

# ON THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE REAL LOGARITHM OF A MATRIX

WALTER J. CULVER<sup>1</sup>

1. **Introduction.** Consider the exponential matrix equation

$$(1.1) \quad C = e^X,$$

where  $C$  is a given real matrix of dimension  $n \times n$ . What we shall examine in this paper are the conditions under which a *real* matrix  $X$  exists to satisfy (1.1) and, obtaining existence, the conditions under which such a solution is unique.

The significance of this study can derive from a number of sources, one of which is the mathematical modeling of dynamic systems [1].

2. **A sketch of the results.** According to Gantmacher [2, pp. 239-241], the solution to (1.1) proceeds in the following way:

We reduce  $C$  to its Jordan *normal form*  $J$  via the similarity transformation

$$(2.1) \quad S^{-1}CS = J,$$

whereby (1.1) becomes

$$(2.2) \quad J = S^{-1}e^X S = \exp(S^{-1} X S).$$

We then take the natural logarithm of both sides of (2.2) and invert the similarity transformation to obtain the desired solution(s)  $X$ .

As we will show rigorously, a *real* solution exists provided  $C$  is nonsingular and each elementary divisor (Jordan block) of  $C$  corresponding to a negative eigenvalue occurs an even number of times. This assures that the complex part of  $X$  will have complex conjugate elementary divisors (Jordan blocks).

The possible nonuniqueness of the solution can arise in two ways as we will demonstrate: (1) because the matrix  $C$  has complex eigenvalues and hence provides  $\log J$  with at least a countable infinity of periodic values, and (2) because the similarity transformation which relates  $J$  to  $C$  uniquely<sup>2</sup> via (2.1) may not relate  $\log J$  to  $X$  uniquely via (2.2), in which case an uncountable infinity of solutions results.

---

Received by the editors August 28, 1964 and, in revised form, March 31, 1966.

<sup>1</sup> Surface Division, Westinghouse Defense and Space Center, P.O. Box 1897, Baltimore 3, Maryland.

<sup>2</sup> A Jordan form  $J$  is unique to within an ordering of diagonal blocks.

Case (2) corresponds to the situation where  $\log J$  cannot be expressed as a power series in  $J$ .

3. **The mathematical preliminaries.** It is well known that the matrix  $S$  in (2.1) is not unique, although  $J$  is uniquely related to  $C$ . In this regard, the following lemma is of interest.

LEMMA 1. *Every matrix  $S$  which takes a given matrix  $C$  into its Jordan form  $J$  via the relation*

$$(3.1) \quad C = SJS^{-1},$$

*differs from any other matrix  $\tilde{S}$  which does the same thing, i.e.,*

$$(3.2) \quad C = \tilde{S}J\tilde{S}^{-1}$$

*only by a multiplicative nonsingular matrix factor  $K$  which is one of a continuum of such matrices that commute with  $J$  and provide the identity*

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{S} = SK.$$

PROOF. Equate (3.1) to (3.2) and rearrange terms to obtain

$$(S^{-1}\tilde{S})J = J(S^{-1}\tilde{S}).$$

From this it is obvious that  $S^{-1}\tilde{S}$  must be a matrix, say  $K$ , which commutes with  $J$ , and is nonsingular, wherefrom (3.3) follows directly to complete the proof of the lemma.

Clearly, now, if  $S$  is replaced by the more general transformation  $\tilde{S} = SK$ , equations (2.1) and (2.2) remain exactly the same, since every  $K$  commutes with  $J$ . However, after the logarithm of  $J$  is taken,  $K$  may not commute with  $\log J$ , so that for complete generality we must write

$$(3.4) \quad X = SK(\log J)K^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

The logarithm of  $J$  is well defined [2, p. 100] in terms of its real Jordan blocks  $J_1, \dots, J_m$ ,  $m \leq n$ :

$$(3.5) \quad \log J = \text{diag}\{\log J_1, \dots, \log J_m\}.$$

Typically, if the  $k$ th block is of dimension  $(\alpha_k + 1) \times (\alpha_k + 1)$  and corresponds to the real elementary divisor

$$(3.6) \quad (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{\alpha_k + 1},$$

where  $\lambda_k$  is a real eigenvalue of  $C$  not necessarily different from  $\lambda_h$  ( $h \neq k$ ), then

$$(3.7) \quad J_k = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_k & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ & \lambda_k & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \log J_k = \begin{bmatrix} \log \lambda_k & 1/\lambda_k & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -((- \lambda_k)^{-\alpha_k})/\alpha_k \\ & \log \lambda_k & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1/\lambda_k \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \log \lambda_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

If, on the other hand, the  $k$ th block corresponds to the complex conjugate elementary divisors

$$(3.9) \quad (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{\beta_k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda - \lambda_k^*)^{\beta_k+1},$$

where  $\lambda_k = u_k + iv_k$  is a complex eigenvalue of  $C$  and  $\lambda_k^*$  is its complex conjugate, then the block dimensions are  $2(\beta_k+1) \times 2(\beta_k+1)$  and

$$(3.10) \quad J_k = \begin{bmatrix} L_k & I & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ & L_k & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & I \\ 0 & & & & & L_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$(3.11) \quad L_k = \begin{bmatrix} u_k & -v_k \\ v_k & u_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

For this complex case,

$$(3.12) \quad \log J_k = \begin{bmatrix} \log L_k & L_k^{-1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -((-L_k^{-1})^{\beta_k})/\beta_k \\ & \log L_k & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & L_k^{-1} \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \log L_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since all matrix logarithms are defined ultimately by the matrix exponential, e.g.,

$$J_k = \exp(\log J_k),$$

it follows that such logarithms are multivalued functions of the type

$$(3.13) \quad \log J_k = \text{LOG } J_k + D,$$

where LOG is the principal value and  $D$  is one of an infinity of matrices that commute with LOG  $J_k$  and satisfy the relation  $e^D = I$ .

The nature of  $D$  depends on whether the  $\lambda_k$  belonging to  $J_k$  is real or complex. If  $\lambda_k$  is *real*, the eigenvalues of  $\log J_k$  are its diagonal elements, and from a theorem in Gantmacher [2, p. 158], these must be equal. Thus

$$(3.14) \quad \log J_k = \text{LOG } J_k + i2\pi q_k I, \quad \lambda_k \text{ real,}$$

where  $q_k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ .

On the other hand, if  $\text{Im } \lambda_k \neq 0$ , the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues of  $\log J_k$  appear respectively on the main and skew diagonals of the  $2 \times 2$  diagonal blocks of  $\log J_k$ . Again Gantmacher's theorem can be used, this time to infer that the diagonal blocks of  $\log J_k$  must be equal. Thus

$$(3.15) \quad \log J_k = \text{LOG } J_k + 2\pi(iq_k I + r_k E), \quad \text{Im } \lambda_k \neq 0,$$

where both  $q_k$  and  $r_k$  can assume the values  $0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ , and where

$$E = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

**4. Development of results.** From expressions (3.14) and (3.15) we can see that if no constraints are put on the solution  $X = SK(\text{diag} \{ \log J_1, \dots, \log J_m \})K^{-1}S^{-1}$ , then at least a countable infinity of  $X$ 's are produced. In this paper we apply, for physical reasons [1], the constraint that  $X$  be real, the immediate consequence of which is that the complex elementary divisors (Jordan blocks) of  $X$  must appear in complex conjugate pairs. The question of existence under this constraint is answered by the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $C$  be a real square matrix. Then there exists a real solution  $X$  to the equation  $C = e^X$  if and only if (\*)  $C$  is nonsingular and each elementary divisor (Jordan block) of  $C$  belonging to a negative eigenvalue occurs an even number of times.*

**PROOF.**<sup>3</sup> (i) *Necessity.* Let  $X$  be real such that  $C = e^X$ . If any complex eigenvalues of  $X$  exist, they must correspond to complex conjugate elementary divisors. Hence, we may suppose that the elementary divisors of  $X$  are

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\lambda - z_k)^{a_k}, \quad z_k \text{ real,} \\ &(\lambda - z_k)^{b_k} \text{ and } (\lambda - z_k^*)^{b_k}, \quad \text{Im } z_k \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>3</sup> The proof in this form is due essentially to the reviewer of the paper.

Since  $de^\lambda/d\lambda \neq 0$  for all finite  $\lambda$ , it follows from a theorem in Gantmacher [2, p. 158] that the elementary divisors of  $C = e^X$  are

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\lambda - e^{z_k})^{a_k}, \quad z_k \text{ real} \\ &(\lambda - e^{z_k})^{b_k} \text{ and } (\lambda - e^{z_k^*})^{b_k}, \quad \text{Im } z_k \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In no event is  $e^{z_k} = 0$ . Moreover,  $e^{z_k} < 0$  only if  $\text{Im } z_k \neq 0$ , in which case  $e^{z_k} = e^{z_k^*}$ . Thus the negative eigenvalues of  $C$  must associate with elementary divisors which occur in pairs. Hence  $C$  must satisfy (\*).

(ii) *Sufficiency*. Conversely, let  $C$  satisfy (\*). Its eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$  are as specified by (3.6) or (3.9). For those  $\lambda_k$  that are real and negative we can write  $\lambda_k = e^{z_k} = e^{z_k^*}$ , where  $z_k = \text{LOG}|\lambda_k| + i\pi$ . Moreover, by the last part of (\*), the corresponding elementary divisors are  $(\lambda - e^{z_k})^{\alpha_k+1}$  and  $(\lambda - e^{z_k^*})^{\alpha_k+1}$ . Since, also,  $C$  is real, we may suppose that all the elementary divisors of  $C$  are given by (4.2). Consider, now, the class of matrices with elementary divisors (4.1). Clearly there exists some real matrix  $Y$  in this class. By the theorem quoted from Gantmacher, the function  $e^Y$  must be similar to  $C$ , so that a real matrix  $T$  can be found such that

$$C = T^{-1}e^Y T = \exp(T^{-1}YT).$$

Identify  $X$  with  $T^{-1}YT$  to confirm the sufficiency of (\*).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $C$  be a real square matrix. Then the equation  $C = e^X$  has a unique real solution  $X$  if and only if (\*\*) all the eigenvalues of  $C$  are positive real and no elementary divisor (Jordan block) of  $C$  belonging to any eigenvalue appears more than once.*

**PROOF.** (i) *Sufficiency*. All the solutions to  $C = e^X$  are given by (3.4), (3.5):

$$X = SK(\text{diag}\{\log J_1, \dots, \log J_m\})K^{-1}S^{-1},$$

where  $\log J_k$  is given by (3.14) or (3.15). Clearly, if (\*\*) holds,  $\text{LOG } J_k$  is real, whereas  $\log J_k = \text{LOG } J_k + i2\pi q_k I$  is complex and has no complex conjugate in the set  $\log J_h = \text{LOG } J_h + i2\pi q_h I$ ,  $h \neq k$ . Hence, for every  $k$  the parameter  $q_k$  must be zero, and for every set of blocks (say  $J_k, J_{k+1}, \dots, J_{k+\gamma_k}$ ) which belongs to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$  there exists the unique set  $\text{LOG } J_k, \text{LOG } J_{k+1}, \dots, \text{LOG } J_{k+\gamma_k}$  which belongs to the eigenvalue  $\text{LOG } \lambda_k$ . Hence [2, p. 220] every  $K$  that commutes with  $J$  must also commute with  $\log J$  in (3.4), and (\*\*) is sufficient for  $X$  to be real and unique.

(ii) *Necessity*. Take the contradictions to (\*\*) which satisfy condition (\*) of Theorem 1. For example, assume  $C$  to have positive real

eigenvalues which belong to Jordan blocks that appear more than once, or assume  $C$  to have negative real eigenvalues (whose blocks must occur in pairs), or assume  $C$  to have complex conjugate eigenvalues.

Suppose, first, that  $\lambda_k$  is real and corresponds to the identical blocks  $J_k, J_{k+1}$ . If in (3.14) we choose  $q_k = -q_{k+1}$  for  $\lambda_k$  positive real and  $q_k = -(1+q_{k+1})$  for  $\lambda_k$  negative real, we obtain the complex conjugate blocks  $\log J_k, \log J_{k+1}$ . Hence a continuum set of  $K$  matrices that commuted with  $J$  will not commute with  $\log J$ , and a continuum of real  $X$ 's will arise from (3.4).

Suppose now, that some pair of eigenvalues of  $C$  are complex conjugate. If they correspond to Jordan blocks that appear more than once (say  $J_k, J_{k+1}$ ), then by taking  $q_k = -q_{k+1}$  in (3.15) we obtain a continuum set of  $X$ 's from (3.4). If the blocks appear only once,  $q_k$  must be zero for all  $k$  or else  $\log J_k$  will be a complex block without a conjugate. However,  $r_k$  in (3.15) can be any integer. If any two blocks (say  $J_k, J_{k+1}$ ) are *not* identical but belong to the same eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$ , the fact that  $r_k$  need not equal  $r_{k+1}$  makes it possible for  $\log J_k$  and  $\log J_{k+1}$  to belong to different eigenvalues. Hence not every  $K$  will commute with  $\log J$  and again a continuum of  $X$ 's result. Finally, if no two blocks of  $J$  belong to the same complex eigenvalue, every  $K$  that commutes with  $J$  will also commute with  $\log J$ , provided the Jordan blocks for the real eigenvalues appear only once. But  $r_k$  can still be any integer, which leads to a countable infinity of  $\log J$ 's, and hence to a countable infinity of  $X$ 's. Thus (\*\*\*) is necessary.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $C$  be a real square matrix and let  $C = e^X$  have more than one real solution  $X$ . Then there exists an infinity of real solutions  $X$  which are*

(a) *Countable if all real eigenvalues of  $C$  are positive such that their Jordan blocks appear only once and  $C$  has complex eigenvalues none of which belongs to more than one Jordan block;*

(b) *Uncountable if any real eigenvalues of  $C$  are negative, or if any positive real eigenvalues belong to Jordan blocks that appear more than once, or if any complex conjugate eigenvalues belong to more than one Jordan block.*

#### REFERENCES

1. W. J. Culver, *An analytic theory of modeling for a class of minimal-energy control systems (disturbance-free case)*, SIAM J. Control 2 (1964), 267-294.
2. F. R. Gantmacher, *The theory of matrices*, Vol. I, Chelsea, New York, 1959.