G) = d_0$ and $c(G) = c_0$. Using Theorem 2, we now show that this result may be extended to infinite cardinals. We shall prove

THEOREM 3. *Given any infinite cardinal numbers k and m with $k \leq m$, there exists a graph (G, R) such that $d(G) = k$ and $c(G) = m$.*

PROOF. Let P be any partially ordered set. If $x, y \in P$, define xRy if and only if x and y are incomparable with respect to the partial order in P . We call the graph (P, R) the *incomparability graph* of the partially ordered set P .

Now, given the cardinal numbers k and m , assume first that $k = \aleph_0$. Then the incomparability graph of the partially ordered set $Q(m)$ satisfies the required conditions. If $k > \aleph_0$, we adjoin to $Q(m)$ a set A of mutually incomparable elements with $|A| = k$. We define $r \leq s$ for all $r \in A$ and $s \in Q(m)$, and we retain the previously defined partial order within $Q(m)$. The reader may now verify that the incomparability graph of the partially ordered set $A \cup Q(m)$ satisfies the requirements of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Dilworth, *A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets*, Ann. of Math. (2) **51** (1950), 161-166.
2. W. Sierpinski, *Sur un problème de la théorie des relations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **2** (1933), 285-287.
3. E. S. Wolk, *The comparability graph of a tree*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 789-795.
4. A. A. Zykov, *On some properties of linear complexes*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. no. 79, 1952.

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT