THE EXISTENCE OF PROPER SOLUTIONS OF A SECOND ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

J. D. SCHUUR

We shall consider the equation

$$x'' = f(t, x, x') : f(t, x, y) \text{ continuous on } D = \{(t, x, y) : 0 \leqq t < +\infty, -\infty < x, y < +\infty\}. \tag{1}$$

We further assume: (i) $f(t, x, y)$ is such that the solutions of (1) are uniquely determined by initial conditions; (ii) $xf(t, x, 0) > 0$ if $t > 0$, $x \neq 0$; (iii) $f(t, 0, 0) = 0$ for all $t \geqq 0$; (iv) if $u > v$, then $f(t, u, y) \geqq f(t, v, y)$, if $r > s$, then $f(t, x, r) \geqq f(t, x, s)$.

From (ii), a positive solution of (1) has no relative maxima, a negative solution has no relative minima. If $x(0) > 0$ and $x(c) = 0$, $x'(c) < 0$ for some $c > 0$, then $x(t) < 0$ for all $t > c$. The behavior of positive solutions and negative solutions is similar, so we shall consider only the former. If we totally disregard nonpositive solutions, we can weaken (ii) to $f(t, x, 0) > 0$ for $x, t > 0$.

A solution, $x(t)$, of (1) is *proper* if $x(t)$ exists and is positive for all $t \geqq 0$. If $f(t, x, y)$ satisfies (i)–(iv), we shall show that given $A > 0$ there exists a unique proper solution of (1), $x(t)$, such that $x(0) = A$ and $x'(t) < 0$ for all $t \geqq 0$. We shall use the topological method of T. Ważewski ([**2**] or [**1**, pp. 179–182]). This approach generalizes a result (and simplifies the proof) of P. K. Wong [**3**, Theorem 1.1].

Let $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ be two solutions of (1). If $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are defined for $0 \leqq t < a \leqq +\infty$, then

$$u'(t) - v'(t) = u'(0) - v'(0) + \int_0^t [f(r, u(r), u'(r)) - f(r, v(r), v'(r))] dr. \tag{2}$$

If $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are defined for $0 \leqq t \leqq b < +\infty$, then

$$u(t) - v(t) = u(0) - v(0) + [u'(0) - v'(0)]t + \int_0^t \int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) - f(r, v(r), v'(r))] \, dr \, ds. \tag{3}$$

THEOREM 1. *If $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are two proper solutions of* (1) *with $u(0) = v(0) = A > 0$, $u'(\infty) = v'(\infty) = 0$, then $u(t) = v(t)$ for all $t \geqq 0$.*

Received by the editors November 1, 1965.

PROOF. Let $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ satisfy the hypotheses and assume $v'(0)<u'(0)$. Suppose there exists a $c>0$ such that $v(t)<u(t)$ and $v'(t)<u'(t)$ for $0<t<c$ and either $v(c)=u(c)$ or $v'(c)=u'(c)$. From (2) and (iv), $u'(c)-v'(c)\geqq u'(0)-v'(0)>0$; and from (3) and (iv), $u(c)-v(c)\geqq [u'(0)-v'(0)]c>0$. Therefore, $v(t)<u(t)$ and $v'(t)<u'(t)$ for $0\leqq t<+\infty$ and $0=u'(\infty)-v'(\infty)\geqq [u'(0)-v'(0)]>0$, a contradiction. Thus, $v'(0)\geqq u'(0)$—and likewise $u'(0)\geqq v'(0)$—so $u(t)=v(t)$ for all $t\geqq 0$.

LEMMA. *Given $A>0$, $c>0$ there exists a $d(A, c)>0$ such that if $x(t)$ is a solution of* (1) *with $0<x(0)\leqq A$ and $x'(0)\leqq -d$, then $x(t)=0$ for some $t\in(0, c)$.*

PROOF. Let $T=\{(t, x): 0\leqq t\leqq c,\ 0\leqq x\leqq A-t(A/c)\}$ and let H be the hypotenuse of T. We will show that solutions satisfying the hypotheses do not cross H for $0\leqq t\leqq c$.

Let $M=\max\{f(t, x, 0): (t, x)\in T\}$, $M>0$ by (ii), and let $d=(A/c)+Mc$. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (1) with $0<x(0)\leqq A$ and $x'(0)\leqq -d$. For t small, $x(t)$ lies in T and below H. If $x(t)$ strikes H for some $t\in(0, c]$, then there exists an $e\in(0, c)$ such that $x'(e)=-(A/c)$, and $x'(t)<-(A/c)$, $(t, x(t))\in T$ for $0\leqq t<e$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x'(e) &= x'(0) + \int_0^e f(s, x(s), x'(s))ds \\ &\leqq -(A/c) - Mc + Me < -(A/c). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2. *Given $A>0$ there exists exactly one proper solution, $x(t)$, of* (1) *such that $x(0)=A$ and $x'(t)<0$ for all $t\geqq 0$.*

PROOF. Theorem 1 shows the uniqueness of such solutions.

Write (1) as a system

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= y, \\ y' &= f(t, x, y); \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

we seek a solution, $(x(t), y(t))$, of (4) such that $x(0)=A>0$, $y(0)<0$, and $x(t)>0$, $y(t)<0$ for all $t\geqq 0$. We will now use the method and terminology of Ważewski. Let $T=\{(t, x, y): t\geqq 0,\ x>0,\ y<0\}$; $Q=\{(t, x, y): t\geqq 0, x>0, y=0\}$; and $R=\{(t, x, y): t\geqq 0, x=0, y<0\}$. For solutions of (4) with $t>0$, the set of egress of T is $S=Q\cup R$ (by (i), the t-axis contains no points of egress). Every point in Q is a point of strict egress since $y'=f(t, x, 0)>0$ and every point in R is a point of strict egress since $x'=y<0$ on R. Thus, the set of egress equals the set of strict egress.

Now let $X=\{(t, x, y): t=0, x=A, -d\leqq y\leqq 0\}$ (where d is determined in the lemma); $Y=\{(t, x, y): t=0, 0\leqq x\leqq A, y=-d\}$; and $Z=X\cup Y$. Then $S\cap Z$ is a retract of S but not of Z and by Ważewski's theorem there exists a point $P=(0, a, b)\in Z$ such that the solution of (4) with $x(0)=a$, $y(0)=b$ remains in T for all $t\geqq 0$. And by the lemma, $P\notin Y$.

References

1. L. Cesari, *Asymptotic behavior and stability problems in ordinary differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959.

2. T. Ważewski, *Une méthode topologique de l'examen du phénomène asymptotique relativement aux équations différentielles ordinaires*, Rend. Accad. Lincei **3** (1947), 210–215.

3. P. K. Wong, *Existence and asymptotic behavior of proper solutions of a class of second-order nonlinear differential equations*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 737–760.

Michigan State University