

## ON THE CLOSURE OF THE NUMERICAL RANGE OF AN OPERATOR

S. K. BERBERIAN AND G. H. ORLAND

If  $T$  is a bounded linear mapping (briefly, operator) in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , the *numerical range* of  $T$  is the set  $W(T) = \{(Tx, x) : \|x\| = 1\}$ ; thus  $W(T)$  is convex [8, p. 131], and its closure  $\text{cl}[W(T)]$  is compact and convex. Roughly speaking, in this note we observe that  $\text{cl}[W(T)]$  can be uniquely defined for an element  $T$  of an abstract  $C^*$ -algebra, while  $W(T)$  cannot. The  $C^*$ -algebra setting yields an extension of the spectral convexity theorem [8, p. 327] to nonnormal operators (Corollary 1 of Theorem 3), as well as a reformulation of a theorem of C. Berger (Corollary 2 of Theorem 3).

**1. An algebraic characterization of the closure of the numerical range.** Let  $T$  be an operator in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Our objective in this section is to describe  $\text{cl}[W(T)]$  in algebraic terms; since  $\text{cl}[W(T)]$  is the intersection of all closed half planes  $H$  containing it, it is sufficient to describe those  $H$  that contain  $W(T)$  (see Theorem 1). We write  $\text{Re } \lambda$  for the real part of a complex number  $\lambda$ ; analogously we define  $\text{Re } T = \frac{1}{2}(T^* + T)$ .

LEMMA 1.  $\text{Re } T \geq 0$  if and only if  $(T - \alpha I)^*(T - \alpha I) \geq \alpha^2 I$  for all  $\alpha < 0$ .

PROOF. For any real number  $\alpha$ ,

$$(T - \alpha I)^*(T - \alpha I) - \alpha^2 I = T^*T - \alpha(T^* + T).$$

If the left side of this equation is  $\geq 0$  for all  $\alpha < 0$ , then for all  $\alpha < 0$  we have  $\alpha(T^* + T) \leq T^*T$ ,  $T^* + T \geq (T^*T)/\alpha$ , and  $T^* + T \geq 0$  results on letting  $\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$ ; thus  $\text{Re } T \geq 0$ . If, conversely,  $\text{Re } T \geq 0$ , then for any  $\alpha < 0$  we have  $T^* + T \geq 0 \geq (T^*T)/\alpha$ , and the steps of the above argument may be reversed.

LEMMA 2. Let  $H_0 = \{\lambda : \text{Re } \lambda \geq 0\}$ . Then  $W(T) \subset H_0$  if and only if  $(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) \geq (\text{Re } \lambda)^2 I$  for all  $\lambda$  not in  $H_0$ .

PROOF. Since  $((\text{Re } T)x, x) = \text{Re}(Tx, x)$ , clearly  $W(T) \subset H_0$  if and only if  $\text{Re } T \geq 0$ . If  $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  real, then  $T - \lambda I = (T - i\beta I) - \alpha I$ , and  $\text{Re } T = \text{Re}(T - i\beta I)$ . Fixing any real  $\beta$ , by Lemma 1 we have  $(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) \geq \alpha^2 I$  for all  $\alpha < 0$  if and only if  $\text{Re}(T - i\beta I) \geq 0$ , that is,  $\text{Re } T \geq 0$ .

If  $H$  is a set of complex numbers, we write

---

Received by the editors June 7, 1966.

$$\text{dist}(\lambda, H) = \inf\{|\lambda - \mu| : \mu \in H\}.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H$  be a closed half plane of the complex plane. Then  $W(T) \subset H$  if and only if  $(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) \geq [\text{dist}(\lambda, H)]^2 I$  for all complex numbers  $\lambda$ .*

**PROOF.** Obviously we need consider only  $\lambda$  not in  $H$ . The case  $H = H_0$  is covered by Lemma 2. In general, we have  $f(H) = H_0$  for a suitable linear function  $f(\lambda) \equiv \mu\lambda + \tau$  with  $|\mu| = 1$ . Let  $S = f(T) = \mu T + \tau I$ . Evidently  $W(S) = f[W(T)]$ , thus  $W(T) \subset H$  if and only if  $W(S) \subset H_0$ . Also  $S - f(\lambda)I = \mu(T - \lambda I)$ , hence  $(S - f(\lambda)I)^*(S - f(\lambda)I) = (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I)$ . Finally,  $\text{dist}(f(\lambda), H_0) = \text{dist}(f(\lambda), f(H)) = \text{dist}(\lambda, H)$ . Thus the general case follows on applying the special case  $H = H_0$  to the operator  $S$ .

Writing  $\sigma(T)$  for the spectrum of  $T$ , we may reformulate Theorem 1 in terms of resolvents:

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $H$  be a closed half plane of the complex plane. Then  $W(T) \subset H$  if and only if*

- (i)  $\sigma(T) \subset H$ , and
- (ii)  $\|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}\| \leq 1/\text{dist}(\lambda, H)$  for all  $\lambda$  not in  $H$ .

**PROOF.** If  $W(T) \subset H$ , then  $\sigma(T) \subset \text{cl}[W(T)] \subset H$  (cf. [2, Lemma 1]), and so (i) holds. On the other hand if  $\lambda$  is not in  $\sigma(T)$  or in  $H$ , the inequality in (ii) is equivalent to

$$\text{dist}(\lambda, H) \|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}y\| \leq \|y\|$$

for all vectors  $y$ , that is,

$$\text{dist}(\lambda, H) \|x\| \leq \|(T - \lambda I)x\|$$

for all vectors  $x$ , that is,

$$(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) \geq [\text{dist}(\lambda, H)]^2 I.$$

The corollary now follows at once from Theorem 1.

**2.  $C^*$ -algebras.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with unity 1 [4, p. 6]. By the Gel'fand-Naïmark theorem there exists a faithful  $*$ -representation  $a \rightarrow T_a$  of  $A$  as operators on a suitable Hilbert space, with  $T_1 = I$  [4, p. 39; 6, p. 244]. If  $a \in A$ , we define the *closed numerical range* of  $a$ , denoted  $\overline{W}(a)$ , to be the set  $\text{cl}[W(T_a)]$ ; the definition is justified by the following theorem:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with unity, and suppose we are given faithful  $*$ -representations  $a \rightarrow T_a$  and  $a \rightarrow S_a$ , as operators on Hilbert spaces  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{K}$ , respectively, such that  $T_1 = I$  and  $S_1 = I$ . Then  $\text{cl}[W(T_a)] = \text{cl}[W(S_a)]$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ .*

PROOF. The systems  $\{T_a: a \in A\}$  and  $\{S_a: a \in A\}$  are  $C^*$ -algebras of operators [4, p. 16], and  $T_a \rightarrow S_a$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of one onto the other; in particular,  $T_a \rightarrow S_a$  preserves positivity [4, pp. 8 and 12]; [7, §§104 and 118]. Fix  $a$  in  $A$ . If  $H$  is a closed half plane, it is clear from Theorem 1 that  $\text{cl}[W(T_a)] \subset H$  if and only if  $\text{cl}[W(S_a)] \subset H$ . Since a closed convex set is the intersection of the closed half planes that contain it, the theorem is proved.

Let us write  $\Sigma$  for the set of all normalized states of  $A$ , that is, the set of all linear forms  $f$  on  $A$  such that  $f(1) = 1$  and  $f(a^*a) \geq 0$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ ; then  $\Sigma$  is a convex subset of the dual space of  $A$ , and is compact in the weak\* topology (cf. [4, p. 37]; [6, p. 222, Lemma 4.6.2]). For any  $a$  in  $A$  we write  $\Sigma(a) = \{f(a): f \in \Sigma\}$ . Since the mapping  $f \rightarrow f(a)$  is linear and weak\* continuous,  $\Sigma(a)$  is compact and convex; indeed, we have the following intrinsic characterization of  $\overline{W}(a)$ :

THEOREM 3. *If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with unity, and  $\Sigma$  is the set of all normalized states of  $A$ , then*

$$(1) \quad \Sigma(a) = \overline{W}(a)$$

for every  $a$  in  $A$ .

PROOF. Each  $f$  in  $\Sigma$  leads to a canonical  $*$ -representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}_f$ , and by the Gel'fand-Naïmark theorem the direct sum of these representations is a faithful  $*$ -representation  $a \rightarrow T_a$  of  $A$  as operators on the direct sum  $\mathcal{K}$  of the Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{K}_f$  [4, p. 39]; [6, p. 197]. Fix  $a$  in  $A$ . It is obvious from the construction that  $f(a) \in W(T_a)$  for each  $f$  in  $\Sigma$ , thus  $\Sigma(a) \subset W(T_a)$ . On the other hand if  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$ , then the formula  $f(b) = (T_b x, x)$  defines a normalized state of  $A$ , and  $(T_a x, x) = f(a) \in \Sigma(a)$ ; varying  $x$  we have  $W(T_a) \subset \Sigma(a)$ , and therefore  $\Sigma(a) = W(T_a)$ . In particular, for each  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $W(T_a)$  is closed and therefore coincides with  $\overline{W}(a)$ . (This precludes an invariant definition of "numerical range" in the  $C^*$ -algebra setting; the numerical range can be closed in one representation and not closed in another.)

Denote the set of extremal points of  $\Sigma$  by  $\Sigma_e$ ; these are precisely the normalized pure states of  $A$ , that is, the elements of  $\Sigma$  for which the canonical  $*$ -representation of  $A$  is irreducible [4, p. 37]; [6, p. 223]. For any  $a$  in  $A$  we write  $\Sigma_e(a) = \{f(a): f \in \Sigma_e\}$ . By the Kreĭn-Mil'man theorem,  $\Sigma$  is the weak\* closure of the convex hull of  $\Sigma_e$ ; it follows readily that for each  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $\Sigma(a)$  is the closure of the convex hull of  $\Sigma_e(a)$ . Citing formula (1) we have

COROLLARY 1. *If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with unity, and  $\Sigma_e$  is the set of all normalized pure states of  $A$ , then*

$$(2) \quad \text{cl}[\text{conv } \Sigma_\epsilon(a)] = \overline{W}(a)$$

for every  $a$  in  $A$ .

If, in particular,  $A$  is commutative (and so every  $a$  in  $A$  is normal), then  $\Sigma_\epsilon$  coincides with the set of characters of  $A$  [4, p. 23, B3]; [6, p. 229] and one has  $\Sigma_\epsilon(a) = \sigma(a)$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ , where  $\sigma(a)$  denotes the spectrum of  $a$ . Since the convex hull of  $\sigma(a)$  is closed (cf. [2]) formula (2) yields

$$\text{conv } \sigma(a) = \overline{W}(a)$$

for all  $a$  in  $A$ ; thus formula (2) may be viewed as a noncommutative extension of the classical spectral convexity theorem for normal operators [8, p. 327]; [2].

**COROLLARY 2.** *With notations as in Theorem 3, define  $\omega(a) = \sup \{ |f(a)| : f \in \Sigma \}$ . Then  $\omega(a^n) \leq (\omega(a))^n$  for all positive integers  $n$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $T$  is any operator, write  $\omega(T) = \sup \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in W(T) \}$ . By a theorem of C. Berger [3],  $\omega(T^n) \leq (\omega(T))^n$  for all  $n$ , and the corollary follows at once from Theorem 3. In particular, if  $a$  is in the polar set of  $\Sigma$  (that is, if  $\omega(a) \leq 1$ ), then so is  $a^n$ ; Berger's result is thus seen to be equivalent to the assertion that the polar set of  $\Sigma$  is closed under the formation of powers.

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $f$  is any normalized state on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$  of all operators in  $\mathfrak{H}$ , then for each  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$  there exists a sequence (depending on  $T$ ) of unit vectors  $x_n$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $f(T) = \lim(Tx_n, x_n)$ .*

**PROOF.** Applying Theorem 3 to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ , we have  $f(T) \in \overline{W}(T)$  by formula (1); but  $\overline{W}(T) = \text{cl}[W(T)]$  since the identity representation may be employed in the definition of  $\overline{W}(T)$ . We remark that the proof of Theorem 3 yields a canonical faithful  $*$ -representation  $T \rightarrow T^\sharp$  of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$  on a suitable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{K}$ , in such a way that  $W(T^\sharp)$  is closed for every  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ , indeed,  $W(T^\sharp) = \text{cl}[W(T)]$ . We now present a highly noncanonical representation of this sort.

**3. Approximate proper vectors.** With the notations of [1], let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a Hilbert space,  $\mathfrak{K}$  the extension of  $\mathfrak{H}$  constructed from a generalized limit, and  $T \rightarrow T^\circ$  the resulting faithful  $*$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H})$  on  $\mathfrak{K}$ .

**PROPOSITION.** *For any operator  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $W(T^\circ)$  is closed; indeed,  $W(T^\circ) = \text{cl}[W(T)]$ .*

PROOF. We recall from [1] the elementary observations that  $T^\circ = I$ , and  $T^\circ \geq 0$  if and only if  $T \geq 0$ . It follows that  $\text{cl}[W(T^\circ)] = \text{cl}[W(T)]$  (see the proof of Theorem 2). We conclude the proof by showing that  $\text{cl}[W(T)] \subset W(T^\circ)$ . Suppose  $\lambda = \lim(Tx_n, x_n)$ , where  $x_n$  is a sequence of unit vectors in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Writing  $u = \{x_n\}'$  as in [1], we have  $\|u\| = 1$  and  $(T^\circ u, u) = \text{glim}(Tx_n, x_n) = \lim(Tx_n, x_n) = \lambda$ .

ADDED IN PROOF. E. Berkson has pointed out to us that Theorem 3 is implicit in an article of G. Lumer [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1961), 29–43, Theorem 11] since, on a  $C^*$ -algebra with unity, a continuous linear form  $f$  is positive if and only if  $f(1) = \|f\|$  [H. F. Bohnenblust and S. Karlin, Ann. of Math. **62** (1955), 217–229, Theorem 10] (see also p. 25 of [1]). Theorem 3 is also covered by Theorem 12 of Bohnenblust and Karlin [loc. cit.]. An elementary proof of Berger's result has been published by C. Pearcy [Michigan Math. J. **13** (1966), 289–291].

#### REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Approximate proper vectors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 111–114.
2. ———, *The numerical range of a normal operator*, Duke Math. J. **31** (1964), 479–483.
3. C. Berger, *A strange dilation theorem*, Abstract 625-152, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1965), 590.
4. J. Dixmier, *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
5. P. R. Halmos, *Introduction to Hilbert space and the theory of spectral multiplicity*, Chelsea, New York, 1951.
6. C. E. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.
7. F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, Acad. Sci. Hungary, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1952.
8. M. H. Stone, *Linear transformations in Hilbert space and their applications to analysis*, Colloq. Publ., Vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1932.

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA AND  
WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY