

ON THE PERMANENT AND MAXIMAL CHARACTERISTIC ROOT OF A NONNEGATIVE MATRIX

RICHARD A. BRUALDI¹

One of the many theorems that M. Marcus and M. Newman prove in [3] is, when it is restricted to nonnegative matrices, that: *if A is an $n \times n$ positive semidefinite symmetric irreducible nonnegative matrix, then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\text{per}(A^m))^{1/m} = r^n$$

where r denotes the maximal (positive) characteristic root of A . Here $\text{per}(A^m)$ denotes the permanent of A^m . We assume that the reader is familiar with the terminology and results of the classical Perron-Frobenius-Wielandt theory of nonnegative matrices, the requisite parts of which can be found in [1, Chapter XIII].

The purpose of this note is to prove the following extension of the result quoted above.

THEOREM. *Let A be an $n \times n$ nonnegative irreducible matrix and suppose A has a nonzero permanent. Let r be the maximal characteristic root of A . Then*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\text{per}(A^m))^{1/m} = r^n.$$

We first prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let B be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix with maximal characteristic root ρ . Then*

$$\text{per}(B) \leq \rho^n.$$

PROOF.² First assume A is positive (or irreducible). Then ρ is positive and has an associated characteristic vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, all of whose coordinates are positive. From $Bx = \rho x$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}x_j = \rho x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

and hence

Received by the editors March 18, 1966.

¹ The research of the author was partially supported by NSF grant no. GP-3993.

² This is a slight variation of a proof originally shown the author by Morris Newman.

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} x_j \right) = \rho^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

This may be written as

$$\text{per } (B) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i + \beta = \rho^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$$

where $\beta \geq 0$ and the result follows. The complete lemma now follows by continuity.

LEMMA 2. *Let A be an $n \times n$ nonnegative primitive matrix with maximal characteristic root r . Then (1) holds.*

PROOF. If m is a positive integer, then using Lemma 1 and the fact that A^m is nonnegative, we may write

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^{(m)} \leq \text{per } (A^m) \leq (r^m)^n$$

where $a_{ij}^{(m)}$ denotes the (i, j) entry of A^m . Thus we have

$$(2) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n (a_{ii}^{(m)})^{1/m} \leq \text{per } (A^m)^{1/m} \leq r^n.$$

But it is well known (see [2, p. 128] or [1, p. 81]) that for a primitive matrix A ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (a_{ij}^{(m)})^{1/m} = r.$$

Taking limits in (2), we obtain (1).

PROOF OF THEOREM. Let h denote the index of imprimitivity of A , that is, the number of characteristic roots of A of modulus r . Then [1, p. 81–82] there is a permutation matrix P such that

$$P^T A^h P = \text{diag } (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_h)$$

where each $A_i, 1 \leq i \leq h$, is an $n_i \times n_i$ primitive matrix with maximal characteristic root r^h . Observe that if k is a positive integer, then

$$(P^T A^h P)^k = P^T A^{hk} P = \text{diag } (A_1^k, A_2^k, \dots, A_h^k).$$

Since the permanent of a matrix is invariant under permutations, we have

$$(\text{per } (A^{hk}))^{1/hk} = [(\text{per } (A_1^k))^{1/k} \cdot \dots \cdot (\text{per } (A_h^k))^{1/k}]^{1/h}.$$

Since each A_i is a primitive matrix, it follows by Lemma 2, that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\text{per}(A^{hk}))^{1/hk} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^h (r^h)^{n_i} \right)^{1/h} = r^n.$$

Now let m be an arbitrary positive integer and write

$$m = hp_m + q_m; \quad p_m \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq q_m < h.$$

Then

$$A^m = A^{hp_m} A^{q_m}$$

where $A^{q_m} = I$ if $q_m = 0$. Thus since all matrices are nonnegative

$$\text{per}(A^m) \geq \text{per}(A^{hp_m}) \text{per}(A^{q_m}).$$

From (3) it follows immediately that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\text{per}(A^{hp_m}))^{1/hp_m} = r^n.$$

But then using (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\ln(\text{per}(A^{hp_m}))^{1/hp_m} - \ln(\text{per}(A^{hp_m})^{1/m})) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_m}{m} \ln(\text{per}(A^{hp_m}))^{1/hp_m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\text{per}(A^{hp_m}))^{1/hp_m}}{(\text{per}(A^{hp_m})^{1/m})} = 1.$$

Now (4) and (5) together imply

$$(6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\text{per}(A^{hp_m}))^{1/m} = r^n.$$

Now by assumption $\text{per}(A) > 0$. If $\text{per}(A) \geq 1$, then

$$\text{per}(A^{q_m}) \geq (\text{per}(A))^{q_m} \geq 1, \quad \text{for all } m;$$

while if $\text{per}(A) < 1$, then

$$\text{per}(A^{q_m}) \geq (\text{per}(A))^{q_m} \geq \text{per}(A)^{h-1}, \quad \text{for all } m.$$

If $r \geq 1$, then by Lemma 1

$$\text{per}(A^{q_m}) \leq (r^n)^{q_m} \leq r^{n(h-1)}, \quad \text{for all } m;$$

while if $r \leq 1$, then

$$\text{per}(A^{qm}) \leq (r^n)^{qm} \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } m.$$

Thus in any case there are *positive* constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1 \leq \text{per}(A^{qm}) \leq c_2$$

for all positive integers m . From this it now follows that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\text{per}(A^{qm}))^{1/m} = 1.$$

Passing to the limit in the inequality

$$(\text{per}(A^{hpm}))^{1/m} (\text{per}(A^{qm}))^{1/m} \leq (\text{per}(A^m))^{1/m} \leq r^n,$$

and using (6) and (7), we obtain the desired result.

The condition that A have a nonzero permanent cannot in general be omitted. The irreducible matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

has the property that $\text{per}(A^k) = 0$ for k odd and $\text{per}(A^k) > 0$ for k even. It is easy to verify that A has maximal characteristic root $2^{1/2}$ and index of imprimitivity 2. It follows from (3) that if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\text{per}(A^m))^{1/m}$ exists, it must be $2^{3/2}$. Hence the limit does not even exist.

Finally we remark that our theorem does constitute an extension of the result of Marcus and Newman mentioned in the beginning. For, if A is a positive semidefinite symmetric irreducible nonnegative matrix, then the entries on the main diagonal of A must be positive. Otherwise A has a zero row and thus is not irreducible. Hence the permanent of such a matrix is positive.

REFERENCES

1. F. R. Gantmacher, *The theory of matrices*, Vol. II, Chelsea, New York, 1959.
2. M. Marcus and H. Minc, *A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1964.
3. M. Marcus and M. Newman, *Inequalities for the permanent function*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 47-62.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN