

A BOUND ON DETERMINANTS

WILLIAM M. FRANK

The following result bounds the determinant of an arbitrary matrix by the determinant of a positive semi-definite matrix. It is well known that in the case of (psd) positive semidefinite matrices of order n , a geometrical bound M^n can be found for the determinant which constitutes a considerable improvement over the general Hadamard bound $\sim n^{n/2}$ for arbitrary matrices. The result is not practically applicable in all cases but is of particular value in studying the rate of convergence of Fredholm expansions for certain types of kernels. But for the broader class of matrices covered by this theorem, no improvement on classical estimates of special types of matrices is found.

THEOREM. *If the $n \times n$ matrix A is a linear combination of hermitian positive semidefinite (hpsd) matrices A_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$), i.e.,*

$$(1) \quad A = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i A_i,$$

where the μ_i are complex constants, then

$$(2) \quad |\det A| \leq \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\mu_i| A_i \right).$$

PROOF. The proof is by induction on m . We first prove it for the case $m=2$. Without loss of generality we set $\mu_1=1$, $\mu_2=\mu$.

Let U diagonalize A_1 , i.e.,

$$(3) \quad UA_1U^{-1} = D \text{ (diagonal)}.$$

The matrix

$$(4) \quad N = UA_2U^{-1},$$

is still hpsd

$$(5) \quad \det A = \det(D + \mu N).$$

$\det(D + \mu N)$ has the following expansion as a polynomial in μ :

$$(6) \quad \det(D + \mu N) = \sum_{s=0} \mu^s \sum_{\alpha \in S(n; s)} N_\alpha D'_\alpha,$$

Received by the editors January 23, 1964.

where $S(n; s)$ is the set of unordered sequences of length s from the integers $1, 2, \dots, n$. N_α is the principal minor of N formed from the rows and columns numbered $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s$, i.e.,

$$(7) \quad N_\alpha = \begin{vmatrix} N_{\alpha_1\alpha_1} & N_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} & \dots & N_{\alpha_1\alpha_s} \\ N_{\alpha_2\alpha_1} & N_{\alpha_2\alpha_2} & \dots & N_{\alpha_2\alpha_s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ N_{\alpha_s\alpha_1} & N_{\alpha_s\alpha_2} & \dots & N_{\alpha_s\alpha_s} \end{vmatrix},$$

and is 1 for the case $s=0$. D'_α is the product of all the diagonal elements of D excluding the elements $D_{\alpha_1\alpha_1}, D_{\alpha_2\alpha_2}, \dots, D_{\alpha_s\alpha_s}$. By virtue of the positive semidefinite character of D and N

$$(8) \quad N_\alpha \geq 0, \quad D'_\alpha \geq 0,$$

for all $\alpha \in S(n; s)$. From equations (5), (6),

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\det A| &= |\det(D + \mu N)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^n |\mu|^s \sum_{\alpha \in S(n; s)} N_\alpha D'_\alpha \\ &= \det(D + |\mu| N) = \det[U(A_1 + |\mu| A_2)U^{-1}] \\ &= \det(A_1 + |\mu| A_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem for the case $m=2$.

Assume the theorem to be true for all values of $m \leq M$. Again take $\mu_1 = 1$, and consider

$$(10) \quad \det A = \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M+1} \mu_j A_j \right) = \det(A + A'),$$

where

$$(11) \quad A' = \sum_{j=2}^{M+1} \mu_j A_j.$$

Let U be the matrix which diagonalizes A_1 , and define

$$(12) \quad UA_1U^{-1} \equiv D, \quad UA_jU^{-1} \equiv N^{(j)}, \quad (j \geq 2), \quad UA'U^{-1} \equiv N;$$

$$(13) \quad |\det A| = |\det(D + N)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{\alpha \in S(n; s)} D'_\alpha |N_\alpha|.$$

N_α , a principal minor of the matrix N , is the determinant of a matrix $N_{(\alpha)}$ which is itself of the form

$$(14) \quad N_{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=2}^{M+1} \mu_j N_{(\alpha)}^{(j)},$$

where $N_{(\alpha)}^{(j)}$ is the corresponding minor matrix of $N^{(j)}$. Since the $N^{(j)}$ are hpsd the induction hypothesis for the case $m = M$ can be applied to $N_{(\alpha)}$ of equation (7):

$$(15) \quad |N_{\alpha}| = |\det N_{(\alpha)}| \leq \det \left(\sum_{j=2}^{M+1} |\mu_j| N_{(\alpha)}^{(j)} \right)$$

and

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} |\det A| &\leq \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(n; s)} D_{\alpha}' \det \left(\sum_{j=2}^{M+1} |\mu_j| N_{(\alpha)}^{(j)} \right) \\ &= \det \left(D + \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} |\mu_j| N^{(j)} \right) = \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M+1} |\mu_j| A_j \right), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem for $m = M + 1$, and, therefore, in general.

An arbitrary matrix can be expressed in the form of equation (1) with $m = 4$ since the hermitian and i times the antihermitian part of an arbitrary matrix can each be expressed as a difference of two hpsd matrices. The decomposition of a hermitian matrix A in the particular form $A = A_1 - A_2$ (A_1, A_2 hpsd) is, however, not unique. The inequality

$$(17) \quad |\det A| < \det(A_1 + A_2)$$

becomes an equality when A_1 and A_2 commute. This corresponds to $A_1 = U^{-1}D_+U, A_2 = U^{-1}D_-U$, where $UAU^{-1} = D$ is diagonal and $D_+, -D_-$ are, respectively, the non-negative and nonpositive diagonal parts of D .

That the bound in equation (17) is apt to be generous can be seen in the case where A itself is positive semidefinite.¹ Then, from well-known properties of psd matrices,

$$(18) \quad 0 \leq \det A = \det(A_1 - A_2) \leq \det A_1 - \det A_2,$$

and the upper bound in equation (18) is bounded by

$$(19) \quad \det A_1 - \det A_2 \leq \det A_1 + \det A_2 \leq \det(A_1 + A_2),$$

which is the bound of equation (17). If A and B are real symmetric matrices it is known that $|\det(A + iB)| > \det A$. From the above theorem this is supplemented by

$$|\det(A + iB)| \leq \det(A + B)$$

¹ I am indebted to Dr. F. Metcalf of the Institute for Fluid Dynamics of the University of Maryland for this observation.

REFERENCES

1. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Chapter 2, Section 8, Springer, Berlin, 1961.

U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY²

² The author is on leave of absence and is presently at the Weizmann Institute.

**NOTE ON DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH
A PURELY CONTINUOUS SPECTRUM**

F. ODEH

In [1], Kreith gave an example of a Sturm-Liouville operator with positive coefficients,

$$Lu = -\frac{1}{r(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left(p(x) \frac{du}{dx} \right) + q(x)u, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty,$$

$$u(0) = 0,$$

which has a purely continuous spectrum. The novelty of the example lies in the relatively weak assumptions on the potential q . Thus, in the case $p=r=1$, one need not assume that q is integrable at infinity—compare [2, Chapter 9, Problem 4]—but it is sufficient to assume q to be monotonically decreasing. In this note a similar theorem is given which holds in any number of dimensions. The proof, which applies to Kreith's case also, shows that the nonexistence of eigenfunctions may be ascribed to two different reasons depending on the asymptotic behavior of $q(x)$. In one simple case it is due to the boundary condition while in the other, and more important, case it is a consequence of the behavior of q at infinity. For simplicity the proof is restricted to the case of Schroedinger's equation in three dimensions, defined in the exterior X of a closed smooth surface Γ . Hence, we consider the eigenvalue problem,

$$(1a) \quad Lu = -\Delta u + qu = \lambda u,$$

subject to the boundary conditions

Received by the editors December 18, 1963.