

## CYCLIC EXTENSIONS WITHOUT RELATIVE INTEGRAL BASES

LEON R. McCULLOH

Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field and  $\mathfrak{o}$  the ring of algebraic integers in  $K$ . If  $\mathfrak{o}$  is a principal ideal domain (p.i.d.) then any finite extension  $\Lambda/K$  has an integral basis over  $\mathfrak{o}$  (i.e., the ring of integers  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$  is a free  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module). The converse of this was shown by Mann [5]. More precisely, he proved that if  $\mathfrak{o}$  is not a p.i.d., there is a quadratic extension  $\Lambda/K$  which has no integral basis over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{o}$  is a p.i.d. if and only if every quadratic extension of  $K$  has an integral basis. One can also show ([7] or the corollary below) that if  $K$  contains a primitive cube root of 1, then  $\mathfrak{o}$  is a p.i.d. if and only if every cyclic extension of degree 3 has an integral basis. However, the analogous theorem with 3 replaced by a prime  $p > 3$  is false.

The problem considered here is the following. Given a finite group  $G$  of order  $n$  and an algebraic number field  $K$ , consider all normal extensions  $\Lambda/K$  with Galois group isomorphic to  $G$ . What are the  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module types of the  $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$  for these extensions? In particular, when are all the  $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$  free? In Theorems 1 and 2 we answer these questions in the case that  $G$  is cyclic of order  $n$  and  $K$  contains the  $n$ th roots of unity.

A finitely generated torsion free  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module  $M$  of a given  $\mathfrak{o}$ -rank is characterized by its Steinitz class  $C(M) = C_{\mathfrak{o}}(M)$  which is an  $\mathfrak{o}$ -ideal class of  $K$ . Specifically,  $M \cong \mathfrak{o}^{(r-1)} \oplus J$  where  $r$  is the  $\mathfrak{o}$ -rank of  $M$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}^{(r-1)}$  is a free  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module of rank  $r-1$ , and  $J$  is any ideal in the class  $C(M)$ . If  $\Lambda/K$  is a finite extension, let  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)/\mathfrak{o})$  be the discriminant ideal and let  $\Delta = \Delta(\Lambda/K)$  be the discriminant of a basis of  $\Lambda/K$ . It was shown by Artin that the ideal  $(\mathfrak{D}/(\Delta))^{1/2}$  is an  $\mathfrak{o}$ -ideal lying in  $C_{\mathfrak{o}}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda))$ . (For proofs of the above remarks, see Artin [1] or Fröhlich [2] and [3].)

**DEFINITION.** If  $l$  is an odd prime, let  $d(l) = (l-1)/2$ , and let  $d(2) = 1$ . We define, for any integer  $n$ ,  $d(n) = \text{g.c.d. } \{d(l) \mid l \text{ is a prime divisor of } n\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Lambda/K$  be normal of degree  $n$ . Then  $C_{\mathfrak{o}}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda))$  is a  $d(n)$ th power in the ideal class group of  $\mathfrak{o}$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $n$  is even,  $d(n) = 1$  and the theorem is trivial. If  $n$  is odd,

---

Presented to the Society, April 23, 1966; received by the editors February 17, 1966.

the discriminant  $\Delta$  of any basis of  $\Lambda/K$  is a square in  $K$ , so  $C(\mathfrak{D})$  is the class of  $\mathfrak{D}^{1/2}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be any prime of  $\mathfrak{o}$  and suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{P}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{P}_\nu)^\epsilon$  where each prime  $\mathfrak{P}_j$  is of degree  $f$  over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $G_i$  ( $i=0, \dots, \nu$ ) be the ramification groups of  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ . Then, by the Hilbert formula,  $\mathfrak{D}$  is exactly divisible by  $\mathfrak{p}^r$  where  $r = fg \cdot \sum \{(\#(G_i) - 1) \mid i=0, \dots, \nu\}$ . Clearly  $2d(n) \mid (\#(G_i) - 1)$  for each  $i$ , so  $d(n) \mid (r/2)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $\zeta \in K$  where  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of 1. If  $\mathfrak{c}$  is any  $\mathfrak{o}$ -ideal class of  $K$ , there is a cyclic extension  $\Lambda/K$  of degree  $n$  with  $C_{\mathfrak{o}}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c}^{d(n)}$ . (In fact, there are infinitely many such extensions, and they may be chosen so that  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)/\mathfrak{o})$  is relatively prime to any preassigned  $\mathfrak{o}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $K$ .)*

The following is an immediate consequence.

**COROLLARY.** *(Same hypothesis.)  $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$  is a free  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module for every cyclic extension  $\Lambda/K$  of degree  $n$  if and only if  $d(n)$  is divisible by the exponent of the ideal class group of  $\mathfrak{o}$ .*

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** We prove the theorem first for the case  $n = l^r$  where  $l$  is a prime. If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is any ideal in  $\mathfrak{o}$ , there are infinitely many prime ideals in any ideal class mod  $\mathfrak{m}$ . (The ideal class group mod  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the quotient of the group of all  $\mathfrak{o}$ -ideals prime to  $\mathfrak{m}$  modulo the subgroup of principal ideals of form  $\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{o}$  where  $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ .)

First suppose  $l$  is odd. Let  $\mathfrak{c}$  be any ideal class and let  $t > 1$  be any integer such that  $\mathfrak{c}^t = \mathfrak{c}$ . (We may suppose  $t$  is odd.) Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be any prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{c}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid l$ . Choose distinct primes  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  in the same ideal class mod  $\mathfrak{m}$  as  $\mathfrak{p}$ , where we take  $\mathfrak{m} = (1 - \zeta)^{l^{2r}}$ . Then choose primes  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_t$  in the inverse ideal class of  $\mathfrak{p}$  mod  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then choose positive integers  $a_1, \dots, a_t$ , prime to  $l$ , such that  $\sum a_i = lt$ . (For example,  $a_i = l - 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq (t-1)/2$ ,  $a_i = l + 1$  for  $(t+1)/2 \leq i \leq t-1$ , and  $a_t = l + 2$ .) Then  $(\prod_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{p}_i^{a_i}) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{q}_i)^l = \mu \cdot \mathfrak{o}$ , a principal ideal where  $\mu \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . If  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(x) = X^l - \mu$ , then  $\Lambda = K(\alpha)$  is a cyclic extension of  $K$  of degree  $l^r$ . We show that  $C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c}^{(l-1)/2}$ . To do this, we must compute  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)/\mathfrak{o})$ .

First we show that no higher (i.e., wild) ramification occurs. For let  $l$  be a prime of  $K$  dividing  $l$ , and suppose  $l^\epsilon$  exactly divides  $(1 - \zeta)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a prime of  $\Lambda$  dividing  $l$ , say  $\mathfrak{L}^\epsilon$  exactly divides  $l$ . Now,  $1 - \mu = \prod_{\sigma} (1 - \sigma(\alpha))$  where  $\sigma$  runs over the Galois group  $G$  of  $\Lambda/K$ . Since  $1 - \mu$  is divisible by  $\mathfrak{m} = (1 - \zeta)^{l^{2r}}$ , at least one of the factors (which we may take to be  $(1 - \alpha)$ ) is divisible at least by  $\mathfrak{L}^{ab l^r}$ . But, for any  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma \neq 1$ , we have  $\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha = (\zeta^j - 1)\alpha$  for some  $0 < j < l^r$ . Since  $\mathfrak{L} \nmid \alpha$  and  $(\zeta^j - 1) \mid (\zeta^{l^r} - 1)$  which is exactly divisible by  $\mathfrak{L}^{ab l^{r-1}}$ , we have  $\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha$  divisible at most by  $\mathfrak{L}^{ab l^{r-1}}$ . Hence, in the  $\mathfrak{L}$ -adic

metric on  $\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha$  is closer to 1 than to any of its conjugates  $\sigma(\alpha)$ . Then, by Krasner's Lemma (see, e.g., [8, p. 82]), letting  $K^*$  and  $\Lambda^*$  denote the completions of  $K$  and  $\Lambda$  at  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , we have  $\Lambda^* = K^*(\alpha) \subseteq K^*(1) = K^*$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is unramified over  $K$  and, indeed, of degree 1 over  $K$ .

Since  $f'(\alpha) = l^r(\alpha)^{l^r-1}$ , the only possible divisors of  $\mathfrak{D}$  are the divisors of  $\mu$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  are completely ramified in  $\Lambda$  so that  $\mathfrak{D}$  is exactly divisible by  $\mathfrak{p}_i^{l^r-1}$ . On the other hand, it is easily seen that the inertial field for any prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq t$ ) is  $K((\mu)^{1/l}) = K(\alpha^{l^{-1}})$ . (To see this:  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is unramified in  $K((\mu)^{1/l})$ , for we can easily find  $\mu' = \beta^l \mu$  where  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  is prime to  $\mu'$  and  $K((\mu)^{1/l}) = K((\mu')^{1/l})$ . Also, clearly, the ramification index of any divisor of  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  in  $\Lambda$  is at least  $l^{r-1}$ , whence it is exactly  $l^{r-1}$ .) Thus,  $\mathfrak{D}$  is exactly divisible by  $\mathfrak{q}_j^{l^{r-1}-1} = \mathfrak{q}_j^{l^r-l}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}^{1/2} &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{p}_i \right)^{(l^r-1)/2} \left( \prod_{j=1}^t \mathfrak{q}_j \right)^{(l^r-1)/2} \sim \mathfrak{p}^{-t(l^r-1)/2} \mathfrak{p}^{t(l^r-1)/2} \\ &= \mathfrak{p}^{t(l-1)/2} \sim \mathfrak{p}^{(l-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here,  $\sim$  means "belongs to the same ideal class as.") Hence  $C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c}^{(l-1)/2}$ .

The case  $l=2$  is similar. Choose a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 2$  in the class  $\mathfrak{c}$ . Take primes  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_3$  in the same class mod  $m$  as  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and take  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  in the class of  $\mathfrak{p}^{-2}$  mod  $m$ , where  $m$  is a power of 2 large enough to avoid higher ramification. Then  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2^2 \mathfrak{p}_3^3 = (\mu)$  where  $\mu \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f(x) = x^{2^r} - \mu$  and consider  $\Lambda = K(\alpha)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_3)^{2^r-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{2^r-2}$ . Also,  $f'(\alpha) = 2^r \cdot \alpha^{2^r-1}$ , so if  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of the basis  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{2^r-1}$ , then  $(\Delta) = (2^r)^{2^r} (\mu)^{2^r-1} \mathfrak{o}$ . Hence  $((\mathfrak{D}/\Delta)^{1/2}) \sim \mathfrak{p}_3^{-(2^r-1)} \mathfrak{p}_2^{-2^r-1} \sim (\mathfrak{p}^{1-2^r}) \mathfrak{p}^{2^r} = \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2 for the case  $n = l^r$ .

Before proving the general case, we prove the following lemma. (This is well known, but it seems to be hard to find in print. Compare [4, p. 202] and [6, p. 72]).

LEMMA. Let  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  be linearly disjoint over  $K$  (i.e.  $\Lambda_1 \cdot \Lambda_2 \cong \Lambda_1 \otimes_K \Lambda_2$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{D}_i = \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_i)$ . If  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1/\mathfrak{o})$  and  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{o})$  are relatively prime, then the maximal  $\mathfrak{o}$ -order of  $\Lambda_1 \otimes_K \Lambda_2$  is  $\mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{D}_2$  and its discriminant over  $\mathfrak{o}$  is  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1/\mathfrak{o})^{[\Lambda_2:K]} \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{o})^{[\Lambda_1:K]}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{D}'$  be the maximal  $\mathfrak{o}$ -order of  $\Lambda_1 \otimes_K \Lambda_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{D}' \supseteq \mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{D}_2$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{D}_2) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_2) [\mathfrak{D}': \mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{D}_2]^2$  where the notation  $[M:N]$  denotes the module index (see Fröhlich [2], [3] and [4]). Also,

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{o}) = N_{\Lambda_i/K}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i)) \cdot \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_i/\mathfrak{o})^{[\Lambda_i:K]}$$

where the pair  $(i, j)$  is  $(1, 2)$  or  $(2, 1)$ .

Now, since  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i)$  divides  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{D}_i) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_j/0) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_i$ ,  $N_{\Lambda_i/K}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i))$  divides  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_i/0)^{[\Lambda_i/K]}$  and is, therefore, prime to  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_i/0)$ . Hence, from (1) we have  $N_{\Lambda_i/K}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i)) = (\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_j/0))^{[\Lambda_i/K]}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{D}_i)$  whence  $[\mathfrak{D}': \mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{D}_2] = (1)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}' = \mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{D}_2$ .

We next prove Theorem 2 in the general case. Let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^s l_i^{r_i}$  where the  $l_i$  are distinct primes. Let  $d = d(n)$ . For each  $i$ , let  $d(l_i) = d \cdot h_i$ . Then  $\text{g.c.d.} \{h_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} = 1$  and  $(h_i, l_i) = 1$ . Hence  $\text{g.c.d.} \{h_i n / l_i^{r_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} = 1$ . For, suppose to the contrary that the prime  $p$  is a common divisor. We may suppose  $p \nmid h_1$  whence  $p \mid (n/l_1^{r_1})$  so  $p = l_2$ , say. But then  $p \nmid (h_2 n / l_2^{r_2})$ .

Choose integers  $x_i$  such that  $\sum \{x_i h_i n / l_i^{r_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} = 1$ . Then  $d = \sum \{x_i d(l_i) n / l_i^{r_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ . Choose cyclic extensions  $\Lambda_i/K$  of degree  $l_i^{r_i}$  having  $C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_i)) = c^{x_i d(l_i)}$  and such that the  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_i)/0)$  are relatively prime in pairs. Then  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cdots \Lambda_s \cong \Lambda_1 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K \Lambda_s$  and the maximal order  $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda) \cong \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_s)$ . Hence (see Fröhlich [3, p. 32])

$$C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \prod \{C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_i))^{n/l_i^{r_i}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} = c^d.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, *Questions de base minimale dans la théorie des nombres algébriques*, Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, No. XXIV, pp. 19–20, Paris, 1950.
2. A. Fröhlich, *Discriminants of algebraic number fields*, Math. Z. **74** (1960), 18–28.
3. ———, *Ideals in an extension field as modules over the algebraic integers in a finite number field*, Math. Z. **74** (1960), 29–38.
4. ———, *Invariants for modules over commutative separable orders*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **16** (1965), 193–232.
5. H. B. Mann, *On integral bases*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 167–172.
6. ———, *Introduction to algebraic number theory*, The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, 1955.
7. L. R. McCulloh, *Integral bases in Kummer extensions of Dedekind fields*, Canad. J. Math. **15** (1963), 755–765.
8. E. Weiss, *Algebraic number theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS