

A HÖLDER TYPE INEQUALITY FOR SYMMETRIC MATRICES WITH NONNEGATIVE ENTRIES

G. R. BLAKLEY AND PRABIR ROY

The element $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ of the n -dimensional real euclidean vector space R_n is nonnegative if $0 \leq w_j$ for each j . If $1 \leq k \leq n$ then $\mathbf{w}(k) = (w(k)_1, w(k)_2, \dots, w(k)_{n-1}) \in R_{n-1}$ is defined by setting $w(k)_i = w_i$ if $1 \leq i < k$, $w(k)_i = w_{i+1}$ if $k \leq i < n$. The real n by n matrix $\mathbf{S} = (s_{ij})$ is nonnegative if $0 \leq s_{ij}$ for each i, j . If $1 \leq k \leq n$ let $\mathbf{S}(k)$ be the $n-1$ by $n-1$ matrix obtained by deleting the k th row and k th column of \mathbf{S} . W_n is the boundary of the nonnegative cone in R_n and $U_n = \{\mathbf{u} \in R_n : (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = 1\}$ is the unit sphere.

THEOREM. *If \mathbf{S} is a nonnegative symmetric n by n matrix, $\mathbf{u} \in U_n$ is nonnegative and k is a positive integer then $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{S}\mathbf{u})^k \leq (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{S}^k\mathbf{u})$. If $k > 1$ equality holds if and only if \mathbf{u} is a characteristic vector of \mathbf{S} or $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{S}^k\mathbf{u}) = 0$.*

PROOF. There is no loss of generality in ignoring trivial cases and assuming that $k > 1$, $n > 1$, that $|\lambda| \leq 1$ for each characteristic value λ of \mathbf{S} and that there is a characteristic value λ^* of \mathbf{S} for which $|\lambda^*| = 1$. There is thus a nonnegative characteristic n -vector $\mathbf{v} \in U_n$ of \mathbf{S} whose corresponding characteristic value λ is 1 [1, p. 80]. Now proceed by induction on n .

If $\mathbf{w} \in W_n \cap U_n$ there is some j such that $\mathbf{w}(j) \in U_{n-1}$. If

$$(\mathbf{w}(j), \mathbf{S}(j)\mathbf{w}(j))^k < (\mathbf{w}(j), \mathbf{S}(j)^k\mathbf{w}(j))$$

then

$$(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{S}\mathbf{w})^k = (\mathbf{w}(j), \mathbf{S}(j)\mathbf{w}(j))^k < (\mathbf{w}(j), \mathbf{S}(j)^k\mathbf{w}(j)) \leq (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{S}^k\mathbf{w}).$$

If, on the other hand, $0 < (\mathbf{w}(j), \mathbf{S}(j)\mathbf{w}(j))^k = (\mathbf{w}(j), \mathbf{S}(j)^k\mathbf{w}(j))$ then $\mathbf{w}(j)$ is, as a consequence of the induction hypothesis, a characteristic $(n-1)$ -vector of $\mathbf{S}(j)$ and there is some $\lambda > 0$ such that $\mathbf{S}(j)\mathbf{w}(j) = \lambda\mathbf{w}(j)$. Hence $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{w} = \lambda\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{p}$, where \mathbf{p} is a nonnegative n -vector for which $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{w}) = 0$. If \mathbf{w} is not a characteristic vector of \mathbf{S} then $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) > 0$ and it is easy to verify, using the symmetry of \mathbf{S} , that

$$(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{S}^k\mathbf{w}) \geq \lambda^k + \lambda^{k-2}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{S}\mathbf{p}) = \lambda^k + \lambda^{k-2}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) > \lambda^k = (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{S}\mathbf{w})^k.$$

Thus the truth of the theorem in the $(n-1)$ -dimensional case entails its truth for vectors in W_n .

Received by the editors November 2, 1963 and, in revised form, October 5, 1964.

Suppose the nonnegative vector $u \in U_n \sim W_n$ is not a characteristic vector of S . Let $m \in U_n$ be a nonnegative characteristic vector of S with characteristic value 1 and let q be the unique element of U_n orthogonal to m such that u is between q and m in the sense that there is some $\eta_0, 0 < \eta_0 < 1$, for which $u = (1 - \eta_0^2)^{1/2} m + \eta_0 q$. Let $\alpha = (q, S^k q) - 1, \beta = (q, S q) - 1$. Notice that $\beta < 0$, for otherwise it would follow from the normalization of S that q would be a characteristic vector of S with characteristic value 1, whence so would u , contrary to assumption. There is some $w \in W_n \cap U_n$ which lies between u and q , that is there is some $\eta_1, \eta_0 < \eta_1 \leq 1$, such that $(1 - \eta_1^2)^{1/2} m + \eta_1 q = w$.

Let $f(\lambda) = \lambda^k - \lambda\alpha/\beta - 1 + \alpha/\beta$ for each real λ . Then

$$f(1) = (m, Sm)^k - (m, S^k m) = 0,$$

$$f(1 + \eta_0^2 \beta) = (u, Su)^k - (u, S^k u), \text{ and}$$

$$f(1 + \eta_1^2 \beta) = (w, Sw)^k - (w, S^k w) \leq 0$$

as a consequence of the symmetry of S . Since $0 < 1 + \eta_1^2 \beta < 1 + \eta_0^2 \beta < 1$ and f is a strictly convex [2, p. 75] function of a positive argument strict inequality holds at u .

REFERENCES

1. F. R. Gantmacher, *Applications of the theory of matrices*, Interscience, New York, 1959.
2. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AND
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN