

## EXTREME HAMILTONIAN CIRCUITS. RESOLUTION OF THE CONVEX-EVEN CASE

LOUIS V. QUINTAS AND FRED SUPNICK

Let  $r$  noncollinear points in the Euclidean plane fall on the boundary  $B$  of their convex hull. It is known that the shortest polygon having these points as vertices coincides with  $B$ . In [1] the ordering of these points which yields the longest polygon is obtained for the case where  $r$  is odd. In this paper the even case is resolved.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Sigma$  denote a set of  $2n$  noncollinear coplanar points which fall on the boundary  $B$  of their convex hull and let  $P_1^1, P_1^2, \dots, P_1^n$  denote any  $n$  points of  $\Sigma$  which are adjacent on  $B$ . Then, every longest polygon having precisely the points of  $\Sigma$  as vertices is among the  $n$  polygons<sup>1</sup>*

$$(1) \quad [ \cdots P_{2n-5}^i P_6^i P_{2n-3}^i P_3^i P_{2n-1}^i P_1^i P_{2n}^i P_2^i P_{2n-2}^i P_4^i P_{2n-4}^i P_6^i \cdots ]$$

$(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$

where for each  $i$ , starting with  $P_1^i$  and traversing  $B$  in a specified common direction the consecutive points of  $\Sigma$  are labeled

$$(2) \quad P_1^i, P_2^i, P_3^i, \dots, P_n^i, P_{2n}^i, P_{2n-1}^i, P_{2n-2}^i, \dots, P_{n+1}^i.$$

**REMARK.** The collinear case (odd or even) is a special case of Theorem III [2, p. 181].

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** *Case I.* Suppose no three points of  $\Sigma$  are collinear. A line segment  $DE$  with endpoints in  $\Sigma$  is said to be of type  $L_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), if  $D$  and  $E$  are the endpoints of a polygonal subarc of  $B$  having  $k$  edges. For the cases where  $2n$  is equal to 2 or 4, the Theorem is obviously true. Thus, in all that follows we assume  $6 \leq 2n$ .

Let  $h = [R_1 \cdots R_{2n}]$  denote any polygon having the points of  $\Sigma$  as vertices and having at least one edge  $R_i R_{i+1}$  (subscripts reduced modulo  $2n$ ) of type  $L_k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ . It will be shown that, in this case, it is possible to construct a polygon longer than  $h$ . The vertices  $R_i$  and  $R_{i+1}$  define the following partition of  $B$ :  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{R_i, R_{i+1}\}$ , where  $B_1$  is the component of  $B - \{R_i, R_{i+1}\}$  containing exactly  $k-1$  points of  $\Sigma$ . We first show that there are at least three edges of  $h$  having both vertices in  $B_2$  (only two of these edges will be used in

Presented to the Society, April 20, 1964; received by the editors February 25, 1964.

<sup>1</sup> The symbols for polygons are to be considered cyclic and symmetric.

what follows). There are at most  $2(k-1)+2$  edges of  $h$  incident to the vertices in  $B_1 \cup \{R_i, R_{i+1}\}$  which terminate at vertices in  $B_2$  and there are  $2n-(k-1)-2$  points of  $\Sigma$  in  $B_2$ . Thus, there are at least the following number of edges of  $h$  which have both vertices in  $B_2$ :

$$N = \frac{2(2n - (k - 1) - 2) - (2(k - 1) + 2)}{2} = 2n - 2k - 1.$$

Since  $k \leq n-2$ , we have  $N \geq 2n - 2(n-2) - 1 = 3$ .

In order to describe how  $h$  can be transformed into a polygon of strictly greater length we introduce the following notation. The symbol  $[V_1 \cdots V_{i-1}(V_i \cdots V_j)V_{j+1} \cdots V_r]$  will denote the polygon  $[V_1 \cdots V_{i-1}V_jV_{j-1} \cdots V_iV_{j+1} \cdots V_r]$  and the operation  $[\cdots(\cdots)\cdots]$  will be referred to as an *arcinversion* (cf. [2, p. 180]). Throughout this paper, all edges are assumed to be closed. Let  $PQ$  and  $RS$  denote disjoint directed edges with endpoints in  $\Sigma$  and  $C$  the boundary of the convex hull of  $\{P, Q, R, S\}$ . We shall say that  $PQ$  and  $RS$  have the *same* or *opposite C-sense* accordingly as they agree or conflict in inducing an orientation of  $C$ . We note that an oriented polygon having two edges with the same  $C$ -sense can be transformed into a longer polygon by an arcinversion.

Let  $R_jR_{j+1}$  and  $R_kR_{k+1}$  denote two edges of  $h$  each of which has both vertices in  $B_2$  and let all edges of  $h$  be directed so as to agree with a fixed orientation of  $h$ . Note that the (closed) edges  $R_jR_{j+1}$  and  $R_kR_{k+1}$  may intersect. The case where they are adjacent edges is not excluded. However, they are both disjoint from  $R_iR_{i+1}$ . Thus, we may speak of the  $C$ -sense of  $R_iR_{i+1}$  with respect to  $R_jR_{j+1}$  and  $R_kR_{k+1}$  respectively. If  $R_iR_{i+1}$  has the same  $C$ -sense as either  $R_jR_{j+1}$  or  $R_kR_{k+1}$ , say  $R_jR_{j+1}$ , then the arcinversion  $[\cdots R_j(R_{j+1} \cdots R_i)R_{i+1} \cdots]$  yields a polygon which is longer than  $h$ . If  $R_iR_{i+1}$  has  $C$ -sense opposite to both  $R_jR_{j+1}$  and  $R_kR_{k+1}$ , let  $C'$  denote the boundary of the convex hull of these three edges and  $R$  that terminal point of  $R_jR_{j+1}$  or  $R_kR_{k+1}$  which is adjacent to  $R_{i+1}$  on  $C'$ . Suppose  $R = R_{j+1}$  (if  $R = R_{k+1}$  a completely analogous situation exists). Then, consider the edge  $R_{j+1}R_{j+2}$  and the partition of  $B$  into the two components  $B_3$  and  $B - B_3$ , where  $B_3$  is the closed subarc of  $B - \{R_j\}$  with endpoints  $R_i$  and  $R_{j+1}$ . Note that  $R_{j+2}$  is not necessarily in  $B_2$ . Then, either (i)  $R_{j+2}$  is in  $B_3$ ,  $R_{j+1}R_{j+2}$  has the same  $C$ -sense as  $R_kR_{k+1}$ , and the arcinversion  $[\cdots R_{j+1}(R_{j+2} \cdots R_k)R_{k+1} \cdots]$  yields a polygon which is longer than  $h$  or (ii)  $R_{j+2}$  is in  $B - B_3$ ,  $R_{j+1}R_{j+2}$  has the same  $C$ -sense as  $R_iR_{i+1}$ , and the arcinversion  $[\cdots R_{j+1}(R_{j+2} \cdots R_i)R_{i+1} \cdots]$  yields a polygon which is longer than  $h$ . Therefore, a longest polygon cannot contain any edges of type  $L_k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

Consider now a polygon  $h'$  which consists entirely of edges of type  $L_{n-1}$ . Note that for  $2n$  vertices such a polygon exists only if  $n-1$  and  $2n$  are relatively prime, that is, only if  $n$  is even. The polygon  $h'$  is represented by

$$(3) \quad [P_1^1 P_n^1 P_{n+2}^1 P_{n-2}^1 P_{n+4}^1 \cdots P_{2n}^1 P_{n+1}^1 P_{n-1}^1 P_{n+3}^1 P_{n-3}^1 \cdots]$$

where the vertices are labeled as indicated in (2) with  $i=1$ . The arc-inversion  $[P_1^1(P_n^1 \cdots P_{2n}^1)P_{n+1}^1 \cdots]$  applied to (3) yields a polygon which is longer than  $h'$ . Specifically, this arcinversion yields the polygon (1) with  $i=1$ , which contains two edges of type  $L_n$  and  $2n-2$  edges of type  $L_{n-1}$ .

To complete the proof of Case I it remains to show: if  $w$  is any polygon containing at least one edge of type  $L_n$  and having all other edges of type  $L_n$  or of type  $L_{n-1}$ , then  $w$  is one of the  $n$  polygons indicated in the statement of the theorem. We shall refer to these  $n$  polygons as  $\beta$ -polygons.

We select any edge of type  $L_n$  in  $w$ , label it  $W_1W_{2n}$ , and call it the first edge of  $w$ . For the second edge we select the other edge incident to  $W_{2n}$ , label it  $W_{2n}W_2$  and note that it is of type  $L_{n-1}$ . The rest of the vertices of  $w$  can now be labeled

$$W_1, W_2, W_3, \cdots, W_n, W_{2n}, W_{2n-1}, W_{2n-2}, \cdots, W_{n+1}$$

in cyclic order around the boundary  $B$  of their convex hull in a unique way compatible with the three vertices labeled thus far. Orienting  $w$  by  $W_1W_{2n}$ , we note that all odd numbered edges will terminate in vertices having subscript greater than  $n$ , and all even numbered edges will terminate in vertices having subscript less than or equal to  $n$ . Thus, edge  $2n$  must be  $W_{2n-1}W_1$ . Since  $6 \leq 2n$ , the third edge of  $w$  must be the edge  $W_2W_{2n-2}$  and edge  $2n-1$  must be the edge  $W_3W_{2n-1}$ . Both of these edges are of type  $L_{n-1}$ .

The fourth edge must either be of type  $L_n$  and completes  $w$  (if and only if  $n=3$ ), or must be the edge  $W_{2n-2}W_4$ . If  $n > 3$ , then edge  $2n-2$  must be the edge  $W_{2n-3}W_3$ .

We proceed in this manner, repeating the above argument until the vertices  $W_n$  and  $W_{n+1}$  are joined by an edge of type  $L_n$ . This edge completes  $w$  which is seen to be of the form (1) with  $W$  in place of  $P^i$ .

If  $W_1W_2$  and  $P_1^iP_2^i$  induce the same orientation of  $B$  and  $W_1=P_1^i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ , then  $w$  is a  $\beta$ -polygon.

Suppose that  $W_1W_2$  and  $P_1^iP_2^i$  induce the same orientation of  $B$  but  $W_1 \neq P_1^i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ . Then,

$$W_i' = W_{2n+1-i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2n)$$

defines a relabeling of the vertices of  $w$  such that  $w$  is of the form (1) with  $W'$  in place of  $P^i$ ,  $W'_1 W'_2$  and  $P_1^1 P_2^1$  induce the same orientation of  $B$ , and  $W'_i = P_i^i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Thus,  $w$  is a  $\beta$ -polygon.

Suppose that  $W_1 W_2$  and  $P_1^1 P_2^1$  induce opposite orientations of  $B$ . In this case,

$$W'_i = \begin{cases} W_{n+i} & (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \\ W_{i-n} & (i = n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n) \end{cases}$$

defines a relabeling of the vertices of  $w$  such that  $w$  is of the form (1) with  $W'$  in place of  $P^i$ , and  $W'_1 W'_2$  and  $P_1^1 P_2^1$  induce the same orientation of  $B$ . Thus, by the preceding two paragraphs,  $w$  is a  $\beta$ -polygon.

*Case II.* Suppose  $B$  has support lines passing through at least three points of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $X$  be a point in the interior of the convex hull of  $\Sigma$  and  $B(t)$  ( $0 \leq t < 1$ ) be a family of strongly convex curves circumscribing  $B$  and converging to  $B$  as  $t$  approaches 1. Let  $P_j^i(t)$  be the intersection of  $B(t)$  with the ray emanating from  $X$  and passing through  $P_j^i$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2n; 1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and let  $\Sigma(t) \equiv \{P_j^i(t)\}$ . Then, for each  $t$  ( $0 \leq t < 1$ ) Case I implies that every longest polygon having the points of  $\Sigma(t)$  as vertices is a  $\beta(t)$ -polygon. Now, for  $t$  sufficiently close to 1, a polygon having  $P_j^i(t)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2n; i$  fixed) as vertices is arbitrarily close to the corresponding polygon having the  $P_j^i$ 's as vertices. Therefore, every longest polygon with vertices in  $B$  is a  $\beta$ -polygon.

**REMARK.** If the points of  $\Sigma$  are evenly distributed on a circle, then the  $n$   $\beta$ -polygons have the same length. On the other hand, sets  $\Sigma$  (whose points all fall on the boundary of their convex hull) may be selected such that no two polygons having the points of  $\Sigma$  as their vertices are equal in length.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Supnick and L. V. Quintas, *Extreme Hamiltonian circuits. Resolution of the convex-odd case*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 454-456.
2. F. Supnick, *Extreme Hamiltonian lines*, Ann. of Math. (2) 66 (1957), 179-201.

ST. JOHN'S UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK AND  
CITY COLLEGE, NEW YORK