

ON THE STABILITY OF MIDPOINT SMOOTHING WITH LEGENDRE POLYNOMIALS

WILLIAM F. TRENCH

1. **Introduction.** Let f be square integrable on $[-1, 1]$, and let p be the least squares polynomial fit to f of degree not exceeding $2k+1$. It is known, [1], that

$$(1) \quad p(0) = \int_{-1}^1 w(x)f(x)dx,$$

where

$$w(x) = \frac{(k + \frac{1}{2})P_{2k}(0)P_{2k+1}(x)}{x},$$

P_{2k} and P_{2k+1} being the Legendre polynomials. Let

$$(2) \quad C(\theta) = \int_{-1}^1 w(x) \cos x\theta dx.$$

The principal result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. $|C(\theta)| < 1$ if $\theta \neq 0$, and $C(0) = 1$.

Wilf [1] has previously shown that there exists an integer k_0 such that Theorem 1 holds if $k \geq k_0$, and has conjectured that $k_0 = 0$. The present proof, which is different from Wilf's, establishes the theorem for all $k \geq 0$.

If a weighting function w has a transform (2) which satisfies the inequality of Theorem 1, it is said to be stable, for reasons previously discussed by Schoenberg and DeForest, as described in [1] and its references. In addition, if one thinks of $w(x)$, $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, as the weighting function of a filter with input

$$f(x) = S(x) + N(x),$$

where S is a polynomial of degree not greater than $2k+1$, and N is a zero mean stationary random process with spectral density $\psi(\theta)$, then the output of the filter is

$$(3) \quad \int_{-1}^1 w(y)f(x-y)dy = S(x) + M(x),$$

Presented to the Society, March 3, 1966; received by the editors April 4, 1966.

where M is a zero mean process with spectral density $C^2(\theta)\psi(\theta)$. Thus, the theorem guarantees that the filter (3) decreases the spectral density of the noise at every frequency.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *There is a rational function of the form*

$$(4) \quad E(\theta) = 1 - \theta^{2k+2} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{2k+1} q_r \theta^{4k-2r+2} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{r=0}^k p_r \theta^{2k-2r} \right)$$

which interpolates $C^2(\theta)$ at the critical points of $C(\theta)$. That is, if $C'(\phi) = 0$, then $E(\phi) = C^2(\phi)$.

PROOF. Repeated integration by parts of (2) yields

$$(5) \quad C(\theta) = A(\theta) \cos \theta + B(\theta) \sin \theta,$$

with

$$A(\theta) = \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r-1} \gamma_{2r-1} / \theta^{2r}, \quad B(\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \gamma_{2r} / \theta^{2r+1},$$

where $\gamma_r = 2w^{(r)}(1)$. Differentiating (5) yields

$$(6) \quad C'(\theta) = (A'(\theta) + B(\theta)) \cos \theta + (B'(\theta) - A(\theta)) \sin \theta.$$

If we solve (5) and (6) for $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$, square, and add the results, we are led to

$$(7) \quad D^2 = QC^2 + (A^2 + B^2)(C')^2 - 2(AA' + BB')CC',$$

where

$$(8) \quad D = A(B' - A) - B(A' + B),$$

and

$$(9) \quad Q = (B' - A)^2 + (A' + B)^2.$$

Define

$$(10) \quad E = D^2/Q.$$

From (7), $E(\phi) = C^2(\phi)$ if $C'(\phi) = 0$. To see that E has the form (4), consider the result of expanding both sides of (7) in powers of θ . It is known, [2], that

$$C(\theta) = 1 + \theta^{2k+2}L(\theta),$$

where L is regular at $\theta = 0$. (This is easily established by expanding

(2) in powers of θ and integrating term by term, making use of the fact that if f in (1) is a polynomial of degree not greater than $2k+1$, then $p(0)=f(0)$.) Consequently $C'(\theta)=\theta^{2k+1}L_1(\theta)$, where L_1 is regular at $\theta=0$. Let

$$(11) \quad R = Q - D^2$$

and write (7) as

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} -R &= QL\theta^{2k+2}(2 + \theta^{2k+2}L) + (A^2 + B^2)(C')^2 \\ &\quad - 2(AA' + BB')CC'. \end{aligned}$$

R contains only negative powers of θ , and the largest power of $1/\theta$ appearing on the right of (12) is $2k+2$. Hence, R can be written

$$(13) \quad R(\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^k p_r \theta^{-2r-2}.$$

Since Q is of the form

$$(14) \quad Q(\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^{2k+1} q_r \theta^{-2r-2},$$

and $E=1-R/Q$, (4) now follows.

Also, we observe that D is actually of the form

$$(15) \quad D(\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^k d_r \theta^{-2r-2}.$$

This is used below, but it is not obvious from (8), where it appears that D could contain powers of $1/\theta$ as high as $4k+2$. However, from (11) and the fact that Q is of degree only $4k+4$ in $1/\theta$, the presence of higher degree terms in D would contradict (14).

LEMMA 2. $0 \leq E(\theta) < 1$ if $\theta \neq 0$.

PROOF. $E \geq 0$ from (10), since $Q \geq 0$. To establish the inequalities of the lemma, it is sufficient to show that $R > 0$, since $E=1-R/Q$. We will show that the coefficients p_0, \dots, p_k in (13) are all positive. In this proof, some routine (although not always trivial) manipulations are omitted. Some of the relations are most easily established as special cases of identities which appear in the Appendix.

Define

$$\lambda_r = \gamma_r + r\gamma_{r-1}, \quad \gamma_{-1} = 0.$$

Then

$$B'(\theta) - A(\theta) = - \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \lambda_{2r+1} \theta^{-2r-2},$$

and

$$A'(\theta) + B(\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \lambda_{2r} \theta^{-2r-1}.$$

From (8) and (15),

$$(16) \quad d_r = (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{s=0}^{2r} (-1)^s \gamma_s \lambda_{2r-s} \quad 0 \leq r \leq k.$$

From (9) and (14),

$$(17) \quad q_r = (-1)^r \sum_{s=0}^{2r} (-1)^s \lambda_s \lambda_{2r-s} \quad 0 \leq r \leq 2k+1,$$

and from (11) and (13),

$$p_0 = q_0,$$

and

$$(18) \quad p_r = q_r - \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} d_s d_{r-s-1}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

Let $w(x) = w_0 + w_1 x^2 + \dots + w_k x^{2k}$. Since

$$\int_{-1}^1 w(x) x^{2i} dx = \delta_{0i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (2i + 2j + 1)^{-1} w_j = \delta_{0i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k.$$

The matrix of this system is essentially a Hilbert matrix. Its inverse has general element a_{ij} given by

$$a_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k}{j} (k+i+\frac{1}{2})^{(k+1)} (k+j+\frac{1}{2})^{(k+1)}}{(k!)^2 (i+j+\frac{1}{2})}$$

where $(x)^{(r)}$ is the factorial polynomial. The polynomial w is easily obtained, and its derivatives at $x=1$ evaluated to yield

$$(19) \quad \gamma_r = \alpha \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} \binom{k+s+\frac{1}{2}}{k} (2s)^{(r)};$$

$$(20) \quad \lambda_r = \alpha \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} \binom{k+s+\frac{1}{2}}{k} (2s+1)^{(r)},$$

where

$$\alpha = 2(k + \frac{1}{2})^{(k+1)}/k! = 2^{-2k}(2k+1)!/(k!)^2.$$

The quantity λ_r can be written in closed form as

$$(21) \quad \lambda_r = (-1)^k \frac{\alpha (2k+r+1)^{(2r)}}{2^r r!},$$

as is shown in the Appendix. To find q_r , substitute this in (17).

$$q_r = (-1)^r (\alpha^2/2^{2r}(2r)!) H_r(2k+1),$$

where $H_r(x)$ is defined by (A-1). (Equations designated by A- are in the Appendix.) Substituting $x=2k+1$ in (A-2) yields

$$(22) \quad q_r = 2^{-2r} \alpha^2 \binom{2r}{r} (2k+r+1)^{(2r)}.$$

In particular, since $p_0=q_0$, p_0 is clearly positive. Hence, we need only show that p_1, \dots, p_k are positive. To express d_r , (16), in closed form, we use (19), (20), and the identity

$$(2s)^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{r+j} \binom{r}{j} (2s+1)^{(j)}$$

to find that

$$\gamma_r = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{r+j} \binom{r}{j} \lambda_j.$$

Substituting this in (16) yields

$$(23) \quad d_r = (-1)^{r+1} \alpha^2 F(2k+1),$$

where $F(x)$ is defined by (A-3). This can be written in closed form (see Appendix) as

$$(24) \quad d_r = (-1)^{r+1} 2^{2r} \alpha^2 (k)^{(r)} (-k - \frac{3}{2})^{(r)}.$$

Substituting this in (18) yields

$$(25) \quad p_r = q_r - 2^{2r-2} \alpha^4 \eta_{r-1}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 (26) \quad \eta_r &= (-1)^r \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{r}{s}^{(s)} \left(-k - \frac{3}{2}\right)^{(s)} \left(-k - \frac{3}{2}\right)^{(r-s)} \binom{r}{k}^{(r-s)} \\
 &= (-1)^r k! \binom{r}{k}^{(k-r)} \psi_r \left(-k - \frac{3}{2}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(27) \quad \psi_r(x) = \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{r}{s} \frac{(x)^{(s)}(x)^{(r-s)}}{\binom{r-s}{k-s}^{(k-r)} \binom{r-s}{k-r+s}^{(k-r)}}.$$

The expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
 (28) \quad \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{k-r+s}^{(k-r)} \binom{r-s}{k-s}^{(k-r)}} &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-r} \frac{\binom{2k-2r-j-1}{k-r-1}}{\binom{2k-r}{2k-2r-j}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{(s+j)^{(j)}} + \frac{1}{(r-s+j)^{(j)}} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

derived in the Appendix, is useful here. Substituting it in (27), we find that

$$(29) \quad \psi_r(x) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-r} \frac{\binom{2k-2r-j-1}{k-r-1}}{\binom{2k-r}{2k-2r-j}} \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{r}{s} \frac{(x)^{(s)}(x)^{(r-s)}}{(s+j)^{(j)}}.$$

Now rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
 (30) \quad &\sum_{s=0}^r \binom{r}{s} \frac{(x)^{(s)}(x)^{(r-s)}}{(s+j)^{(j)}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(x+j)^{(j)}(r+j)^{(j)}} \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{r+j}{s+j} (x+j)^{(s+j)}(x)^{(r-s)} \\
 &= \frac{(2x+j)^{(r+j)}}{(x+j)^{(j)}(r+j)^{(j)}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{(x+j)^{(j)}(r+j)^{(j)}} \sum_{s=j+1}^r \binom{r+j}{s} (x+j)^{(s)}(x)^{(r+j-s)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (29), we find that

$$(31) \quad \psi_r(x) = \Gamma_r(x) + \xi_r(x),$$

where

$$\Gamma_r(x) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-r-1} \frac{\binom{2k-2r-j-2}{k-r-1}}{(2k-r)^{(2k-2r-j-1)}} \cdot \frac{(2x+j+1)^{(r+j+1)}}{(r+j+1)^{(j+1)}(x+j+1)^{(j+1)}}.$$

We have no interest in ξ_r , except to note that

$$(32) \quad (-1)^{r+1} \xi_r(-k - \frac{3}{2}) > 0,$$

which can be seen by inspection of the last member on the right of (30). After some manipulation, Γ_r can be written

$$(33) \quad \Gamma_r(x) = \frac{2(2x+1)^{(r+1)}}{(x+k-r)^{(k-r)}(2k-r)^{(2k-2r)}} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k-r-1} \binom{2k-2r-j-2}{k-r-1} (2x+j+1)^{(j)} (x+k-r)^{(k-r-j-1)}.$$

The sum can be written in closed form by referring to (A-6) with $m = k - r - 1$, and reversing the order of summation. The result is

$$(34) \quad \Gamma_r(x) = \frac{2^{k-r} (2x+1)^{(r+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{k-r-1} (2x+2j+1)}{(x+k-r)^{(k-r)} (2k-r)^{(2k-2r)}}.$$

From (26), (31), and (32),

$$\eta_r < (-1)^r k! (k)^{(k-r)} \Gamma_r(-k - \frac{3}{2}).$$

Hence, from (25), with r replaced by $r+1$,

$$p_{r+1} > q_{r+1} - (-1)^r 2^{2r} \alpha^4 k! (k)^{(k-r)} \Gamma_r(-k - \frac{3}{2}),$$

if $0 \leq r = k - 1$. By routine manipulations, using this and (22), the right side can be shown to vanish. This completes the proof of Lemma 2, and Theorem 1 is now evident.

3. Appendix. a. Derivation of (21). We indicate the proof for even r . Write

$$(2s+1)^{(2m)} = 2^{2m} (s + \frac{1}{2})^{(m)} (s)^{(m)}$$

and substitute in (20) to obtain

$$\lambda_{2m} = 2^{2m} \alpha (k+m)^{(2m)} \sum_{s=m}^k (-1)^s \binom{k-m}{s-m} \binom{k+q+\frac{1}{2}}{k+m}.$$

The sum can be recognized as the $(k-m)$ th difference of $C_{x,m+k}$ at $x = k + m + \frac{1}{2}$, except for the factor $(-1)^k$. Hence

$$\lambda_{2m} = (-1)^k 2^{2m} \alpha(k+m)^{(2m)} \binom{k+m+\frac{1}{2}}{2m},$$

which can be reduced to (21), with $r=2m$, by observing that

$$(k+m)^{(2m)} (k+m+\frac{1}{2})^{(2m)} = 2^{-4m} (2k+m+1)^{(4m)}.$$

b. *Derivation of (22).* Define

$$(A-1) \quad H_r(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{2r} (-1)^s \binom{2r}{s} (x+s)^{(2s)} (x+2r-s)^{(4r-2s)}.$$

If we expand $(x+s)^{(2s)} (x+2r-s)^{(4r-2s)}$ in powers of x , it is easy to verify that the coefficient of x^{4r-m} is a polynomial in s of degree not greater than m , so that its $2r$ th difference vanishes if $0 \leq m \leq 2r-1$. Thus, the degree of H_r does not exceed $2r$. Each term on the right of (A-1) has $(x+r)^{(2r)}$ as a factor. Hence

$$(A-2) \quad H_r(x) = (-1)^r \binom{2r}{r} (2r)! (x+r)^{(2r)},$$

where the constant factor is obtained by setting $x=r$ in (A-1).

c. *Derivation of (24).* Consider

$$(A-3) \quad F(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{2r} \frac{2^{-m}}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} (2r-m+j)^{(2r-m)} (x+j)^{(2j)} \\ \cdot (x+m-j)^{(2m-2j)}.$$

Since $d_r=0$ if $r > k$, (see remark following Lemma 1), we conclude from (23) that $F(2k+1)=0$ if $0 \leq k < r$, from which we infer that $F(x)=0$ if $x=1, 3, \dots, 2r-1$. Furthermore, it can be shown that $F(-x-1)=F(x)$, by substituting $-x-1$ for x in (A-3), replacing j by $m-j$ in the first summation, and using the identity $(y)^{(n)} = (-1)^n (-y+n-1)^{(n)}$. Hence, F also has the roots $x=-2, -4, \dots, -2r$. Furthermore, F is actually a polynomial of degree $2r$, (see the remark above concerning the degree of H_r), and $F(0)=(2r)!$. Hence

$$F(x) = (-1)^r (x-1) \cdots (x-2r+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+2r).$$

To obtain (24), set $x=2k+1$.

d. *Derivation of (28).* We start by establishing the identity

$$(A-4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+i}{i} (y-i)^{(m-i)} [(x+m+1)^{(i)}(y-x)^{(m+1)} + (x+m+1)^{(m+1)}(y-x)^{(i)}] = (y+m+1)^{(2m+1)}.$$

Consider the left side to be G , a polynomial of degree $2m+1$ in x . It is straightforward to show that

$$(A-5) \quad G(y-j) = (y+m+1)^{(2m+1)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m.$$

Since both sides of (A-4) are unchanged if x is replaced by $y-x-m-1$, we can infer that (A-4) holds for $2m+2$ distinct values of x , and is an identity. Equation (28) can be obtained by dividing (A-4) by

$$(y+m+1)^{(2m+1)}(x+m+1)^{(m+1)}(y-x)^{(m+1)},$$

setting $x=s$, $y=k$, $m=k-r-1$, and then replacing i by $j=k-r-i$.

e. *Derivation of (34)*. Set $y=2x+m+1$ in (A-4) to obtain

$$(A-6) \quad \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+i}{m} (2x+m-i+1)^{(m-i)}(x+m+1)^{(i)} = 2^m \prod_{j=1}^m (2x+2j+1).$$

To obtain (34), we must evaluate the sum in (33), which can be done by setting $m=k-r-1$ in (A-6), and reversing the order of summation.

4. Added in proof. Lorch and Szego [3] have discovered and corrected in error in [1]. They have also proved Theorem 1 for all $k \geq 0$, by a method different from that presented here [4].

Greville [5] has considered the analogous problem in the theory of smoothing discrete data.

REFERENCES

1. H. S. Wilf, *The stability of smoothing by least squares*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 933-937.
2. I. J. Schoenberg, *On smoothing operations and their generating functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1953), 199-230.
3. L. Lorch and P. Szego, *A Bessel function inequality connected with the stability of least square smoothing*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 330-332.
4. Private correspondence.
5. T. N. E. Greville, *On the stability of linear smoothing formulas*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. Ser. B. Numer. Anal. **3** (1966), 157-170.

DREXEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY