

A NEW PROOF OF A THEOREM OF P. ERDÖS

ATTILA MÁTÉ

The real valued number-theoretic function¹ $f(n)$ is said to be additive if $f(ab) = f(a) + f(b)$ for $(a, b) = 1$ ² and $f(n)$ is completely additive if $f(ab) = f(a) + f(b)$ for any two natural numbers a and b . P. Erdős has proved in [1] the following

THEOREM 1. *For an additive real valued number-theoretic function $f(n)$, $f(n) = c \log n$ is valid with a suitable constant c if one of the following two conditions holds:*

(i) $\lim (f(n+1) - f(n)) = 0$,³

(ii) $f(n)$ is monotone e.g. $f(n+1) \geq f(n)$ for all positive integers n .

The proof of this theorem in [1] is not simple. J. Lambek and L. Moser [2] gave in 1953 a simple proof of Theorem 1 with condition (ii). Later on, A. Rényi [4] simplified the proof when condition (i) holds. In the year preceding Rényi's article, P. Erdős [3, p. 48] stated the following generalization of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(n)$ be an additive real valued number-theoretic function. If $\liminf (f(n+1) - f(n)) \geq 0$, then $f(n) = c \log n$ with a suitable constant c .*

This theorem means that if $f(n) = c \log n$ does not hold for any constant c then $f(n+1) - f(n)$ has both positive and negative (finite or infinite) limit points.⁴

Since P. Erdős has not published his proof for Theorem 2, we give here a simple proof for the theorem.⁵

NOTATIONS. We denote by n, k, t natural numbers, by r integers ≥ 0 , by ϵ an arbitrary positive quantity and by $c(n, \epsilon)$ a quantity which depends only on n and ϵ .

Let $H(\epsilon)$ be the set of the natural numbers n for which $f(n+1) - f(n) < -\epsilon$. If $\liminf (f(n+1) - f(n)) \geq 0$, the set $H(\epsilon)$ is finite. Put $c(\epsilon) = -\sum_{n \in H(\epsilon)} (f(n+1) - f(n))$.

To prove the theorem we first prove some lemmas.

Received by the editors October 29, 1964.

¹ I.e., a function defined for all positive integers.

² (a, b) denotes the greatest common divisor of a and b .

³ If this condition holds, then the conclusion is true also for complex valued $f(n)$.

⁴ Apply Theorem 2 for $f(n)$ and for $-f(n)$ which is also additive.

⁵ P. Erdős and A. Rényi have recently shown that Theorem 3 can be proved by the method of proof given in [4]. Their proof will be published in their forthcoming book on additive and multiplicative number-theoretic functions.

LEMMA 1. Let S be an arbitrary finite set of natural numbers with r elements. Then

$$\sum_{n \in S} (f(n+1) - f(n)) \geq -r\epsilon - c(\epsilon).$$

PROOF. The lemma follows trivially from the definition of $c(\epsilon)$.

LEMMA 2.

$$(A) \quad f(n^k) - kf(n) \geq c_1(n, \epsilon) - kn\epsilon,$$

$$(B) \quad f(n^k) - kf(n) \leq c_2(n, \epsilon) + kn\epsilon.$$

PROOF. (A) By Lemma 1 a trivial calculation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n^k) - kf(n) &= (f(n^k) - f(n^k - 1)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=2}^k (f(n^r - 1) - f(n^{r-1} - 1) - f(n)) + (f(n - 1) - f(n)) \\ &= (f(n^k) - f(n^k - 1)) + \sum_{r=2}^k (f(n^r - 1) - f(n^r - n)) + (f(n - 1) - f(n)) \\ &\geq -kn\epsilon - c(\epsilon) + f(n - 1) - f(n) = c_1(n, \epsilon) - kn\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

(B) In the same way we get by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} f(n^k) - kf(n) &= (f(n^k) - f(n^k + 1)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=2}^k (f(n^r + 1) - f(n^{r-1} + 1) - f(n)) + (f(n + 1) - f(n)) \\ &= (f(n^k) - f(n^k + 1)) + \sum_{r=2}^k (f(n^r + 1) - f(n^r + n)) + (f(n + 1) - f(n)) \\ &\geq kn\epsilon + c(\epsilon) + f(n + 1) - f(n) = c_2(n, \epsilon) + kn\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

COROLLARY 2.1.

$$(A) \quad f(n^t) = tf(n),$$

(B) $f(n)$ is completely additive.

PROOF. The statement (B) is a trivial following of the statement (A) hence we prove here only (A).

By Lemma 2

$$|f(n^k) - kf(n)| \leq c_3(n, \epsilon) + kn\epsilon,$$

i.e., we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \frac{f(n^k)}{k} - f(n) \right| \leq n\epsilon \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \frac{f(n^k)}{k} - f(n) \right| = 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(n^k)}{k} = f(n).$$

Having applied this result we get

$$f(n^t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(n^{tk})}{k} = t \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(n^k)}{k} = tf(n). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

LEMMA 3. Let $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}$. Then

$$(A) \quad f(n) \geq -k\epsilon - c(\epsilon) + kf(2),$$

$$(B) \quad f(n) \leq (k+1)\epsilon + c(\epsilon) + (k+1)f(2).$$

PROOF. (A) Let $x_0 = n$. Furthermore, if x_r has been defined, let x'_r be the even of the numbers x_r and $x_r - 1$ and let $x_{r+1} = x'_r/2$. It is easy to see that $x_k = 1$. Moreover since $f(x_k) = f(1) = 0$ for each additive function (we apply Corollary 2.1 (B) and Lemma 1),

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= f(x_0) - f(x_k) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (f(x_r) - f(x'_r)) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (f(x'_r) - f(x_{r+1})) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (f(x_r) - f(x'_r)) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} f(2) \geq -k\epsilon - c(\epsilon) + kf(2). \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

(B) Let $y_0 = n$. Furthermore, if y_r has been defined let y'_r be the even of the numbers y_r and $y_r + 1$ and let $y_{r+1} = y'_r/2$. Then $y_{k+1} = 1$. Moreover since $f(y_{k+1}) = 0$ (we apply Corollary 2.1 (B) and Lemma 1):

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= f(y_0) - f(y_{k+1}) = \sum_{r=0}^k (f(y_r) - f(y'_r)) + \sum_{r=0}^k (f(y'_r) - f(y_{r+1})) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^k (f(y_r) - f(y'_r)) + \sum_{r=0}^k f(2) \leq (k+1)\epsilon + c(\epsilon) + (k+1)f(2). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 3.1. $|f(n) - f(2) \log_2 n| < 2\epsilon \log_2 n + c(\epsilon) + 2|f(2)|$.

PROOF. Lemma 3 shows that $|f(n) - kf(2)| < 2k\epsilon + c(\epsilon) \leq |f(2)|$. Since $k \leq \log_2 n < k+1$ we get the statement of the corollary.

Now we can prove Theorem 2 as follows.

Replacing n by n^t in Corollary 3.1 and dividing by t we obtain (having applied also the statement of Corollary 2.1 (A))

$$|f(n) - f(2) \log_2 n| < 2\epsilon \log_2 n + \frac{c(\epsilon) + 2|f(2)|}{t}.$$

If $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we get

$$|f(n) - f(2) \log_2 n| \leq 2\epsilon \log_2 n \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0$$

which shows that $f(n) = f(2) \log_2 n$. The theorem is proved.

RELATED PROBLEMS.⁶ Here we list some unsolved problems.

Let $f(n+1) - f(n)$ be bounded. Does it follow that $f(n) = c \log n + g(n)$ wherein $g(n)$ is bounded?

Assume that $f(n+1) - f(n) \rightarrow 0$ except for the n -s which form a sequence of density 0. Does it follow that $f(n) = c \log n$? What can be asserted if $f(n+1) \geq f(n)$ or $\liminf f(n+1) - f(n) \geq 0$ after neglecting a sequence of density 0?

Assume that

$$\sum_{r=1}^n |f(r+1) - f(r)| = o(n).$$

Does it follow that $f(n) = c \log n$?

REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős, *On the distribution function of additive functions*, Ann. of Math. **47** (1946), 1–20.
2. L. Moser and J. Lambek, *On monotone multiplicative functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 544–545.
3. P. Erdős, *On the distribution function of additive arithmetical functions and on some related problems*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **27** (1958), 45–49.
4. A. Rényi, *On a theorem of P. Erdős and its application in information theory*, Mathematica (Cluj) (24) **1** (1959) 341–344.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SZEGED, HUNGARY

⁶ These problems are due to P. Erdős and I published them with his permission.