

**ON THE EXPRESSION OF A NUMBER AS THE SUM  
OF TWO SQUARES IN TOTALLY REAL  
ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS<sup>1</sup>**

WERNER SCHAAL

**Introduction.** Let  $K$  be a totally real algebraic number field of degree  $n$  and with discriminant  $d$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $K$  which may be integral or fractional. The number of solutions of the equation

$$\xi = \mu^2 + \nu^2 \quad (\xi \in \mathfrak{a})$$

in numbers  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{a}$  is denoted by  $f(\xi, \mathfrak{a})$ . For  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  being positive real numbers the following theorem will be proved:

**THEOREM.**

$$\sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} < x_h; \mathfrak{a} \mid \xi} f(\xi, \mathfrak{a}) = \frac{\pi^n}{dN\mathfrak{a}^2} (x_1 \cdots x_n) + R(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

(The index  $h$  always takes on the values  $1, \dots, n$  if not otherwise indicated.) For any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $x_1 \cdots x_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = O((x_1 \cdots x_n)^{n/(n+1)+\delta})$$

holds.

This result has been already proved in [4] for the case  $n=2$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}=(1)$ . There was also shown that

$$\limsup_{x_1 x_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(x_1, x_2)}{(x_1 x_2)^{1/4}} > 0.$$

For the proof of the theorem an identity given by Siegel in [5] for real quadratic number fields is generalized to totally real algebraic number fields. This identity will be applied to the problem in a similar way as it was done in [4].

1. In what follows the real numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_s$  are constants greater than 1 which only depend on the field  $K$  and the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  if not otherwise indicated. We define  $S(\alpha) = \alpha^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha^{(n)}$ ,  $N(\alpha) = \alpha^{(1)} \cdots \alpha^{(n)}$  for numbers  $\alpha \in K$ . Let  $r = n - 1$ , and let

Presented to the Society, November 23, 1963, received by the editors January 7, 1964.

<sup>1</sup> Research was partially supported by contract DSR 7700 AF 49-638-42.

<sup>2</sup> We introduce Hecke's characters for a number  $\alpha \in K$  with respect to these unit  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r$ .

$$m = 2\pi i \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_r \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  are rational integers.

The set of squares of all units of  $K$  forms a group  $G$  which may be generated by the  $r$  independent units  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r$ .<sup>2</sup> For this purpose let  $E$  be the  $r \times n$  matrix  $(e_p^{(q)})$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, r$ ;  $p = 1, \dots, n$  (see [2]), and let

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \log |\alpha^{(1)}| \\ \vdots \\ \log |\alpha^{(n)}| \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then following Hecke's definition we set

$$(1) \quad \lambda_m(\alpha) = \exp\{m^T E a\}.$$

If  $\eta \in G$  it follows from the definition of the numbers  $e_p^{(q)}$  that

$$\lambda_m(\alpha\eta) = \lambda_m(\alpha).$$

Two numbers  $\alpha, \beta \neq 0, 0$  of  $K$  are called "associated" if their quotient is an element of the group  $G$ . Otherwise  $\alpha, \beta$  are called "not associated."

LEMMA 1. *If  $x$  is a positive real number then*

$$\sum'_{N(\xi) \leq x} f(\xi, a) = O(x)$$

where the dash at the sign of summation indicates that the sum is to be taken over a set of not associated numbers  $\xi \in a$ .

PROOF. For every number  $\alpha$  of  $K$  there exists a number  $c_1$  and a unit  $\eta \in G$  which only depends on  $\alpha$  such that the following  $n$  inequalities hold:

$$c_1^{-1} |N(\alpha)|^{1/n} \leq |\alpha^{(h)} \eta^{(h)}| \leq c_1 |N(\alpha)|^{1/n}, \quad h = 1, \dots, n,$$

(see [6, Hilfssatz 6]). Because of

$$(2) \quad f(\eta\xi, a) = f(\xi, a), \quad \eta \in G$$

we may choose the set of not associated numbers  $\xi$  such that the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$c_1^{-1}(N\xi)^{1/n} \leq \xi^{(h)} \leq c_1(N\xi)^{1/n}, \quad h = 1, \dots, n.$$

Whence we have

$$\sum'_{N(\xi) \leq x} f(\xi, \mathfrak{a}) \leq \sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} < c_1 x^{1/n}; \mathfrak{a} | \xi} f(\xi, \mathfrak{a}).$$

Since  $f(\xi, \mathfrak{a})$  is the number of distinct pairs  $(\mu, \nu)$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{a}$  with  $\xi = \mu^2 + \nu^2$  it is sufficient to estimate the number of elements  $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}$  which satisfy the inequalities  $|\mu^{(h)}| < c_2 x^{1/2n}$ ,  $h = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be a basis of the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We have to estimate the number of distinct  $n$ -tuples of rational integers  $(k_1, \dots, k_n)$  for which the inequalities

$$-c_2 x^{1/2n} < \sum_{p=1}^n k_p \alpha_p^{(h)} < c_2 x^{1/2n}, \quad h = 1, \dots, n$$

hold. Since  $|\det(\alpha_p^{(h)})| = N\mathfrak{a}\sqrt{d} \neq 0$  we obtain that there are at most  $c_3 \sqrt{x}$  of such  $n$ -tuples. This proves the lemma.

For each character (1) we define the function

$$\Phi_m(s, \mathfrak{a}) = \sum'_{\xi} \frac{f(\xi, \mathfrak{a}) \lambda_m(\xi)}{N(\xi)^s},$$

where by  $s = \sigma + it$  a complex variable is denoted. Applying the method of partial summation it is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 that the functions  $\Phi_m(s, \mathfrak{a})$  converge absolutely and uniformly for  $\sigma > 1$ .

Let  $R$  be the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \log \eta_1^{(1)} & \dots & \log \eta_r^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \log \eta_1^{(n)} & \dots & \log \eta_r^{(n)} \end{vmatrix};$$

moreover, we introduce the abbreviation

$$E_p(m) = 2\pi \sum_{q=1}^r m_q e_p^{(q)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then the following lemma holds:

LEMMA 2. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be positive real numbers and let

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} x_h < 1; \mathfrak{a} | \xi} f(\xi, \mathfrak{a}) \prod_{p=1}^n (1 - \xi^{(p)} x_p).$$

Then we have for  $\sigma > 1$ :

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{n}{2\pi i |R|} \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r; -\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \Phi_m(s, \alpha) \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{x_p^{-s+iE_p(m)}}{(s - iE_p(m))(s + 1 - iE_p(m))} ds.$$

PROOF. The proof of the given identity proceeds on the same lines as the proof in the case  $n=2$  given in [5]. We define the column vectors

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ \vdots \\ k_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad y^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} \log \eta_1^{(p)} \\ \vdots \\ \log \eta_r^{(p)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (p = 1, \dots, n),$$

where  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  are rational integers and  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  are real variables. Making the substitution

$$(3) \quad x_p = u \exp\{v^T y^{(p)}\}, \quad p = 1, \dots, n$$

we observe that the function  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  becomes a periodic function with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  because of property (2). The period is 1 with respect to each of the variables. Furthermore,  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a continuous function and has piecewise continuous partial derivatives with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_r$ . Whence  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  furnishes an absolutely convergent Fourier series. Denoting the right-hand side of (3) by  $t^{(p)}(v)$  its coefficient is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} a_m(u) &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \exp\{-v^T m\} \sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} t^{(h)}(v) < 1; \alpha | \xi} f(\xi, \alpha) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n (1 - \xi^{(p)} t^{(p)}(v)) dv_1 \cdots dv_r \\ &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \exp\{-v^T m\} \sum'_{0 < N(\xi) < u^{-n}} f(\xi, \alpha) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r; -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} t^{(h)}(v+k) < 1} \prod_{p=1}^n (1 - \xi^{(p)} t^{(p)}(v+k)) dv_1 \cdots dv_r \\ &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \exp\{-v^T m\} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r; -\infty}^{\infty} \sum'_{0 < N(\xi) < u^{-n}} f(\xi, \alpha) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} t^{(h)}(v+k) < 1} \prod_{p=1}^n (\cdots) dv_1 \cdots dv_r. \end{aligned}$$

We are allowed to interchange the integration and the summation with respect to  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  because the sum is finite. Making the change of variables  $v_q + k_q \rightarrow v_q, q = 1, \dots, r$ , we obtain:

$$a_m(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\{-v^T m\} \sum'_{0 < \xi^{(h)} \iota^{(h)}(v) < 1} f(\xi, \alpha) \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n (1 - \xi^{(p)} \iota^{(p)}(v)) dv_1 \cdots dv_r.$$

Now we form the integral  $\int_0^\infty u^{n\sigma-1} a_m(u) du$  for  $\sigma > 1$ . Making the change of variables (3) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty u^{n\sigma-1} a_m(u) du &= \frac{1}{|R|} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \prod_{p=1}^n x_p^{\sigma-1-iE_p(m)} \sum'_{0 < \xi^{(h)} x_h < 1} f(\xi, \alpha) \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n (1 - \xi^{(p)} x_p) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \frac{1}{|R|} \sum'_\xi f(\xi, \alpha) \prod_{p=1}^n \int_0^{(\xi^{(p)})^{-1}} x_p^{\sigma-1-iE_p(m)} (1 - \xi^{(p)} x_p) dx_p \\ &= \frac{1}{|R|} \Phi_m(s, \alpha) \prod_{p=1}^n [(s - iE_p(m))(s + 1 - iE_p(m))]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The application of Mellin's inversion formula yields for  $\sigma > 1$ :

$$a_m(u) = \frac{n}{2\pi i |R|} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} u^{-ns} \Phi_m(s, \alpha) \prod_{p=1}^n [(s - iE_p(m))(s + 1 - iE_p(m))]^{-1} ds.$$

Since

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r = -\infty}^{\infty} a_m(u) \exp\{v^T m\},$$

this proves the lemma.

Let

$$F(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} < v_h} f(\xi, \alpha).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x_1 \cdots x_n)g\left(\frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_n}\right) &= \sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} < x_h; \mathfrak{a} | \xi} f(\xi, \mathfrak{a}) \prod_{p=1}^n (x_p - \xi^{(p)}) \\
 &= \sum_{0 < \xi^{(h)} < x_h; \mathfrak{a} | \xi} \int_{\xi^{(1)}}^{x_1} \cdots \int_{\xi^{(n)}}^{x_n} f(\xi, \mathfrak{a}) dv_1 \cdots dv_n \\
 &= \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_n} F(v_1, \dots, v_n) dv_1 \cdots dv_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

An elementary calculation furnishes the result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad \int_0^{y_1} \cdots \int_0^{y_n} F(x_1 + v_1, \dots, x_n + v_n) dv_1 \cdots dv_n &= \frac{n}{2\pi i} |R|_{m_1, \dots, m_p, -\infty} \sum_{\sigma=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\sigma-i\infty; \sigma > 1}^{\sigma+i\infty} \\
 &\cdot \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{(y_p + x_p)^{s+1-iE_p(m)} - x_p^{s+1-iE_p(m)}}{(s - iE_p(m))(s + 1 - iE_p(m))} \Phi_m(s, \mathfrak{a}) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

2. The left-hand side of (4) may be abbreviated by  $J$ . Since  $f(\xi, \mathfrak{a}) \geq 0$  we obtain the inequality:

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq (y_1 \cdots y_n)^{-1} J \leq F(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n).$$

We observe from this inequality that the asymptotic behaviours of  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(y_1 \cdots y_n)^{-1} J$  are the same. Therefore we shall try to find an approximation of  $J$ . For this purpose the functions  $\Phi_m(s, \mathfrak{a})$  are analytically continued over the whole  $s$ -plane. Let:

$$\Theta(z_1, \dots, z_n; \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} | \mu} \exp \left\{ - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{dN\mathfrak{a}^2}} \sum_{p=1}^n \mu^{(p)2} z_p \right\},$$

$z_1, \dots, z_n$  being complex variables with  $\text{Re } z_h > 0, h = 1, \dots, n$ ; then Hecke proved in [3]:

$$(5) \quad \Theta(z_1, \dots, z_n; \mathfrak{a}) = (z_1 \cdots z_n)^{-1/2} \Theta\left(\frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_n}; \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}}\right),$$

where  $\mathfrak{d}$  is the ramification ideal of the field  $K$ . Well known calculations and the application of (5) lead to the equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{dN\alpha^2}{\pi^n}\right)^s \frac{1}{|R|} \Phi_m(s, \alpha) \prod_{p=1}^n \Gamma(s - iE_p(m)) \\
 &= \frac{b_m}{s(s-1)} + \int_{u=1}^{u=\infty} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \cdots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} [\Theta^2(u\eta_1^{(1)v_1} \cdots \eta_r^{(1)v_r}, \dots, \\
 (6) \quad & \quad \cdot u\eta_1^{(n)v_1} \cdots \eta_r^{(n)v_r}; \alpha) - 1] u^{ns} \exp\{-v^T m\} dv_1 \cdots dv_r \frac{du}{u} \\
 &+ \int_{u=1}^{u=\infty} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \cdots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left[ \Theta^2\left(u\eta_1^{(1)v_1} \cdots \eta_r^{(1)v_r}, \dots, \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. u\eta_1^{(n)v_1} \cdots \eta_r^{(n)v_r}; \frac{1}{\alpha b}\right) - 1 \right] u^{n(1-s)} \exp\{v^T m\} dv_1 \cdots dv_r \frac{du}{u}
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$b_m = \begin{cases} 1/n & \text{if } m_1 = \cdots = m_r = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $m_1^2 + \cdots + m_r^2 > 0$  the right-hand side of (6) is an integral function of  $s$ ; if  $m_1 = \cdots = m_r = 0$  there are two simple poles at  $s=0$  and  $s=1$ . So we recognize that  $\Phi_m(s, \alpha)$  is an integral function of  $s$  except in the case  $m_1 = \cdots = m_r = 0$ ;  $\Phi_0(s, \alpha)$  has a simple pole at  $s=1$ . Another immediate consequence of equation (6) is the functional equation

$$(7) \quad \Phi_m(s, \alpha) = \left(\frac{dN\alpha^2}{\pi^n}\right)^{1-2s} \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(1-s+iE_p(m))}{\Gamma(s-iE_p(m))} \Phi_{-m}\left(1-s, \frac{1}{\alpha b}\right),$$

which holds for all  $m_1, \dots, m_r$ .

By equations (6) and (7) we can estimate the functions  $\Phi_m(s, \alpha)$  uniformly in  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  in the infinite strip  $-\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon, \epsilon > 0$ . If we apply Phragmén-Lindelöf's extension of the maximum-modulus theorem to the functions  $\Phi_m(s, \alpha)$  we obtain the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad & |\Phi_m(\sigma + it, \alpha)| \leq c_4(\epsilon) \prod_{p=1}^n (1 + |t - E_p(m)|)^{1-\sigma+\epsilon}, \\
 & -\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon, m_1^2 + \cdots + m_r^2 > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (8) also holds for  $\Phi_0(s, \alpha)$  if  $|t| \geq c_6$ . (The calculations which lead to (8) are given very explicitly for a similar case in [1].)

3. Now it is easy to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the right-hand side of (4) for  $(x_1 \cdots x_n) \rightarrow \infty$ . The path of integration in (4) is replaced by a straight line in the critical strip whose point of

intersection with the real axis may be  $\sigma = \delta, 0 < \delta < 1$ . Considering the pole of  $\Phi_0(s, \alpha)$  at  $s = 1$  we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad J &= \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\pi^n}{dN\alpha^2} \prod_{p=1}^n [(y_p + x_p)^2 - x_p^2] \\
 &+ \frac{n}{2\pi i |R|} \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r = -\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\delta - i\infty}^{\delta + i\infty} \Phi_m(s, \alpha) \\
 &\quad \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{(y_p + x_p)^{s+1-iE_p(m)} - x_p^{s+1-iE_p(m)}}{(s - iE_p(m))(s + 1 - iE_p(m))} ds, \\
 &\quad s = \delta + it, 0 < \delta < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

The infinite sum in (9) can be easily estimated if one considers that the following determinant does not vanish for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ :

$$\begin{vmatrix}
 e_k^{(1)} - e_1^{(1)} \cdots e_k^{(1)} - e_{k-1}^{(1)} & e_k^{(1)} - e_{k+1}^{(1)} \cdots e_k^{(1)} - e_n^{(1)} \\
 \vdots & \vdots \\
 \vdots & \vdots \\
 e_k^{(r)} - e_1^{(r)} \cdots e_k^{(r)} - e_{k-1}^{(r)} & e_k^{(r)} - e_{k+1}^{(r)} \cdots e_k^{(r)} - e_n^{(r)}
 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then we obtain from (9)

$$(10) \quad J = \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\pi^n}{dN\alpha^2} \prod_{p=1}^n [(y_p + x_p)^2 - x_p^2] + O\left(\prod_{p=1}^n (y_p + x_p)^{\delta+1}\right).$$

If we choose

$$y_p = x_p(x_1 \cdots x_n)^{-1/(n+1)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, n$$

and divide  $J$  by the product  $y_1 \cdots y_n$  equation (10) yields for  $x_1 \cdots x_n \rightarrow \infty$  and any  $\delta > 0$

$$(11) \quad \frac{J}{y_1 \cdots y_n} = \left(\frac{\pi^n}{dN\alpha^2}\right)(x_1 \cdots x_n) + O((x_1 \cdots x_n)^{n/(n+1)+\delta}).$$

Recalling the remark in the beginning of §2 we observe that (11) also gives the asymptotic behaviour of  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for  $x_1 \cdots x_n \rightarrow \infty$  and any  $\delta > 0$ :

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{\pi^n}{dN\alpha^2}\right)(x_1 \cdots x_n) + O((x_1 \cdots x_n)^{n/(n+1)+\delta}).$$

This proves the theorem formulated in the introduction.



## REFERENCES

1. Heinrich Behnke, *Analytische Funktionen und algebraische Zahlen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **2** (1923), 81–111.
2. E. Hecke, *Eine neue Art von Zetafunktionen und ihre Beziehungen zur Verteilung der Primzahlen*, Math. Z., **1** (1918), 357–376.
3. ———, *Über die Zetafunktionen beliebiger algebraischer Zahlkörper*, Nachr. König. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl. (1917), 77–89.
4. W. Schaal, *Übertragung des Kreisproblems auf reell-quadratische Zahlkörper*, Math. Ann., **145** (1962), 273–284.
5. C. L. Siegel, *Mittelwerte arithmetischer Funktionen in Zahlkörpern*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (1936), 219–224.
6. ———, *Additive Theorie der Zahlkörper. II*, Math. Ann. **88** (1923), 184–210.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY