

**SYMMETRY FOR THE ENVELOPING ALGEBRA OF  
A RESTRICTED LIE ALGEBRA**

JOHN R. SCHUE

In a recent paper [1], Berkson has shown that the restricted enveloping algebra  $U$  of a restricted finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $L$  is a Frobenius algebra. By requiring that each transformation in the adjoint representation of  $L$  have zero trace (a condition satisfied by any nilpotent  $L$  or any  $L$  for which  $[L, L] = L$ ) it turns out that  $U$  is actually symmetric. A proof of this is given below.

We let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra which is finite-dimensional over a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . For  $x \in L$  let  $D_x$  be defined on  $L$  by  $D_x y = [x, y]$ , and let  $\text{Tr}(D_x)$  denote the trace of  $D_x$ .  $U$  will denote the restricted enveloping algebra of  $L$  as defined and discussed in [2, pp. 185–192], and  $U^*$  denotes the dual space of  $U$  over  $K$ . For  $u \in U$  and  $\phi \in U^*$  define  $u\phi$  and  $\phi u$  by  $(u\phi)(v) = \phi(vu)$ ,  $(\phi u)(v) = \phi(uv)$  for all  $v \in U$ . We choose a fixed ordered basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of  $L$  and thus  $\{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} : 0 \leq i_j \leq p-1\}$  is a basis of  $U$ . For each such basis element of  $U$  we define the degree as  $\sum i_j$  and for a linear combination of basis elements define the degree as the maximum of the degrees of basis elements which appear with nonzero coefficients. Let  $\phi_0$  be defined as the linear functional on  $U$  which vanishes at each basis element except that  $\phi_0(x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1}) = 1$ . The main result of [1] is that the linear mapping  $u \rightarrow u\phi_0$  from  $U$  to  $U^*$  is one-one and onto. The result to be proved here is the following:

**THEOREM.**  $u\phi_0 = \phi_0 u$  for all  $u \in U$  iff  $\text{Tr}(D_x) = 0$  for all  $x \in L$ . Thus, if the latter condition is satisfied,  $U$  is symmetric, i.e., the bilinear form  $(u, v) = \phi_0(uv)$  is symmetric, nondegenerate, and  $(uv, w) = (u, vw)$  for all  $u, v, w$  in  $U$ .

The proof of the theorem will follow from several lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** Suppose  $m \leq n(p-1)$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in L$ . Then  $\phi_0(y_1, \dots, y_m) = \phi_0(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_m})$  for any permutation  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  of  $1, \dots, m$ . If  $m < n(p-1)$  then  $\phi_0(y_1, \dots, y_m) = 0$ .

**PROOF.** By using techniques like those used in [2] it follows that the degree of  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  is no greater than  $m$  and that  $y_1, \dots, y_m = y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_m} + v$  where  $v$  has degree less than  $m$ .

---

Received by the editors September 8, 1964.

LEMMA 2. For  $u, v$  in  $U$  let  $[u, v] = uv - vu$ . Then for  $0 \leq m < p$  and  $x, y$  in  $L$ ,  $[x, y^m] = \sum_{i=1}^m C_k(-1)^{k_{y^{m-k}}} D_y^k(x)$ .

PROOF. The proof is by induction on  $m$ . The case  $m = 1$  is immediate; we assume the result as stated to prove it for  $m + 1$ . Now  $[x, y^{m+1}] = [x, y^m y] = y^m [x, y] + [x, y^m] y = -y^m D_y x + y [x, y^m] - [y, [x, y^m]]$ . If the induction hypothesis is used on each of the last two terms, together with  $[y, y^{m-k} D_y^k x] = y^{m-k} D_y^{k+1} x$ , a straightforward computation will give the desired conclusion.

LEMMA 3. Let  $u_0 = x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1}$ . For  $x \in L$  we have  $\phi_0(u_0 x) = \phi_0(x u_0) + \text{Tr}(D_x)$ .

PROOF. Let  $D_x x_i = \sum \lambda_{ji} x_j$ . By virtue of Lemma 2,  $x_i^{p-1} x = x x_i^{p-1} + x_i^{p-2} [x, x_i] + u_i$  where  $u_i$  has degree less than  $p - 1$ . From Lemma 1 we obtain  $\phi_0(x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_i^{p-1} x \cdots x_n^{p-1}) = \phi_0(x_1^{p-1} \cdots x x_i^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1}) + \sum \lambda_{ji} \phi_0(x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_i^{p-2} x_j \cdots x_n^{p-1})$ . However, since  $x_j^p \in L$ , each of the terms in the last summation is zero for  $j \neq i$ . Thus the sum reduces to  $\lambda_{ii} \phi_0(u_0) = \lambda_{ii}$ . An induction argument can then be used to conclude that  $\phi_0(u_0 x) = \phi_0(x u_0) + \sum \lambda_{ii} = \phi_0(x u_0) + \text{Tr}(D_x)$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. For each  $u \in U$  there is a unique  $u^* \in U$  such that  $u^* \phi_0 = \phi_0 u$ . The mapping  $u \rightarrow u^*$  is clearly linear and is one-one for if  $u^*$  is zero then  $(v \phi_0)(u) = 0$  for all  $v \in U$  and this implies  $u = 0$ . Moreover, it is an automorphism of the associative algebra  $U$  since  $(uv)^* \phi_0(w) = \phi_0(uvw) = \phi_0(vwu^*) = \phi_0(wu^*v^*) = (u^*v^*) \phi_0(w)$  for all  $w$  implies  $(uv)^* = u^*v^*$ .

Suppose  $\text{Tr}(D_x) = 0$  for all  $x \in L$ . Then  $\phi_0(x u_0) = \phi_0(u_0 x)$ . From Lemma 1 we have  $\phi_0(x u) = \phi_0(u x)$  for any basis element  $u$  of smaller degree than  $n(p - 1)$ . Hence the same equation holds for all  $u$  and this implies  $x^* = x$  for all  $x \in L$ . Since  $U$  is generated by 1 and  $L$  we have  $u^* = u$  for all  $u \in U$ .

Conversely, if  $u \phi_0 = \phi_0 u$  for all  $u$  then  $x = x^*$  for all  $x \in L$  and Lemma 3 shows that  $\text{Tr}(D_x) = 0$ .

### REFERENCES

1. Astrid J. Berkson, *The  $u$ -algebra of a restricted Lie algebra is Frobenius*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 14-15.
2. Nathan Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.

MACALESTER COLLEGE