

POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS ANALYTIC IN A DISK¹

J. T. SCHEICK

Introduction. We consider the set H of functions analytic in the open unit disk and put $\|f\|_r = \sup \{ |f(z)| : |z| < r \}$, $0 < r \leq 1$. Let $H_M = \{f \in H : \|f\|_1 \leq M\}$, $M > 0$. P_n ($n \geq 0$) is the set of polynomials of degree not exceeding n while $P_{-1} = \{0\}$. The quantity

$$E_n(f, r) = \inf \{ \|f - p_n\|_r : p_n \in P_n \} \quad (0 < r \leq 1, n \geq -1)$$

is called the *degree of approximation of f by polynomials of degree not exceeding n in $|z| < r$* . For $A \subset H$,

$$E_n(A, r) = \sup \{ E_n(f, r) : f \in A \}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1,$$

is the degree of approximation of the class A . The object of this note is to determine $E_n(A, r)$ for many classes A . For the class $B^{(p)} = \{f \in H : f^{(p)} \in H_1\}$, $p = 1, 2, 3 \dots$. Babenko [1] has obtained

$$(1) \quad E_{n-1}(B^{(p)}, r) = \frac{r^n}{n(n-1) \cdots (n-p+1)}, \quad n \geq p, 0 < r \leq 1.$$

This result cannot be called completely unexpected, since there are earlier theorems in approximation theory which relate a bounded p th derivative of f to $E_n(f)$ by a formula similar to (1) (compare Favard's theorem).

We propose a new approach, based on Babenko's, yet simpler, and more general. It is close to some classical proofs, in particular to the proof of Favard's theorem in [2]. Babenko's theorem is extended to nonintegral p , another differential operator is considered, and many other classes yield up their degrees of approximation. The main theorem explains how to estimate $E_n(f, r)$ by a suitable factorization of the Taylor coefficients of f .

We will work with the product in H :

$$(2) \quad h * g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \quad \text{where} \quad h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \\ h, g \in H.$$

Then also $h * g \in H$. Put

Received by the editors February 14, 1966.

¹ This work has been supported in part by OSR, U. S. Air Force and Aerospace Research under contract no. AF 49(638)-1401.

$$(3) \quad A * g = \{f: f = h * g, h \in A\}.$$

The aim is to compute the degree of approximation $E_{n-1}(H_1 * g, r)$ for certain g . Since $E_{n-1}(f, r) = E_{n-1}(f + p_{n-1}, r)$ for each $p_{n-1} \in P_{n-1}$, we may assume $g(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ when convenient. The sequence $(a_k)_{k \geq n}$, where n is a nonnegative integer will be called *positive* when the following series converges and

$$(4) \quad G(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2}a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n+k} r^k \cos k\theta \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < 1, \theta \text{ real.}$$

In this case, $g(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ belongs to H .

The main result.

THEOREM. *Let $(a_k)_{k \geq n}$ be positive and $f = g * h$ with $h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in H_1$ and $g(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k$. Then, for each $0 < R \leq 1$, we have*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|f + p_{n-1}\|_R \leq a_n R^n, \\ & p_{n-1}(z) = p_{n-1}(R, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k a_{2n-k} R^2 (n - k) z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Further, $f_0(z) = a_n z^n$ is extremal:

$$f_0 \in H_1 * g \quad \text{and} \quad E_{n-1}(f_0, R) = a_n R^n.$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad E_{n-1}(H_1 * g, R) = a_n R^n.$$

For the proof we need the following

LEMMA. *Let $(A_k)_{k \geq n}$ be a positive sequence for which $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |A_k| < +\infty$. Then*

$$(7) \quad A_n = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{-ik\theta} \right| d\theta : \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} |A_k| < +\infty \right\}.$$

To prove this, put

$$\Phi(\theta) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{-ik\theta}.$$

Then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi| d\theta \geq \left| \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} \Phi(\theta) d\theta \right| = 2\pi A_n$$

using the absolute convergence. On the other hand, selecting

$A_k = A_{2n-k}$, $k \leq n-1$, we have $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} |A_k| < \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_0(\theta) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{-ik\theta} = 2e^{-in\theta} \left(\frac{1}{2} A_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n+k} \cos k\theta \right) \\ &= 2e^{-in\theta} G(\theta, 1)\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi_0| d\theta = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} G(\theta, 1) d\theta = 2\pi A_n.$$

Turning to the proof of the theorem, let $|z| = R < \rho < 1$. We write the product (2) as an integral

$$f(z) = h * g(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=\rho} h(t) g(z/t) t^{-1} dt.$$

If $r = R/\rho$ and

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} |\xi_k| r^{|k|} < \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}f(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \xi_k b_k z^k \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=\rho} h(t) \left[\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \xi_k \left(\frac{z}{t}\right)^{-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_k \left(\frac{z}{t}\right)^k + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \left(\frac{z}{t}\right)^k \right] \frac{dt}{t}\end{aligned}$$

due to the absolute convergence of the series (8) and the analyticity of h . Now letting $z/t = re^{-i\theta}$, and taking absolute values, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left| f(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_k b_k z^k \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi| d\theta, \quad \Phi(\theta) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{-ik\theta}, \\ A_k &= a_k r^k, \quad k \geq n, \quad A_k = \xi_k r^{|k|}, \quad k \leq n-1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$E_{n-1}(f, R) \leq \left\| f + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_k b_k u^k \right\|_R \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi| d\theta$$

($u^k(z) = z^k$) for all such Φ . According to the lemma, we can minimize the right side by the choice $\xi_k r^{|k|} = a_{2n-k} r^{2n-k}$, $k \leq n-1$, to obtain

$$E_{n-1}(f, R) \leq \left\| f + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{2n-k} b_k r^{2(n-k)} u^k \right\|_R \leq a_n r^n$$

for each $\rho, R < \rho < 1$. Now letting $\rho \rightarrow 1$, we get the first part of the theorem. Since $f_0 = u^n * g, u^n \in H_1$, we have $f_0 \in H_1 * g$. From Rouché's theorem we conclude $\|f_0 + p_{n-1}\|_R \leq a_n R^n$ for all $p_{n-1} \in P_{n-1}$, so that $E_{n-1}(f_0, R) = a_n R^n$.

Applications. First some remarks on positive sequences $\alpha_k = a_{n+k}, k = 0, 1, \dots$. It is known (Zygmund [3, Vol. 2, p. 150]) that $\alpha = (\alpha_k)$ is positive if and only if there exists an increasing function F_α , defined and bounded on $[0, 2\pi]$ with

$$\alpha_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos k\theta dF_\alpha(\theta), \quad 0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin k\theta dF_\alpha(\theta), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is customary to extend F_α to all of the reals by $F_\alpha(\theta + 2\pi) = F_\alpha(\theta) + F_\alpha(2\pi) - F_\alpha(0)$. Let us say F_α generates α . When α and β are positive, the sequences $c\alpha = (c\alpha_k) (c \geq 0), \alpha + \beta = (\alpha_k + \beta_k), \alpha * \beta = (\alpha_k \beta_k)$ are again positive. In the latter case, $\alpha * \beta$ is generated by

$$F_\gamma(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F_\alpha(\theta - t) dF_\beta(t), \quad \gamma = \alpha * \beta.$$

(See Zygmund [3, Vol. 1, p. 38].) For example, if $(a_k)_{k \geq n}$ and $(b_k)_{k \geq n}$ are positive and $g(z) = \sum_{k=n}^\infty a_k z^k, h(z) = \sum_{k=n}^\infty b_k z^k$, we have

$$E_{n-1}(H_1 * g * h, R) = a_n b_n R^n, \quad 0 < R \leq 1.$$

Simple conditions that α be positive are

$$(9) \quad \alpha_k \geq 0, \Delta\alpha_k = \alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k \leq 0, \Delta^2\alpha_k = \Delta(\Delta\alpha_k) \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

To see this, one applies partial summation twice to the series in (4) to obtain the inequality (4). Note that if α and β satisfy (9) then so do $c\alpha (c \geq 0), \alpha + \beta, \alpha * \beta$, and $(\alpha_{k+p})_{k \geq 0} (p \geq 0)$. Examples of sequences satisfying (9) are moment sequences $\alpha_k = \int_0^1 t^k dq(t)$ with q increasing and bounded in $[0, 1]$. We have immediately

PROPOSITION 1. *If $g(z) = \sum_{k=p}^\infty a_k z^k$ and $(a_{k+p})_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies (9), then*

$$(10) \quad E_{n-1}(H_1 * g, R) = a_n R^n, \quad 0 < R \leq 1, n \geq p.$$

Now we can state an extension of Babenko's theorem which is contained in (10). If p is a nonnegative real number we may define the p th derivative of $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k z^k (f \in H)$ by the equation

$$(11) \quad z^p f^{(p)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k-p+1)} c_k z^k$$

where z^p is real for real z and $1/\Gamma(-j) = 0$ if $j = 0, 1, \dots$. Let

$B^{(p)} = \{f \in H : |f^{(p)}(z)| \leq 1 \text{ for } |z| < 1\}$. Then we have the

COROLLARY. *For each $p \geq 0$ and $n \geq [p]$,*

$$(12) \quad E_{n-1}(B^{(p)}, R) = \frac{\Gamma(n - p + 1)}{\Gamma(k + 1)} R^n, \quad 0 < R \leq 1.$$

PROOF. We have $f \in B^{(p)}$ if and only if $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{[p]-1} c_k z^k + h * g(z)$ with $h(z) = z^p f^{(p)}(z) \in H_1$ and $g(z) = \sum_{k=[p]}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, where $a_k = [\Gamma(k - p + 1) \cdot \Gamma(k + 1)^{-1}]$. One verifies immediately that the sequence $(a_k)_{k \geq p}$ satisfies (9).

We can give another interpretation of our main result by means of differential operators. Let T be the operator uD , that is,

$$(13) \quad Tf(z) = zf'(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k c_k z^k \quad \text{if } f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$$

If $\psi(w)$ is a function defined for $w = n, n + 1, \dots$, where n is a non-negative integer, and if

$$(14) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\psi(k)|^{1/k} \leq 1,$$

we define the differential operator $\psi(T)$ from H into H by

$$(15) \quad \psi(T)f(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \psi(k) c_k z^k \quad \text{if } f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k.$$

That this definition is reasonable can be seen from the following example. Let $\psi(w)$ be an entire function of order not exceeding one and of minimal type if the order is one. Then $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |d_j| k^j)^{1/k} \leq 1$, where $\psi(w) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j w^j$. (See Hille [4, Vol. 2, p. 183].) It follows that

$$\psi(T)f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(k) c_k z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j k^j c_k z^k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j T^j f(z),$$

the change in the order of summation being possible by the absolute convergence of the double series. The series on the right is the definition of $\psi(T)f$ to be found in Hille [4, Vol. 2, p. 48].⁶ Let $S_{\psi} = \{f \in H : \psi(T)f \in H_1\}$ where $\psi(T)f$ is defined by (15). Now we can state

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\psi(w)$ be defined and nonzero for $w = n, n + 1, \dots$ and satisfy (14). Then if $(1/\psi(k))_{k \geq n}$ is a positive sequence, we have*

$$(16) \quad E_{n-1}(S_{\psi}, R) = R^n / \psi(n), \quad 0 < R \leq 1.$$

PROOF. We have $S = H_1 * g$, where $g(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \psi(k)^{-1} z^k$, and (16) follows from (6).

As a special case of Proposition 2 we state

PROPOSITION 3. *Formula (16) holds if $\psi(x)$ is defined for $x \geq n$, satisfies (14) and also*

$$(17) \quad \psi(x) > 0, \psi'(x) \geq 0, 2\psi'(x)^2 \geq \psi(x)\psi''(x), \quad x \geq n.$$

PROOF. Condition (17) means that $1/\psi(x)$ is positive, increasing, and convex in $x \geq n$. Hence $a_k = 1/\psi(k)$, $k \geq n$, satisfies (9).

For example, if $\psi(x) = x^p$ ($p \geq 0$) or $\psi(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + x/r_k)$ where $r_k > 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k^{-1} < +\infty$, then $\psi(x)$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3 (see Hille [4, Vol. 2, p. 195]). So does $\psi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m d_j x^j$, if the d_j are real and $d_m > 0$, for $x \geq n$, when n is sufficiently large.

REFERENCES

1. K. I. Babenko, *On the best approximation of a class of analytic functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **22** (1958), 631-640.
2. G. G. Lorentz, *Approximation of functions*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
3. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, London, 1959.
4. E. Hille, *Analytic function theory*, Ginn, New York, 1962.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY