

## AN $L^1$ EXTREMAL PROBLEM FOR POLYNOMIALS

D. J. NEWMAN

There are a large number of polynomial extremal problems all of which seem to ask the same question. In very crude terms it is the following one: How closely can we approximate a nontrivial situation where

$$|a_k| \text{ is a constant for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \right| \text{ is a constant for } |z| = 1?$$

One such extremal problem which has received considerable attention is the following one:

To choose  $a_k$  with  $|a_k| = 1$  so that  $M = \max_{|z|=1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \right|$  is a minimum.

Since

$$M^2 \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \right|^2 |dz| = \sum_{k=0}^n |a_k|^2 = n + 1$$

it follows that  $M \geq (n+1)^{1/2}$ . However, in order that  $(n+1)^{1/2}$  be (close to) the right answer the inequality  $M^2 \geq (1/2\pi) \int \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \right|^2 |dz|$  must be (close to) equality, which is to say,  $\left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \right|$  must be close to constant.

It was proved by Hardy that this minimum  $M$ ,  $M_n$ , satisfies  $M_n \leq c(n+1)^{1/2}$ ,  $c$  some absolute constant (see Zygmund [4]). Shapiro has even shown that the  $a_k$  can be chosen real (i.e., equal to  $\pm 1$ ) and the same estimate achieved (see Rudin [3]).

Thus the order of magnitude of  $M_n$  is determined as  $\sqrt{n}$ . The deeper question regarding the limit of  $M_n/\sqrt{n}$  remains unsettled. In terms of our original heuristic formulation it makes a vital difference whether  $M_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow 1$  or not. Some partial results in this direction have been obtained by Erdős and Littlewood [1].

Another extremal problem in the same spirit is the following:

Among all polynomials for which

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \right| \leq 1 \text{ for } |z| \leq 1.$$

To find  $\max_{\{a_k\}} \sum_{k=0}^n |a_k| = \mathfrak{N}_n$ .

Here the Schwarz inequality gives

---

Received by the editors November 3, 1964.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |a_k| &\leq ((n+1) \sum |a_k|^2)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{n+1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=1} \left| \sum a_k z^k \right|^2 |dz| \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (n+1)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\mathfrak{M}_n \leq (n+1)^{1/2}$ . Again for this estimate to be accurate we must have near equality in all the above estimates. Thus  $|a_k|$  should be nearly constant, as should  $|\sum a_k z^k|$ .

It was shown by Newman that, for  $n > 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_n \leq \sqrt{n}$ , equality holding for  $n = 1, 2$ , and  $4$ . Shapiro, however, showed that  $n = 1, 2, 4$  are the only cases of equality. Hardy's example shows that  $\mathfrak{M}_n \geq c\sqrt{n}$ ,  $c > 0$ , and so settles the order of magnitude of  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ . Again the deeper problem of whether  $\mathfrak{M}_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow 1$  remains unsettled.

As our third example we consider the problem of maximizing

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \right| |dz| \text{ subject to } |a_k| = 1 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

If this maximum is called  $I_n$ , we obtain  $I_n \leq (n+1)^{1/2}$  by the Schwarz inequality. In the case of real  $a_i$  this has been improved to  $I_n \leq (n+.97)^{1/2}$  (for  $n > 0$ ) (see Newman [2]). Once more, by the previously cited examples, it follows that  $I_n > c\sqrt{n}$ ,  $c > 0$ , and so the order of magnitude of  $I_n$  is again  $\sqrt{n}$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to prove that  $I_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow 1$ , so that, at least in this sense, the meta-problem posed in our introduction is solved. We actually prove somewhat more, namely

**THEOREM 1.**  $I_n \geq \sqrt{n} - c$ ,  $c$  an absolute constant.

The example we have constructed is related to the Gaussian sums and is motivated by the fact that these sums have size  $\sqrt{n}$  while consisting of  $n$  terms of modulus 1. We set  $\omega = \exp(\pi i/(n+1))$ ,  $a_k = \omega^{k^2}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ,  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$ , and we prove

**LEMMA.**  $(1/2\pi) \int_{|z|=1} |P(z)|^4 |dz| = n^2 + O(n^{3/2})$ .

**PROOF.** Writing  $|\sum_{k=0}^n a_k e^{ik\theta}|^2 = \sum_{-n}^n c_j e^{ij\theta}$ , we note that  $(1/2\pi) \int_{|z|=1} |P(z)|^4 |dz| = \sum_{-n}^n |c_j|^2$ . We also see that  $c_0 = n+1$ . Next we examine  $c_j$  for  $j > 0$ . We have

$$c_j = \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} \omega^{(k+j)^2 - k^2} = \omega^{j^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} \omega^{2kj} = \omega^{j^2} \frac{1 - \omega^{2(n+1-j)j}}{1 - \omega^{2j}} = -\omega^{-j} \frac{\omega^{j^2} - \omega^{-j^2}}{\omega^j - \omega^{-j}}$$

and we obtain the formula

$$(1) \quad |c_j|^2 = \frac{\sin^2 j^2 \frac{\pi}{n+1}}{\sin^2 j \frac{\pi}{n+1}} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We proceed to estimate  $\sum_{j=1}^n |c_j|^2$  by splitting this sum into four parts

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{j \leq \sqrt{n}} |c_j|^2, & S_2 &= \sum_{\sqrt{n} < j \leq (n+1)/2} |c_j|^2, \\ S_3 &= \sum_{(n+1)/2 < j < n+1-\sqrt{n}} |c_j|^2, & S_4 &= \sum_{n+1-\sqrt{n} \leq j \leq n} |c_j|^2. \end{aligned}$$

First of all we note that, for  $j > 0$  by (1)

$$|c_{n+1-j}|^2 = |c_j|^2$$

so that

$$(2) \quad |S_3| \leq |S_2| \quad \text{and} \quad |S_4| \leq |S_1|.$$

Next, from the inequality  $|\sin j\theta/\sin \theta| \leq j$ , we conclude that

$$(3) \quad |S_1| \leq \sum_{j \leq \sqrt{n}} j^2 \leq n \sum_{j \leq \sqrt{n}} 1 \leq n^{3/2}.$$

Furthermore, from the inequality  $|1/\sin \theta| \leq \pi/2\theta$  (valid in  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ ) we conclude that

$$(4) \quad |S_2| \leq \sum_{\sqrt{n} < j \leq (n+1)/2} \frac{(n+1)^2}{4j^2} < \frac{(n+1)^2}{4} \sum_{\sqrt{n} < j < \infty} \frac{1}{j^2} \leq \frac{n^2}{\sqrt{n}} = n^{3/2}.$$

If we observe that  $\dot{c}_{-j} = \bar{c}_j$ , and combine (2), (3), and (4), we finally obtain

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j \neq 0} |c_j|^2 \leq 8n^{3/2}$$

and the lemma is proved.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** We use the Hölder inequality to conclude that

$$\int |f|^2 \leq \left( \int |f|^4 \right)^{1/2} \left( \int |f| \right)^{2/3}$$

which, applied to our present case, gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=1} |P| \geq \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int |P|^2\right)^{3/2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int |P|^4\right)^{1/2}} = \frac{(n+1)^{3/2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int |P|^4\right)^{1/2}}$$

so that, applying our lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left| \sum a_k z^k \right| &\geq \frac{(n+1)^{3/2}}{(n^2 + An^{3/2})^{1/2}} > \frac{n^{1/2}}{(1 + An^{-1/2})^{1/2}} \\ &> n^{1/2}(1 - cn^{-1/2}) = \sqrt{n} - c. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Theorem 1 is a statement regarding  $L^1$  norms. By applying the principle of duality we can obtain from it a theorem on  $L^\infty$  functions. Because of its independent interest we record the statement of this theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Given  $n \geq 0$ , there exists a measurable function,  $f(\theta)$ , with period  $2\pi$  and satisfying  $|f(\theta)| \leq 1$  for all  $\theta$ , such that, with  $\{b_k\}$  the Fourier coefficients of  $f(\theta)$ , we have  $\sum_{k=0}^n |b_k| \geq \sqrt{n} - c$ ,  $c$  an absolute constant.*

One further remark is perhaps pertinent. We point out, namely, that the behavior exhibited by our polynomial,  $P(z)$ , is quite exceptional. Indeed, the crucial fact about  $P(z)$  is that its  $L^4$  norm is close to  $\sqrt{n}$ , and it follows from the work of Paley (see Zygmund [4]) that, in a certain sense, *most*  $n$ th degree polynomials with coefficients of modulus 1 have  $L^4$  norms which, instead, are close to  $2^{1/4}\sqrt{n}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and J. E. Littlewood, Personal oral communication.
2. D. J. Newman, *Norms of polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly **67** (1960), 778–779.
3. W. Rudin, *Some theorems on Fourier coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 855–859.
4. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. I, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959; p. 199, Theorem 4.7.

YESHIVA UNIVERSITY