

# SUMS OF COUNTABLE PRIMARY GROUPS

PAUL HILL

This note contains, in particular, a short proof of the celebrated result of G. Kolettis which states that a group  $G$  is determined by its Ulm invariants if  $G$  is the direct sum of countable, reduced,  $p$ -primary groups [1]. All groups considered are commutative.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that  $G = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$  and  $H = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$  are decompositions of  $G$  and  $H$  into direct sums of countable, reduced,  $p$ -groups. If  $G$  and  $H$  have the same Ulm invariants, then there exists a partition of  $\Lambda$  into countable subsets  $\Lambda_\mu$ ,  $\mu \in M$ , such that  $G_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\mu} A_\lambda$  is isomorphic to  $H_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\mu} B_\lambda$  for each  $\mu \in M$ .*

**PROOF.** The theorem is vacuous if  $\Lambda$  is countable, so assume that  $\Lambda$  is uncountable and let  $\Omega$  be the smallest ordinal having the cardinality of the set  $\Lambda$ . Let  $G[p] = \sum_{i \in I} \{x_i\}$ . Since  $G$  and  $H$  are direct sums of countable groups and have the same Ulm invariants, there is<sup>1</sup> a height-preserving isomorphism  $\pi$  from  $G[p]$  onto  $H[p]$ —an element of  $G$  is said to have height  $\alpha$  if it is contained in  $p^\alpha G$  but not in  $p^{\alpha+1}G$ . Thus corresponding to the decomposition  $G[p] = \sum_{i \in I} \{x_i\}$  is the decomposition  $H[p] = \sum_{i \in I} \{y_i\}$  where  $y_i = \pi(x_i)$ . Since  $|G[p]| = |G| = |\Lambda| = |\Omega|$ , the index set  $I$  can be taken as the initial segment of ordinals less than  $\Omega$ .

Suppose that  $\gamma < \Omega$  and that for each  $\beta < \gamma$  we have shown the existence of a subset  $S_\beta$  of  $\Lambda$  and a subset  $I_\beta$  of  $I$  such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1)  $\sum_{\lambda \in S_\beta} A_\lambda[p] = \sum_{i \in I_\beta} \{x_i\}$  and  $\sum_{\lambda \in S_\beta} B_\lambda[p] = \sum_{i \in I_\beta} \{y_i\}$ .
- (2)  $|S_\beta| = |I_\beta| \leq \aleph_0 |\beta|$ .
- (3)  $\alpha \in I_\beta$  if  $\alpha < \beta$ .
- (4)  $S_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} S_\alpha$  and  $I_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} I_\alpha$  if  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal.
- (5)  $S_\alpha \subseteq S_\beta$  and  $I_\alpha \subseteq I_\beta$  if  $\alpha < \beta$ .

If  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal, we define  $S_\gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} S_\alpha$  and  $I_\gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} I_\alpha$  and observe that the conditions (1)–(5) remain valid for  $\beta \leq \gamma$ .

Received by the editors March 28, 1966.

<sup>1</sup> If  $G$  is a countable, reduced, primary group, then  $G[p]$  can be decomposed as  $G[p] = \sum S_\alpha$  where the elements of  $S_\alpha$  have height  $\alpha$ . The result then easily extends to direct sums of countable groups.

Suppose that  $\gamma$  is not a limit ordinal. There is a minimal subset  $S_{\gamma,1}$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\{\sum_{S_{\gamma-1}} A_\gamma, x_{\gamma-1}\} \subseteq \sum_{S_{\gamma,1}} A_\lambda$  and  $\{\sum_{S_{\gamma-1}} B_\lambda, y_{\gamma-1}\} \subseteq \sum_{S_{\gamma,1}} B_\lambda$ . There is a minimal subset  $I_{\gamma,1}$  of  $I$  such that  $\sum_{S_{\gamma,1}} A_\lambda[p] \subseteq \sum_{I_{\gamma,1}} \{x_i\}$  and  $\sum_{S_{\gamma,1}} B_\lambda[p] \subseteq \sum_{I_{\gamma,1}} \{y_i\}$ . Then there is a minimal set  $S_{\gamma,2}$  ( $\supseteq S_{\gamma,1}$ ) of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\sum_{I_{\gamma,1}} \{x_i\} \subseteq \sum_{S_{\gamma,2}} A_\lambda$  and  $\sum_{I_{\gamma,1}} \{y_i\} \subseteq \sum_{S_{\gamma,2}} B_\lambda$ . Continuing in this way, we obtain ascending sequences  $S_{\gamma,n}$  and  $I_{\gamma,n}$  such that

$$\sum_{S_{\gamma,n}} A_\lambda[p] \subseteq \sum_{I_{\gamma,n}} \{x_i\} \subseteq \sum_{S_{\gamma,n+1}} A_\lambda[p]$$

and

$$\sum_{S_{\gamma,n}} B_\lambda[p] \subseteq \sum_{I_{\gamma,n}} \{y_i\} \subseteq \sum_{S_{\gamma,n+1}} B_\lambda[p].$$

Note that  $|S_{\gamma,n}| \leq \aleph_0 |\gamma|$  for each positive integer  $n$ . Set  $S_\gamma = \cup S_{\gamma,n}$  and  $I_\gamma = \cup I_{\gamma,n}$ . Then conditions (1)–(5) hold for  $\beta \leq \gamma$ . Obviously we can use the scheme described above to show the existence of countably infinite sets  $S_1$  and  $I_1$  which satisfy conditions (1) and (2) when  $\beta = 1$ ; hence there exist, for each  $\beta < \Omega$ , a subset  $S_\beta$  of  $\Lambda$  and a subset  $I_\beta$  of  $I$  satisfying conditions (1)–(5). Condition (3) implies that  $I = \cup_{\beta < \Omega} I_\beta$  and condition (1) implies that  $\Lambda = \cup_{\beta < \Omega} S_\beta$ .

Define  $\Lambda_0 = S_1$  and  $\Lambda_\beta = S_{\beta+1} - S_\beta$  for  $1 \leq \beta < \Omega$ . We know that  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} A_\lambda$  and  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} B_\lambda$  have the same Ulm invariants, for (the restriction of)  $\pi$  is a height-preserving isomorphism between their socles  $\sum_{i \in I_1} \{x_i\}$  and  $\sum_{i \in I_1} \{y_i\}$ . We wish to show that  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta} A_\lambda$  and  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta} B_\lambda$  have the same Ulm invariants. Since

$$\sum_{S_{\beta+1}} A_\lambda = \sum_{S_\beta} A_\lambda + \sum_{\Lambda_\beta} A_\lambda$$

and

$$\sum_{S_{\beta+1}} B_\lambda = \sum_{S_\beta} B_\lambda + \sum_{\Lambda_\beta} B_\lambda$$

and since the height-preserving isomorphism  $\pi$  from  $\sum_{S_{\beta+1}} A_\lambda[p]$  onto  $\sum_{S_{\beta+1}} B_\lambda[p]$  maps  $\sum_{S_\beta} A_\lambda[p]$  onto  $\sum_{S_\beta} B_\lambda[p]$ , the composition mapping  $\phi\pi$  is a height-preserving isomorphism from  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta} A_\lambda[p]$  onto  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta} B_\lambda[p]$  where  $\phi$  is the projection of  $\sum_{S_{\beta+1}} B_\lambda$  onto  $\sum_{\Lambda_\beta} B_\lambda$ . Thus  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta} A_\lambda$  and  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta} B_\lambda$  have the same Ulm invariants.

Since  $|\Lambda_\beta| \leq \aleph_0 |\beta| < |\Lambda|$  for each  $\beta < \Omega$ , the theorem now follows by induction on  $|\Lambda|$ .

REFERENCE

1. G. Kolettis, *Direct sums of countable groups*, Duke Math. J. **27** (1960), 111–125.