

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE ALMOST PERIODIC HOMEOMORPHISMS ON THE CLOSED 2-CELL

N. E. FOLAND

1. **Introduction.** The objective of this paper is to prove that any almost periodic homeomorphism of a closed 2-cell onto itself is topologically equivalent to a reflection of a disk in a diameter or to a rotation of a disk about its center. This extends the well-known results of Kerékjártó [5] for periodic homeomorphisms (cf. Eilenberg [1, Theorem 2]).

2. **A topological classification of the almost periodic homeomorphisms on a closed 2-cell.** A homeomorphism h of a metric space (X, ρ) onto itself is said to be *almost periodic* on X if $\epsilon > 0$ implies that there exists a relatively dense sequence $\{n_i\}$ of integers such that $\rho(x, h^{n_i}(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in X$ and $i = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. A homeomorphism h of the space X onto itself is said to be *topologically equivalent* to a homeomorphism f of the space Y onto itself if there exists a homeomorphism β of X onto Y such that $h = \beta^{-1}f\beta$. If h and f are topologically equivalent, it is clear that h is almost periodic on X if and only if f is almost periodic on Y . By a closed 2-cell we mean any homeomorphic image of the unit disk. With these definitions it suffices to consider almost periodic homeomorphisms on the unit disk D . Denote the metric in D by $d(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Kerékjártó's result [5, p. 224] for periodic homeomorphisms may be stated as follows:

LEMMA 1. *Let f be a periodic homeomorphism of D onto D . If f is orientation reversing, then f is topologically equivalent to a reflection of D in a diameter. If f is orientation preserving, then f is topologically equivalent to a rotation of D about its center.*

Since any regularly almost periodic homeomorphism of D onto D is necessarily periodic [2] we have,

LEMMA 2 [4, p. 55]. *Let h be an almost periodic homeomorphism of D onto D and let ϵ be any positive number. Then there exists a periodic homeomorphism H of D onto D such that $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for each $x \in D$, where H may be chosen as the uniform limit of a sequence of positive powers of h .*

A well-known characterization of the almost periodicity of h is the following:

Presented to the Society, January 29, 1965; received by the editors August 3, 1964.

LEMMA 3 [3, p. 341]. *The following are pairwise equivalent: (1) h is almost periodic on D ; (2) the set of powers of h is equicontinuous; (3) the set of powers of h has compact closure in the group of all homeomorphisms of D onto D with the usual topology; (4) there exists a compatible metric of D which makes h an isometry.*

From (4) we see that if h is almost periodic on D , then in the metric under which h is an isometry the orbit closure of each point of D lies on a metric circle about any fixed point of D . We will show in the nonperiodic case that each nondegenerate orbit closure is a simple closed curve and that these lie around a unique fixed point of D like concentric circles.

Let C denote the boundary of D . Then C is a unit circle.

LEMMA 4. *If h is an almost periodic homeomorphism of D onto D such that $h|C$ is the identity, then h is the identity on D .*

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2 there exists a periodic homeomorphism H on D such that $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in D$ where H is the uniform limit of a sequence of positive powers of h . Since $h|C$ is the identity, it follows that $H|C$ is the identity. Then H is periodic and orientation preserving, and hence is topologically equivalent to a rotation r of D . Thus there exists a homeomorphism β of D onto D such that $H = \beta^{-1}r\beta$. Then $r = \beta H \beta^{-1}|C$ is the identity from which it follows that r , and hence H , is the identity on D . Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary it follows that h is the identity on D .

Any homeomorphism of D onto D is either orientation preserving or orientation reversing.

THEOREM 1. *If h is an almost periodic orientation reversing homeomorphism of D onto D , then h is periodic of period two and hence is topologically equivalent to a reflection of D in a diameter.*

PROOF. Using Lemma 1, it suffices to prove that h is periodic of period two. Since $h|C$ is orientation reversing and almost periodic, the periodic homeomorphism H of Lemma 2 is such that $H|C$ is periodic and orientation reversing. Hence $H|C$ is periodic of period two from which it follows that $h|C$ is periodic of period two. Thus $h^2|C$ is the identity and we conclude from Lemma 4 that h^2 is the identity on D . Hence h is periodic of period two.

THEOREM 2. *Let h be an almost periodic orientation preserving homeomorphism of D onto D . Then h is topologically equivalent to a rotation of D through an angle $\tau\pi$, where τ ($0 \leq \tau \leq 1$) is uniquely determined and is rational if and only if h is periodic.*

PROOF. If h is periodic the result is known [5] (cf. Eilenberg [1, Theorem 2]). Thus suppose h is nonperiodic. Let G be the closure of the set of integral powers of h in the group of all homeomorphisms of D onto D . Then by Lemma 3, G is a compact topological group of homeomorphisms of D onto D and each $g \in G$ is almost periodic on D .

The boundary C of D is a minimal set under G . Let $x \in C$ and define $\alpha: G \rightarrow C$ as follows: For each $g \in G$, $\alpha(g) = g(x)$. Then α is a continuous mapping of the topological space of G onto the circle C . It follows that α is a homeomorphism if it is one-to-one. Thus let $g_1, g_2 \in G$ such that $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$. Then $g = g_2^{-1}g_1 \in G$ is such that $g(x) = x$. Since g is almost periodic on D , it is almost periodic on C . Since x is fixed under g and g is orientation preserving it follows that $g|C$ is the identity. Thus by Lemma 4, g is the identity on D and $g_1 = g_2$. Hence α is a homeomorphism.

Thus G is a compact, connected topological group of homeomorphisms of D onto D . (It follows that the character group G^* of G is an infinite cyclic group and hence that G is isomorphic to the circle group.) Since D contains a one-dimensional orbit, namely C , under G , all orbits in D with one exception are one-dimensional [6, p. 252]. The exceptional orbit is a fixed point z under G and there is a closed arc A from z to C such that A is a cross-section of all orbits in D . Each nondegenerate orbit is then a homogeneous, compact, and connected minimal set of dimension one. Thus each such orbit is a simple closed curve, and the family of all nondegenerate orbits lie about z like concentric circles.

The homeomorphism $h|C$ is characterized by an irrational number τ between 0 and 1, the Poincaré rotation number, and $h|C$ is topologically equivalent to a rotation r of C through an angle $\tau\pi$ [3, p. 343]. Thus there exists a homeomorphism β_0 of C onto C such that $h|C = \beta_0^{-1}r\beta_0$. Now let c be the endpoint of A that lies in C . Define $\beta: D \rightarrow D$ as follows: $\beta(A)$ is a homeomorphism of A onto the radius of D to $\beta_0(c)$ such that $\beta(z)$ is the center of D . For each $g \in G$, $\beta(g(A))$ is a homeomorphism of the arc $g(A)$ onto the radius of D to $\beta_0(g(c))$ such that for each $x \in A$, $\beta(x)$ and $\beta(g(x))$ lie on the same circle of D concentric with C .

In order to show that β is well-defined we show that as g varies over G the arcs $g(A)$ cover D and if $g_1, g_2 \in G$ such that $g_1 \neq g_2$, then $g_1(A)$ and $g_2(A)$ have only the point z in common. It is clear that D is covered by the arcs $g(A)$. Thus let $x \in D - (z)$ such that $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$. Then $g = g_2^{-1}g_1 \in G$ is such that A and $g(A)$ each go through the point x . The orbit of x under G is a simple closed curve C' . g is almost periodic and orientation preserving on C' and $x \in C'$ is fixed under g . Thus

$g|C'$ is the identity. The fact that g is the identity on D now follows by an argument similar to that used in proving Lemma 4. Thus β is well-defined.

Since the map $\alpha(g) = g(c)$ is a homeomorphism of G onto C , β maps the cross-sections $g(A)$, generated by A , onto the radii of D . Since $\beta(x)$ and $\beta(g(x))$ lie on the same circle of D concentric with C , β maps the orbits under G (the orbit closures under h) onto the circles of D concentric with C . Each $x \in D - \{z\}$ is on but one image $g(A)$ of A , $g \in G$, and one of the simple closed curves formed by the orbits. Thus the map β is one-to-one and onto. In order to show that β is continuous and hence a homeomorphism, it suffices to show that β is continuous at each point of A .

Let $x \in A$, $x \neq z$, and let $x_i \in D$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x$. Let x'_i be the point of the orbit of x_i under G that is on A and let $g_i \in G$ be such that $g_i(x'_i) = x_i$. Now $\beta(x_i)$ is the intersection of the radius of D to $\beta_0(g_i(c))$ and the circle of D concentric with C passing through $\beta(x'_i)$. Since the orbit decomposition of D under G is continuous and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x'_i = x$, which implies that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \beta(x'_i) = \beta(x)$.

Thus the concentric circles containing $\beta(x_i)$ converge to the circle containing $\beta(x)$. Now $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i(x_i) = x$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x'_i = x$ imply that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i$ is the identity in G . Thus $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i(c) = c$ implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \beta_0(g_i(c)) = \beta_0(c)$. Hence the radii of D containing $\beta(x_i)$ converge to the radius of D containing $\beta(x)$. Thus β is continuous at x . It is easy to see that β is continuous at z . Hence β is a homeomorphism of D onto D . Finally $\beta|C = \beta_0$ and $h|C = \beta_0^{-1}r\beta_0$ where r is a rotation of C through an angle $\tau\pi$. Then the definition of β implies that $h = \beta^{-1}R\beta$ where R is the extension of r to a rotation of D through an angle $\tau\pi$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. S. Eilenberg, *Sur les transformations périodiques de la surface de sphère*, Fund. Math. 22 (1934), 28-41.
2. N. E. Foland and W. R. Utz, *The embedding of discrete flows in continuous flows*, Ergodic theory, Academic Press, New York, 1963; pp. 221-234.
3. W. H. Gottschalk, *Minimal sets: an introduction to topological dynamics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1958), 336-351.
4. W. H. Gottschalk and G. A. Hedlund, *Topological dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1956.
5. B. V. Kerékjártó, *Vorlesungen über Topologie I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1923.
6. D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Math., No. 1, Interscience, New York, 1955.