

CYCLIC EXTENSIONS WITHOUT RELATIVE INTEGRAL BASES

LEON R. McCULLOH

Let K be an algebraic number field and \mathfrak{o} the ring of algebraic integers in K . If \mathfrak{o} is a principal ideal domain (p.i.d.) then any finite extension Λ/K has an integral basis over \mathfrak{o} (i.e., the ring of integers $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$ of Λ is a free \mathfrak{o} -module). The converse of this was shown by Mann [5]. More precisely, he proved that if \mathfrak{o} is not a p.i.d., there is a quadratic extension Λ/K which has no integral basis over \mathfrak{o} . Thus \mathfrak{o} is a p.i.d. if and only if every quadratic extension of K has an integral basis. One can also show ([7] or the corollary below) that if K contains a primitive cube root of 1, then \mathfrak{o} is a p.i.d. if and only if every cyclic extension of degree 3 has an integral basis. However, the analogous theorem with 3 replaced by a prime $p > 3$ is false.

The problem considered here is the following. Given a finite group G of order n and an algebraic number field K , consider all normal extensions Λ/K with Galois group isomorphic to G . What are the \mathfrak{o} -module types of the $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$ for these extensions? In particular, when are all the $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$ free? In Theorems 1 and 2 we answer these questions in the case that G is cyclic of order n and K contains the n th roots of unity.

A finitely generated torsion free \mathfrak{o} -module M of a given \mathfrak{o} -rank is characterized by its Steinitz class $C(M) = C_{\mathfrak{o}}(M)$ which is an \mathfrak{o} -ideal class of K . Specifically, $M \cong \mathfrak{o}^{(r-1)} \oplus J$ where r is the \mathfrak{o} -rank of M , $\mathfrak{o}^{(r-1)}$ is a free \mathfrak{o} -module of rank $r-1$, and J is any ideal in the class $C(M)$. If Λ/K is a finite extension, let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)/\mathfrak{o})$ be the discriminant ideal and let $\Delta = \Delta(\Lambda/K)$ be the discriminant of a basis of Λ/K . It was shown by Artin that the ideal $(\mathfrak{D}/(\Delta))^{1/2}$ is an \mathfrak{o} -ideal lying in $C_{\mathfrak{o}}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda))$. (For proofs of the above remarks, see Artin [1] or Fröhlich [2] and [3].)

DEFINITION. If l is an odd prime, let $d(l) = (l-1)/2$, and let $d(2) = 1$. We define, for any integer n , $d(n) = \text{g.c.d. } \{d(l) \mid l \text{ is a prime divisor of } n\}$.

THEOREM 1. *Let Λ/K be normal of degree n . Then $C_{\mathfrak{o}}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda))$ is a $d(n)$ th power in the ideal class group of \mathfrak{o} .*

PROOF. If n is even, $d(n) = 1$ and the theorem is trivial. If n is odd,

Presented to the Society, April 23, 1966; received by the editors February 17, 1966.

the discriminant Δ of any basis of Λ/K is a square in K , so $C(\mathfrak{D})$ is the class of $\mathfrak{D}^{1/2}$. Let \mathfrak{p} be any prime of \mathfrak{o} and suppose $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{P}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{P}_\nu)^\epsilon$ where each prime \mathfrak{P}_j is of degree f over \mathfrak{p} . Let G_i ($i=0, \dots, \nu$) be the ramification groups of \mathfrak{P}_1 . Then, by the Hilbert formula, \mathfrak{D} is exactly divisible by \mathfrak{p}^r where $r = fg \cdot \sum \{(\#(G_i) - 1) \mid i=0, \dots, \nu\}$. Clearly $2d(n) \mid (\#(G_i) - 1)$ for each i , so $d(n) \mid (r/2)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let n be a positive integer and let $\zeta \in K$ where ζ is a primitive n th root of 1. If \mathfrak{c} is any \mathfrak{o} -ideal class of K , there is a cyclic extension Λ/K of degree n with $C_{\mathfrak{o}}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c}^{d(n)}$. (In fact, there are infinitely many such extensions, and they may be chosen so that $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)/\mathfrak{o})$ is relatively prime to any preassigned \mathfrak{o} -ideal \mathfrak{b} of K .)*

The following is an immediate consequence.

COROLLARY. *(Same hypothesis.) $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$ is a free \mathfrak{o} -module for every cyclic extension Λ/K of degree n if and only if $d(n)$ is divisible by the exponent of the ideal class group of \mathfrak{o} .*

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We prove the theorem first for the case $n = l^r$ where l is a prime. If \mathfrak{m} is any ideal in \mathfrak{o} , there are infinitely many prime ideals in any ideal class mod \mathfrak{m} . (The ideal class group mod \mathfrak{m} is the quotient of the group of all \mathfrak{o} -ideals prime to \mathfrak{m} modulo the subgroup of principal ideals of form $\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{o}$ where $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$.)

First suppose l is odd. Let \mathfrak{c} be any ideal class and let $t > 1$ be any integer such that $\mathfrak{c}^t = \mathfrak{c}$. (We may suppose t is odd.) Let \mathfrak{p} be any prime ideal in \mathfrak{c} such that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid l$. Choose distinct primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$ in the same ideal class mod \mathfrak{m} as \mathfrak{p} , where we take $\mathfrak{m} = (1 - \zeta)^{l^{2r}}$. Then choose primes $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_t$ in the inverse ideal class of \mathfrak{p} mod \mathfrak{m} . Then choose positive integers a_1, \dots, a_t , prime to l , such that $\sum a_i = lt$. (For example, $a_i = l - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq (t-1)/2$, $a_i = l + 1$ for $(t+1)/2 \leq i \leq t-1$, and $a_t = l + 2$.) Then $(\prod_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{p}_i^{a_i}) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{q}_i)^l = \mu \cdot \mathfrak{o}$, a principal ideal where $\mu \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. If α is a root of $f(x) = X^l - \mu$, then $\Lambda = K(\alpha)$ is a cyclic extension of K of degree l^r . We show that $C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c}^{(l-1)/2}$. To do this, we must compute $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)/\mathfrak{o})$.

First we show that no higher (i.e., wild) ramification occurs. For let l be a prime of K dividing l , and suppose l^ϵ exactly divides $(1 - \zeta)$. Let \mathfrak{L} be a prime of Λ dividing l , say \mathfrak{L}^δ exactly divides l . Now, $1 - \mu = \prod_{\sigma} (1 - \sigma(\alpha))$ where σ runs over the Galois group G of Λ/K . Since $1 - \mu$ is divisible by $\mathfrak{m} = (1 - \zeta)^{l^{2r}}$, at least one of the factors (which we may take to be $(1 - \alpha)$) is divisible at least by $\mathfrak{L}^{ab l^r}$. But, for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma \neq 1$, we have $\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha = (\zeta^j - 1)\alpha$ for some $0 < j < l^r$. Since $\mathfrak{L} \nmid \alpha$ and $(\zeta^j - 1) \mid (\zeta^{l^r} - 1)$ which is exactly divisible by $\mathfrak{L}^{ab l^{r-1}}$, we have $\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha$ divisible at most by $\mathfrak{L}^{ab l^{r-1}}$. Hence, in the \mathfrak{L} -adic

metric on Λ , α is closer to 1 than to any of its conjugates $\sigma(\alpha)$. Then, by Krasner's Lemma (see, e.g., [8, p. 82]), letting K^* and Λ^* denote the completions of K and Λ at \mathfrak{Q} , we have $\Lambda^* = K^*(\alpha) \subseteq K^*(1) = K^*$. Hence, \mathfrak{Q} is unramified over K and, indeed, of degree 1 over K .

Since $f'(\alpha) = l^r(\alpha)^{l^r-1}$, the only possible divisors of \mathfrak{D} are the divisors of μ . Clearly $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$ are completely ramified in Λ so that \mathfrak{D} is exactly divisible by $\mathfrak{p}_i^{l^r-1}$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that the inertial field for any prime divisor of \mathfrak{q}_j ($1 \leq j \leq t$) is $K((\mu)^{1/l}) = K(\alpha^{l^{-1}})$. (To see this: \mathfrak{q}_i is unramified in $K((\mu)^{1/l})$, for we can easily find $\mu' = \beta^l \mu$ where \mathfrak{q}_j is prime to μ' and $K((\mu)^{1/l}) = K((\mu')^{1/l})$. Also, clearly, the ramification index of any divisor of \mathfrak{q}_j in Λ is at least l^{r-1} , whence it is exactly l^{r-1} .) Thus, \mathfrak{D} is exactly divisible by $\mathfrak{q}_j^{l^{r-1}-1} = \mathfrak{q}_j^{l^r-l}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}^{1/2} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{p}_i \right)^{(l^r-1)/2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^t \mathfrak{q}_j \right)^{(l^r-1)/2} \sim \mathfrak{p}^{-t(l^r-1)/2} \mathfrak{p}^{t(l^r-1)/2} \\ &= \mathfrak{p}^{t(l-1)/2} \sim \mathfrak{p}^{(l-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here, \sim means "belongs to the same ideal class as.") Hence $C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c}^{(l-1)/2}$.

The case $l=2$ is similar. Choose a prime $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 2$ in the class \mathfrak{c} . Take primes \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_3 in the same class mod \mathfrak{m} as \mathfrak{p} , and take \mathfrak{p}_2 in the class of \mathfrak{p}^{-2} mod \mathfrak{m} , where \mathfrak{m} is a power of 2 large enough to avoid higher ramification. Then $\mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2^2 \mathfrak{p}_3^3 = (\mu)$ where $\mu \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let α be a root of $f(x) = x^{2^r} - \mu$ and consider $\Lambda = K(\alpha)$. Then $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_3)^{2^r-1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{2^r-2}$. Also, $f'(\alpha) = 2^r \cdot \alpha^{2^r-1}$, so if Δ is the discriminant of the basis $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{2^r-1}$, then $(\Delta) = (2^r)^{2^r} (\mu)^{2^r-1} \mathfrak{o}$. Hence $((\mathfrak{D}/\Delta)^{1/2}) \sim \mathfrak{p}_3^{-(2^r-1)} \mathfrak{p}_2^{-2^r-1} \sim (\mathfrak{p}^{1-2^r}) \mathfrak{p}^{2^r} = \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \mathfrak{c}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2 for the case $n = l^r$.

Before proving the general case, we prove the following lemma. (This is well known, but it seems to be hard to find in print. Compare [4, p. 202] and [6, p. 72]).

LEMMA. Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be linearly disjoint over K (i.e. $\Lambda_1 \cdot \Lambda_2 \cong \Lambda_1 \otimes_K \Lambda_2$). Let $\mathfrak{D}_i = \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_i)$. If $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1/\mathfrak{o})$ and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{o})$ are relatively prime, then the maximal \mathfrak{o} -order of $\Lambda_1 \otimes_K \Lambda_2$ is $\mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{D}_2$ and its discriminant over \mathfrak{o} is $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1/\mathfrak{o})^{[\Lambda_2:K]} \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{o})^{[\Lambda_1:K]}$.

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{D}' be the maximal \mathfrak{o} -order of $\Lambda_1 \otimes_K \Lambda_2$. Then $\mathfrak{D}' \supseteq \mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{D}_2$, and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{D}_2) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_2) [\mathfrak{D}': \mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{D}_2]^2$ where the notation $[M:N]$ denotes the module index (see Fröhlich [2], [3] and [4]). Also,

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{o}) = N_{\Lambda_i/K}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i)) \cdot \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_i/\mathfrak{o})^{[\Lambda_i:K]}$$

where the pair (i, j) is $(1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$.

Now, since $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i)$ divides $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{D}_i) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_j/0) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_i$, $N_{\Lambda_i/K}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i))$ divides $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_i/0)^{[\Lambda_i/K]}$ and is, therefore, prime to $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_i/0)$. Hence, from (1) we have $N_{\Lambda_i/K}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i)) = (\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_j/0))^{[\Lambda_i/K]}$ and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}'/\mathfrak{D}_i) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{D}_2/\mathfrak{D}_i)$ whence $[\mathfrak{D}': \mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{D}_2] = (1)$ and $\mathfrak{D}' = \mathfrak{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{D}_2$.

We next prove Theorem 2 in the general case. Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^s l_i^{r_i}$ where the l_i are distinct primes. Let $d = d(n)$. For each i , let $d(l_i) = d \cdot h_i$. Then $\text{g.c.d.} \{h_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} = 1$ and $(h_i, l_i) = 1$. Hence $\text{g.c.d.} \{h_i n / l_i^{r_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} = 1$. For, suppose to the contrary that the prime p is a common divisor. We may suppose $p \nmid h_1$ whence $p \mid (n/l_1^{r_1})$ so $p = l_2$, say. But then $p \nmid (h_2 n / l_2^{r_2})$.

Choose integers x_i such that $\sum \{x_i h_i n / l_i^{r_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} = 1$. Then $d = \sum \{x_i d(l_i) n / l_i^{r_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$. Choose cyclic extensions Λ_i/K of degree $l_i^{r_i}$ having $C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_i)) = c^{x_i d(l_i)}$ and such that the $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_i)/0)$ are relatively prime in pairs. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cdots \Lambda_s \cong \Lambda_1 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K \Lambda_s$ and the maximal order $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda) \cong \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_s)$. Hence (see Fröhlich [3, p. 32])

$$C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)) = \prod \{C(\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda_i))^{n/l_i^{r_i}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} = c^d.$$

REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, *Questions de base minimale dans la théorie des nombres algébriques*, Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, No. XXIV, pp. 19–20, Paris, 1950.
2. A. Fröhlich, *Discriminants of algebraic number fields*, Math. Z. **74** (1960), 18–28.
3. ———, *Ideals in an extension field as modules over the algebraic integers in a finite number field*, Math. Z. **74** (1960), 29–38.
4. ———, *Invariants for modules over commutative separable orders*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **16** (1965), 193–232.
5. H. B. Mann, *On integral bases*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 167–172.
6. ———, *Introduction to algebraic number theory*, The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, 1955.
7. L. R. McCulloh, *Integral bases in Kummer extensions of Dedekind fields*, Canad. J. Math. **15** (1963), 755–765.
8. E. Weiss, *Algebraic number theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS