

# A COHOMOLOGY THEORY FOR COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS. II<sup>1</sup>

MICHAEL BARR

1. **Introduction.** In the first paper of this series [1], henceforth referred to as I, we define a cohomology theory for a commutative algebra  $R$  with coefficients in an  $R$ -module  $M$  for which  $H^2(R, M)$  is the group of singular extensions of  $R$  by  $M$ . In this paper we generalize to a cohomology  $H(S, \phi, M)$  where  $(S, \phi)$  is a singular extension of  $R$  and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module. The second group is again a group of extensions and when  $(S, \phi) = (R, 1_R)$ ,  $H(S, \phi, M) = H(R, M)$  as defined in I. This generalization leads to a surprising result: a connected sequence in the first variable.

2. **Definitions.** Let  $K$  be a commutative ring with identity. All rings considered will be unitary  $K$ -algebras and all modules will also be unitary  $K$ -modules. Let  $R$  be an algebra and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. In I we defined a singular extension  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow S \rightarrow \phi R \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$  by  $M$  and morphism of two such extensions. This extension will also be denoted by  $(S, \phi)$  or just  $S$ . If  $\Phi: (S', \phi') \rightarrow (S, \phi)$  is a morphism we will also use  $\Phi: S' \rightarrow S$  to denote the implied algebra morphism. It will be called a surjection or an extension if the implied morphism is a surjection. In any case,  $\ker \Phi$ ,  $\ker \phi$ , and  $\ker \phi'$  are all  $R$ -modules. We let  $\mathcal{C}_R$  or just  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the category of extensions of  $R$  and morphisms of extensions. Then an extension of  $(S, \phi)$  is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow (S', \phi') \xrightarrow{\Phi} (S, \phi) \rightarrow 0$  where  $\Phi$  is a surjection and  $M$  is its kernel. If  $M$  and  $(S, \phi)$  are held fixed, the notions of equivalence and Baer composition make sense as in I. Then the equivalence classes can be shown to form a group which is denoted by  $H^2(S, \phi, M)$ . We denote by  $H^1(S, \phi, M)$  the group of derivations of  $S$  to  $M$  (made into an  $S$ -module by  $\phi$ ).

We define an  $n$ -long exact sequence over  $\mathcal{C}$  to be an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_{n-2} \rightarrow M_{n-3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow (S', \phi') \xrightarrow{\Phi} (S, \phi) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $0 \rightarrow \ker \Phi \rightarrow (S', \phi') \rightarrow (S, \phi) \rightarrow 0$  is an extension and  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow \ker \Phi \rightarrow 0$  is an ordinary exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. An  $\omega$ -long sequence is one like that which has no left end. Again we define equivalence, Baer composition and morphism of long exact

---

Received by the editors October 24, 1964.

<sup>1</sup> This work has been partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant NSF GP-1904.

sequences. An extension will also be called a short exact sequence. The classes of  $n$ -long sequences beginning with  $M$ , ending with  $(S, \phi)$  can also be shown to form a group, denoted by  $H^n(S, \phi, M)$ .

Just as in I, a long (resp. short) exact sequence is called generic if it admits a morphism to all others with the same right end (and with the identity map on the right). This will also be called a generic resolution (resp. generic extension).

**3. Main results.** In this section we indicate how to compute the cohomology just defined as the cohomology of a complex, thus proving, among other things, that it is a group. Two computational theorems are also proved here.

**LEMMA 1.** *Suppose  $(S, \phi)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\ker \phi = M$  and  $(F, \sigma)$  is a generic extension of  $R$ . Let  $X_0$  be an  $R$ -projective mapping onto  $M$  and  $G$  be the inessential extension of  $F$  by  $X_0$ . Then there is a  $\tau: G \rightarrow R$  and  $\theta: (G, \tau) \rightarrow (S, \phi)$  which are in  $\mathcal{C}$  and are a generic extension of  $(S, \phi)$ .*

**PROOF.**  $\tau$  is just the composition  $G \rightarrow F \rightarrow R$ .  $\theta$  is the sum of  $X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow S$  and any map of  $G$  to  $S$  which commutes with  $\phi$ . These are easily seen to be in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We now must show that  $\theta: (G, \tau) \rightarrow (S, \phi)$  is generic. So suppose  $\Phi: (S', \phi') \rightarrow (S, \phi)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G & \xrightarrow{\quad \pi \quad} & F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \epsilon & \dashleftarrow{\rho} & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \sigma & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \Phi & & \uparrow \Phi & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & S' & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $\rho: G \rightarrow X_0$  is the projection. We begin by choosing  $\alpha: F \rightarrow S'$  with  $\phi'\alpha = \sigma$ . Then  $\phi\Phi\alpha\pi = \phi'\alpha\pi = \sigma\pi = \phi\theta$  so that  $\phi(\Phi\alpha\pi - \theta) = 0$ . This means that  $\Phi\alpha\pi - \theta$  maps  $G$  to  $M$  and its restriction to  $X_0$  is easily seen to be an  $R$ -morphism. Since  $X_0$  is projective we can find  $\beta: X_0 \rightarrow M'$  so that  $\Phi\beta = \Phi\alpha\pi - \theta$  on  $X_0$ . Then restricted to  $X_0$ ,  $\theta = \Phi(\alpha\pi - \beta\rho)$ . Thus  $\theta$  and  $\Phi(\alpha\pi - \beta\rho)$  agree on  $X_0$  and agree when followed by  $\phi$ . Thus their difference induces a map  $\gamma: F \rightarrow M$  which is easily seen to be a derivation. But since  $F$  is generic this means that we can find a derivation  $\delta: F \rightarrow M'$  with  $\Phi\delta = \gamma$ . Then  $\Phi\delta\pi = \Phi(\alpha\pi - \beta\rho) - \theta$  or  $\theta = \Phi(\alpha\pi - \beta\rho - \delta\pi)$  and so  $\theta' = \alpha\pi - \beta\rho - \delta\pi$  is the desired map. The

reader should check that it is an algebra homomorphism. Recall in doing so that  $M'^2 = 0$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$  a generic extension of  $S$  and  $X \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$  an  $R$ -projective resolution of  $M$ , then  $X \rightarrow G \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$  is a generic resolution of  $S$ .*

PROOF. This is proved exactly as in I.

THEOREM 3. *Generic resolutions exist.*

PROOF. This follows from the existence of generic resolutions of  $R$ , shown in I, together with Lemmas 1 and 2.

THEOREM 4. *Let  $X \rightarrow G \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$  a generic resolution of  $S$ , then  $H(S, \phi, M)$  is just the homology of*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Der}(G, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, M).$$

PROOF. The proof is left to the reader. It is a standard computation in homological algebra.

THEOREM 5. *Let  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow S' \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence, then we have connecting morphisms  $\text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(M, N) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(S, \phi, N)$  such that the following sequence is exact,*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Der}(S, N) &\rightarrow \text{Der}(S', N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow H^2(S, \phi, N) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(S', \phi', N) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H^i(S, \phi, N) \rightarrow H^i(S', \phi', N) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(M, N) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(S, \phi, N) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be an  $R$ -projective resolution of  $M$  and  $Y \rightarrow G \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$  be a generic resolution of  $S$ . Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & X_1 & & Y_1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & X_0 & & G & & \\ & & \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow \theta & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & S' & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Now let  $G'$  be the inessential extension of  $G$  by  $X_0$ . Then  $G'$  is a generic resolution of  $S'$ , exactly as was proved in Lemma 1. Let  $L$  be

the kernel of this extension. We have  $0 \rightarrow \ker \epsilon \rightarrow L \rightarrow \ker \theta \rightarrow 0$  is exact as is well known (or see Lemma 6 below). We can let  $Z_i = X_i \oplus Y_i$  and  $\dots \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  will be a projective resolution of  $L$ . The remainder is a standard computation.

Before proceeding further, we need three lemmas.

**LEMMA 6.** *Suppose we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_{11} & \rightarrow & A_{12} & \rightarrow & A_{13} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_{21} & \rightarrow & A_{22} & \rightarrow & A_{23} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_{31} & \rightarrow & A_{32} & \rightarrow & A_{33} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

*in which all three columns, the middle row and one other row are exact, then the remaining row is exact.*

**PROOF.** This is easily proved by diagram chasing.

**LEMMA 7.** *Suppose we have a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & A_{11} & \rightarrow & A_{12} & \rightarrow & A_{13} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & A_{21} & \rightarrow & A_{22} & \rightarrow & A_{23} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_{31} & \rightarrow & A_{32} & \rightarrow & A_{33} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

*then there is naturally induced an exact sequence,*

$$0 \rightarrow A_{13} \oplus A_{31} \rightarrow A_{22}/A_{11} \rightarrow A_{33} \rightarrow 0.$$

**PROOF.** This can be proved by diagram chasing together with some computation. The key step is showing that

$$\text{Im}(A_{21} \rightarrow A_{22}) \cap \text{Im}(A_{12} \rightarrow A_{22}) = \text{Im}(A_{11} \rightarrow A_{22}).$$

LEMMA 8. Suppose  $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow B_3 \rightarrow 0$  are exact sequences of  $R$ -modules and  $\text{Tor}_1^R(A_3, B_3) = 0$ , then we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes_R B_3 \oplus A_3 \otimes_R B_1 \rightarrow A_2 \otimes_R B_2 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes_R B_1 \rightarrow A_3 \otimes_R B_3 \rightarrow 0$ .

PROOF. This is an easy application of Lemma 7.

In what follows,  $\otimes$ ,  $\text{Tor}$ , "flat," will mean  $\otimes_K$ ,  $\text{Tor}^K$ , and "K-flat" respectively.

THEOREM 9. Suppose that  $R'$  and  $R''$  are flat algebras and that  $(S', \phi')$  and  $(S'', \phi'')$  are extensions of  $R'$  and  $R''$  with kernels  $M'$  and  $M''$  respectively. Suppose  $\text{Tor}_1(S', S'') = 0$ . Then if we let

$$S = S' \otimes S''/M' \otimes M'', \text{ and } R = R' \otimes R''$$

then  $\phi' \otimes \phi''$  induces a map  $\phi: S \rightarrow R$  which is a singular extension and for any  $R$ -module  $M$  we have  $H(S, \phi, M) \approx H(S', \phi', M) \oplus H(S'', \phi'', M)$ .

PROOF. The first assertion is clear and we have from Lemma 8 that  $\ker \phi = M' \otimes R'' \oplus R' \otimes M''$ . If  $0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow F' \rightarrow R' \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow L'' \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow R'' \rightarrow 0$  are generic resolutions then it is shown in I that  $0 \rightarrow L' \otimes R'' \oplus R' \otimes L'' \rightarrow F' \otimes F''/L' \otimes L'' \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$  is also generic. Let  $X' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$  and  $X'' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  be  $R'$ -projective and  $R''$ -projective resolutions of  $M'$  and  $M''$  respectively. Then if  $G$  and  $G'$  are the inessential extensions of  $F'$  by  $X'_0$  and  $F''$  by  $X''_0$  respectively, they are generic extensions of  $S'$  and  $S''$ . Let  $N' = \ker(G' \rightarrow S')$  and  $N'' = \ker(G'' \rightarrow S'')$ . Then

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow N' \otimes S'' \oplus S' \otimes N'' \rightarrow G' \otimes G''/N' \otimes N'' \rightarrow S' \otimes S'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact by Lemma 8. Also one can check that  $\ker(G' \rightarrow R')$  is  $X'_0 \oplus L'$  and  $\ker(G'' \rightarrow R'')$  is  $X''_0 \oplus L''$ . Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & N' & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & X'_0 \oplus L' & \rightarrow & G' & \rightarrow & R' \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & M' & \rightarrow & S' & \rightarrow & R' \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

from which, by Lemma 6,  $N' = \ker(X'_0 \oplus L' \rightarrow M')$  and similarly  $N'' = \ker(X''_0 \oplus L'' \rightarrow M'')$ . Now  $\text{Tor}_1(S', S'') = 0$ , a fact we have already used. But together with the fact that  $R'$  and  $R''$  are flat and the following two exact sequences

$$0 = \text{Tor}_2(M', R'') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M', M'') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M', S'') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M', R'') = 0,$$

$$0 = \text{Tor}_2(R', S'') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M', S'') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(S', S'') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(R', S'') = 0$$

we conclude also that

$$\text{Tor}_1(M', M'') = 0.$$

But this permits another application of Lemma 8 to have an exact sequence

$$(**) \quad 0 \rightarrow N' \otimes M'' \oplus M' \otimes N'' \rightarrow (X'_0 \oplus L') \otimes (X''_0 \oplus L'')/N' \otimes N'' \rightarrow M' \otimes M'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we may combine (\*) and (\*\*) to get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 \rightarrow & N' \otimes M'' \oplus M' \otimes N'' & \rightarrow & (X'_0 \oplus L') \otimes (X''_0 \oplus L'')/N' \otimes N'' & \rightarrow & M' \otimes M'' & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 \rightarrow & N' \otimes S'' \oplus S' \otimes N'' & \longrightarrow & G' \otimes G''/N' \otimes N'' & \longrightarrow & S' \otimes S'' & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 \rightarrow & N' \otimes R'' \oplus R' \otimes N'' & \longrightarrow & G' \otimes G''/(X'_0 \oplus L') \otimes (X''_0 \oplus L'') & \longrightarrow & S' \otimes S''/M' \otimes M'' & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 
 \end{array}$$

The top two rows have been shown to be exact, the left column is exact because  $R'$  and  $R''$  are flat and the other two columns are obviously exact. Hence, by Lemma 6, the bottom row is also exact. Now we know that  $F' \otimes F''/L' \otimes L''$  is a generic extension of  $R' \otimes R''$  and that the ker of  $\phi$  is  $M' \otimes R'' \oplus R' \otimes M''$ . An  $R' \otimes R''$ -projective mapping onto this is  $X'_0 \otimes R'' \oplus R' \otimes X''_0$ . (See proof of Theorem 7 of I for details.) Then a generic extension of  $S$  is the inessential extension of  $F' \otimes F''/L' \otimes L''$  by  $X'_0 \otimes R'' \oplus R' \otimes X''_0$ . We can map  $G' \otimes G''/(X'_0 \oplus L') \otimes (X''_0 \oplus L'')$  to this by sending  $(x', f') \otimes (x'', f'') \rightarrow (x' \otimes \theta''(f''), \theta'(f') \otimes x'', f' \otimes f'')$  where  $\theta': F' \rightarrow R'$  and  $\theta'': F'' \rightarrow R''$  are the generic extensions. This map can be checked to be an algebra homomorphism and the following calculation shows it is an isomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G' \otimes G'' / (X'_0 \oplus L') \otimes (X''_0 \oplus L'') & \\
 \approx (X'_0 \oplus F') \otimes (X''_0 \oplus F'') / (X'_0 \oplus L') \otimes (X''_0 \oplus L'') & \\
 \approx \frac{(X'_0 \otimes X''_0 \oplus X'_0 \otimes F'' \oplus F' \otimes X''_0 \oplus F' \otimes F'')}{(X'_0 \otimes X''_0 \oplus X'_0 \otimes L'' \oplus L' \otimes X''_0 \oplus L' \otimes L'')} & \\
 \approx X'_0 \otimes R'' \oplus R' \otimes X''_0 \oplus (F' \otimes F'' / L' \otimes L'') & .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the bottom row of the above diagram is a generic extension of  $S$ . The remainder follows exactly as in Theorem 7 of I.

**THEOREM 10.** *Let  $(S, \phi)$  be an extension of  $R$  with kernel  $N$ . Suppose that  $A$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  not containing 0 and that it does not have any zero divisors of  $R$ . Let  $B = \phi^{-1}(A)$ . Then  $\phi$  induces a map  $\phi_B: S_B \rightarrow R_A$  which is a singular extension and for any  $R_A$  module  $M$  we have  $H(S, \phi, M) \approx H(S_B, \phi_B, M)$ .*

**PROOF.** From the proof of Theorem 8 of I we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \otimes_R R_A \rightarrow S_B \rightarrow {}^{\phi_B}R_A \rightarrow 0$  which is a singular extension and defines  $\phi_B$ . Now let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \rightarrow {}^{\theta}S \rightarrow 0$  be a generic singular extension of  $S$ . In exactly the same way we have  $0 \rightarrow L \otimes_S S_B \rightarrow G_C \rightarrow S_B \rightarrow 0$  where  $C = \theta^{-1}(B)$  is exact. (An examination of the proof of Theorem 8 of I will show that it is not required that  $B$  contain no zero divisor of  $S$ .) Now

$$L \otimes_S N \otimes_R R_A \rightarrow L \otimes_S S_B \rightarrow L \otimes_S R_A \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. But the image in  $S_B$  of  $N \otimes_R R_A$  is just  $N \cdot S_B$  and  $L \otimes_S N \otimes_R R_A = L \cdot N \otimes_S S_B = 0$  since  $L$  is an  $R$ -module. Thus  $L \otimes_S S_B \approx L \otimes_S R_A$ . But since  $L$  and  $R_A$  are  $R$ -modules,  $L \otimes_S R_A \approx L \otimes_R R_A$ . Hence,  $0 \rightarrow L \otimes_R R_A \rightarrow G_C \rightarrow S_B \rightarrow 0$  is a generic extension of  $S_B$ . The rest follows exactly as in I, Theorem 8.

**4. Remarks.** There still remains to consider the relative theory. The problem is this: Given a subring  $K' \subset K$  describe the cohomology group  $H_K^n(S, \phi, M)$  of all  $n$ -long sequences which are  $K'$ -split and with two being equivalent if there is an equivalence which is  $K'$ -split. (An arbitrary  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is called split if each of the sequences  $0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{coim } f \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow \text{im } f \rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{coker } f \rightarrow 0$  is a split exact sequence.) At one extreme we could take  $K' = K$  and get the  $K$ -relative theory. At the other extreme we could take  $K'$  as the subring generated by 1 to get the additively split theory.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Barr, *A cohomology theory for commutative algebras*. I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 1379-1384.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS