

# COUNTEREXAMPLE TO THE MORSE-SARD THEOREM IN THE CASE OF INFINITE- DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

IVAN KUPKA

**1. Introduction.** The classical Morse-Sard [1], [2] theorem in the case of a function says the following: Let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow R$  be a  $C^n$ -function, where  $\Omega$  is an open set in  $R^n$ . Then, the set of critical values of  $f$  is of measure zero. Recall that  $c \in R$  is a critical value of  $f$  if  $f^{-1}(c)$  contains a point  $x \in \Omega$  where  $df_x = 0$ .

As is well known, the theorem is false if we replace the assumption " $f \in C^n$ " by " $f \in C^k$ ," where  $k < n$  [3]. In case  $\Omega$  is replaced by an open set in a Hilbert or Banach space  $B$ , it is easy to see that the theorem is false if either (i)  $f$  is not required to be  $C^\infty$ , or (ii)  $B$  is not separable. It is only too natural to ask whether the following generalization is true: Let  $F: \Omega \rightarrow R$  be  $C^\infty$ , where  $\Omega$  is an open set in a separable Hilbert space  $H$ . Then, the set of critical points for  $F$  forms a set of measure zero.

As we shall show in the following, this generalization is false. We construct a  $C^\infty$  function  $f: H \rightarrow R$ , with  $H = l^2$ , such that the singular set is the Cantor set  $\Sigma \subset H$  formed by the sequences  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2/2, \alpha_3/3, \dots, \alpha_n/n, \dots)$  where, for all  $n$ ,  $\alpha_n = 0$  or  $1$ . Our example also shows that the Morse-Sard theorem does not hold, in the generalized form, even if we require that the singular set be compact. The set of critical points for  $F$  will be the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

**2.** Let  $H^*$  be the dual of  $H$ . A base of  $H^*$  is formed by the linear functions  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \dots$ , where

$$e_n(x) = x_n \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots).$$

For any  $k$ , let  $\Sigma_k(H^*)$  be the space of all  $k$ -linear symmetric continuous functions on  $H$ .  $\Sigma_k(H^*)$  is also a Hilbert space, with norm  $\| \cdot \|_k$ . Clearly,  $\Sigma_1(H^*) = H^*$ .

To each  $e_n$  and each  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , there is canonically attached an element  $(e_n)^k$  in  $\Sigma_k(H^*)$  defined by

$$(e_n)^k(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^k) = e_n(x^1)e_n(x^2) \cdots e_n(x^k)$$

for any points  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^k$  in  $H$ . Note that, for any  $k$ ,  $\| (e_n)^k \|_k = 1$ .

To each  $x \in H$ , we associate a continuous linear operator  $z(x): \Sigma_k(H^*) \rightarrow \Sigma_{k-1}(H^*)$  for all  $k \geq 2$  by:

---

Received by the editors September 17, 1963.

For any  $a \in \Sigma_k(H^*)$ , let

$$z(x)(a)(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{k-1}) = a(x, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{k-1}).$$

The norm of  $z(x)$  is  $\|x\|$ , for  $\|z(x)a\|_{k-1} \leq \|x\| \|a\|_k$  and  $z(x)(e_n)^k = x_n(e_n)^{k-1}$ .

Now, let  $g: \Omega \rightarrow R$  be a function defined on the open set  $\Omega \subset H$ . We say that  $g$  is of class  $C^\infty$  if there exists a sequence of continuous mappings:  $L^k: x \in \Omega \rightarrow L_x^k \in \Sigma_k(H^*)$  such that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any integer  $N > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that, if  $x \in \Omega$  and  $y \in H$ , with  $\|y\| < \delta$ , then

$$|g(x+y) - g(x) - L_x^k(y)| \leq \epsilon \|y\|$$

and

$$\|L_{x+y}^k - L_x^k - z(y)L_x^{k+1}\| < \epsilon \|y\|,$$

for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ . The sequence  $L_x^1, L_x^2, \dots$  is then unique, and  $L_x^k$  is called the  $k$ th derivative of  $g$  at  $x$ , and is written  $d^k g_x$ .

3. We first introduce a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\lambda: R \rightarrow R$  with the following properties: (i)  $\lambda$  is an increasing  $C^\infty$ -function, (ii)  $-1 \leq \lambda(t) \leq 2$  for all  $t$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , with  $\lambda(0) = 0$ ,  $\lambda(1) = 1$ , (iii)  $0 \leq \lambda'(t) \leq 2$ , and  $\lambda'(t) = 0$  only for  $t = 0$  or  $t = 1$ , (iv) when  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\lambda^{(k)}(t) \rightarrow 0$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and if  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 2$ , and if  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow -1$ . Note that there are many such functions  $\lambda$ . Having chosen one, let  $M_k$  be the maximum of  $|\lambda^{(k)}(t)|$  for  $t \in R$ .

Now, define the function  $F: H \rightarrow R$  as follows: For  $x \in H$   $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , set

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(nx_n)}{2^n}.$$

This series is clearly absolutely convergent for all  $x \in H$ . We now show that the function so defined is in  $C^\infty$ .

The sequence of mappings  $L^k: x \in H \rightarrow L_x^k \in \Sigma_k(H^*)$  is given by

$$L_x^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^k \lambda^{(k)}(nx_n)}{2^n} (e_n)^k.$$

This series converges and defines a member of  $\Sigma_k(H^*)$  for each  $x \in H$  since the series of norms  $\| \cdot \|_k$  of its terms, i.e.,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^k |\lambda^{(k)}(nx_n)|}{2^n}$$

is dominated by the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k M_k / 2^n$ , which converges. We next

show that the map  $x \rightarrow L_x^k$  is continuous. Take any  $r \in H$ . Then,

$$L_{x+r}^k - L_x^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^k \{ \lambda^{(k)}(nx_n + nr_n) - \lambda^{(k)}(nx_n) \}}{2^n} (e_n)^k.$$

By Taylor's formula,

$$\lambda^{(k)}(nx_n + nr_n) - \lambda^{(k)}(nx_n) = nr_n \int_0^1 \lambda^{(k+1)}(nx_n + tnr_n) dt,$$

so that

$$| \lambda^{(k)}(nx_n + nr_n) - \lambda^{(k)}(nx_n) | \leq nr_n M_{k+1}.$$

This leads to the estimate

$$\| L_{x+r}^k - L_x^k \|_k \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{k+1} M_{k+1}}{2^n} |r_n| \leq \|r\| \sigma_{k+1},$$

where  $\sigma_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k M_k / 2^n$ .

We next calculate  $L_{x+r}^k - L_x^k - z(r)L_x^{k+1}$ , which is easily seen to be

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^k}{2^n} \{ \lambda^{(k)}(nx_n + nr_n) - \lambda^{(k)}(nx_n) - nr_n \lambda^{(k+1)}(nx_n) \} (e_n)^k.$$

Another application of Taylor's formula to this yields the estimate  $\| L_{x+r}^k - L_x^k - z(r)L_x^{k+1} \| \leq \sigma_{k+2} \|r\|^2$ . It then follows that  $F$  is of class  $C^\infty$ .

Let us now determine the critical points for  $F$ . We have

$$dF_x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \lambda'(nx_n)}{2^n} e_n$$

since  $(e_n)^1 = e_n$ . To have  $dF_x$  identically zero, we need  $\lambda'(nx_n) = 0$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By assumption,  $\lambda'(t) = 0$  only if  $t = 0$  or  $t = 1$ . The point  $x \in H$  must therefore obey:  $x_n = 0$  or  $1/n$ , for every  $n$ . This identifies  $x$  as a member of the set Cantor-type set  $\Sigma \subset H$ . The critical-point set for  $F$  will be the set of points  $F(x)$ , for  $x \in \Sigma$ . Let  $x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2/2, \alpha_3/3, \alpha_4/4, \dots)$ , where each  $\alpha_n$  is either 0 or 1. Then,

$$F(x) = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(nx_n)}{2^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(\alpha_n)}{2^n}.$$

Since  $\lambda(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda(1) = 1$ , we have  $F(x) = \sum_1^{\infty} \alpha_n / 2^n$ , so that the critical-point set for  $F$  is the entire interval  $[0, 1]$ , which is of measure 1. We observe that the function  $\lambda$  could have been chosen so that not only the first, but, indeed, all the derivatives of  $F$  vanish on the set  $\Sigma$ .

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. P. Morse, *The behavior of a function on its critical set*, Ann. of Math. **40** (1939), 62-70.
2. Arthur Sard, *The measure of the critical values of differentiable maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1942), 883-890.
3. H. Whitney, *A function not constant on a connected set of its critical points*, Duke Math. J. **1** (1935), 514-517.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA, PURA E APLICADA, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL

---

**THE DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION BY  
LINEAR OPERATORS**

PHILIP C. CURTIS, JR.<sup>1</sup>

**I. Introduction.** In 1959 Berman [1] observed the following: If  $T$  denotes the unit circle,  $C(T)$  the continuous functions on  $T$ , and  $E_n(f)$  the error in the best approximation in the sup norm to  $f$  by a trigonometric polynomial of order  $n$ , then there could not exist a sequence of linear operators  $T_n$  mapping  $C(T)$  into the trigonometric polynomials of order  $n$ , which satisfied

$$(1) \quad \|f - T_n f\| \leq K E_n(f)$$

for some fixed constant  $K$  and  $f \in C(T)$ . The reason for this is easy to see. Suppose (1) is satisfied for some sequence  $\{T_n\}$  and all  $f \in C(T)$ . If  $\Pi_n$  denotes the space of trigonometric polynomials of order  $\leq n$ , then for  $f \in \Pi_n$ ,  $E_n(f) = 0$ . Hence,  $T_n f = f$  or  $T_n^2 = T_n$ . But by a theorem of Nikolaev [3, p. 494],  $\|T_n\| \geq K \log n$ . Since  $E_n(f) \rightarrow 0$ , this is a contradiction. A similar observation holds for  $L_1(T)$  where  $E_n(f)$  is the best approximation by a trigonometric polynomial of order  $n$  to  $f$  in the  $L_1$  norm.

In this note I would like to elaborate on this observation of Berman's and make some applications to Fourier series which appear to be new. Let  $T_n$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators from  $C(T)$  into  $\Pi_n$ . In place of  $E_n(f)$  let  $D_n(f)$  be any continuous mapping from  $C(T)$  to the non-negative reals which vanishes on  $\Pi_n$ . In addition to the case  $D_n(f) = E_n(f)$  we may take  $D_n(f) = \|f - P_n f\|$  where  $P_n$  is a bounded projection of  $C(T)$  onto  $\Pi_n$ . Then, if  $T_n^2 \neq T_n$  for each  $n$ , it

---

Received by the editors February 20, 1964.

<sup>1</sup> The research reported here was supported by TRW Space Technology Laboratories and by the National Science Foundation.