

# GROWTH ESTIMATES OF CONVEX FUNCTIONS

MARK FINKELSTEIN

In this paper we generalize a result of Loewner's by use of an iterated form of the Schwarz Lemma. This tool is used repeatedly to obtain several results on the growth of convex analytic functions.

**Preliminaries.** By a convex function we will mean a univalent analytic function, defined on the unit disc, whose range is a convex point set. Such a function  $f$  satisfies the differential inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re}\{zf''/f'\} > -1 \quad \text{for all } z \text{ in the unit disc.}$$

By a starlike function we will mean a univalent analytic function, defined on the unit disc, whose range is a starlike set with respect to the image of the origin. That is, if  $\xi$  is the image of 0, and  $w$  lies within the range, then the line segment joining  $\xi$  and  $w$  lies within the range as well. If we assume that  $f(0) = 0$ , such a function satisfies the differential inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re}\{zf'/f\} > 0 \quad \text{for all } z \text{ in the unit disc.}$$

A trivial consequence of the above is that a function  $f$  is convex if and only if  $zf'$  is starlike. As a result, all theorems on convex functions will yield results on starlike functions, and conversely.

In what follows, we shall assume that the functions under consideration are normalized. That is,  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ . This is no essential restriction, for if  $g(z)$  is any univalent function defined on the unit disc, then

$$f(z) = (g(z) - g(0))/g'(0)$$

is a normalized, univalent function. We shall for simplicity occasionally assume in addition that  $f''(0) \geq 0$ . Again, this is no restriction, for by appropriate choice of  $\theta$ ,  $f(z) = e^{-i\theta}g(e^{i\theta}z)$  will have a real and nonnegative second derivative at 0.

Loewner [2] proved that for a convex function  $f(z) = z + \dots$ ,  $|f'(z)| \leq 1/(1-r)^2$ ,  $|z| = r$ . Theorem 1 improves upon this result to give growth estimates of  $f'$  involving the second coefficient in the power series expansion of  $f$ . First we state and prove an iterated form of Schwarz' Lemma. The method of proof goes back at least as far as Landau [1, p. 307]. The lemma itself appeared in [3].

---

Received by the editors June 6, 1966.

LEMMA 1. Let  $f(z) = a_1z + \dots$  be an analytic map of the unit disc into itself. Then  $|a_1| \leq 1$ , and

$$|f(z)| \leq r(r + |a_1|)/(1 + |a_1|r).$$

Equality holds at some  $z \neq 0$  if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{-it}z(z + a_1e^{it})}{1 + \bar{a}_1e^{-it}z}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

PROOF. That  $|a_1| \leq 1$  is an immediate consequence of Schwarz' Lemma. We mention it so that the inequality here becomes an improvement on Schwarz' Lemma, in that the estimate is better when  $|a_1| < 1$ .

Let  $g(z) = f(z)/z = a_1 + a_2z + \dots$ . Then the maximum principle shows that  $|g(z)| < 1$  in the unit disc.

Let  $h(z) = (g(z) - a_1)/(1 - \bar{a}_1g(z)) = b_1z + \dots$ . Then as  $|g| < 1$ , also  $|h| < 1$ . Further,  $h(0) = 0$ , and thus, by the familiar form of Schwarz' Lemma,

$$|h(z)| \leq r.$$

Equality holds for some  $z \neq 0$  if and only if  $h(z) = e^{it}z$ . But

$$|f(z)| = \left| z \frac{h(z) + a_1}{1 + \bar{a}_1h(z)} \right| \leq r \frac{|h(z)| + |a_1|}{1 + |a_1| |h(z)|} \leq r \left( \frac{r + |a_1|}{1 + |a_1|r} \right).$$

Further, it is clear that equality can hold at a point  $z$  only if  $|h(z)| = |z|$ , from which it follows that

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{it}z(z + a_1e^{it})}{1 + \bar{a}_1ze^{it}}.$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots$  be convex in the unit disc. Then

$$(1) \quad |f'(z)| \leq \left( \frac{1}{1 - r^2} \right) \left[ \frac{1 + r}{1 - r} \right]^\rho,$$

where  $|a_2| = \rho$ . Equality holds at some  $z \neq 0$  if and only if

$$(2) \quad f(z) = \frac{e^{-it}}{2\rho} \left( \left[ \frac{1 + e^{it}z}{1 - e^{it}z} \right]^\rho - 1 \right).$$

This function (2) maps the unit disc onto a sector of opening angle  $\rho\pi$ , the apex of the sector lying at a point of modulus  $1/2\rho$ , and 0 lying on the axis of symmetry.

PROOF. That (2) maps the unit disc as indicated above can be seen

through the Schwarz-Christoffel representation for mappings onto polygons.<sup>1</sup>

$$f'(z) = (1 + e^{iz})^{\rho-1}(1 - e^{iz})^{-\rho-1}.$$

Consequently,  $f$  maps onto a 2-sided polygon (sector) whose exterior angle at the apex is  $(1-\rho)\pi$ . Hence the interior angle is  $\rho\pi$ . The remaining details of this mapping are easily verified.

We can, as before, perform a rotation in the  $z$ - and  $w$ -planes so that  $a_2 = \rho > 0$ . So  $f(z) = z + \rho z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$ . Let  $\psi(r) = (1-r)^{1+\rho}(1+r)^{1-\rho}$ . Let

$$\phi(z) = \log |f'(z)| + \log \psi(r).$$

We shall show that  $\phi(z) \leq 0$ . In fact, we shall show even more, that

$$\partial\phi/\partial r \leq 0 \quad \text{for } z \neq 0.$$

Let us note that  $\phi(0) = 0$ , and that  $\phi$  is continuous in  $z$ . Now

$$\partial\phi/\partial r = (\partial/\partial r) \log |f'(z)| - (1 + \rho)/(1 - r) + (1 - \rho)/(1 + r).$$

But

$$r(\partial/\partial r) \log |f'(z)| = \text{Re} \{zf''/f'\},$$

so

$$(3) \quad r(\partial\phi/\partial r) = \text{Re}\{zf''/f'\} - 2r(r + \rho)/(1 - r^2).$$

Now, if  $h(z) = zf''/f'$ , we have  $1+h(z)$  is a function of positive real part, or  $1+h = (1+g)/(1-g)$ , for  $|g| < 1$ ,  $g(z) = \rho z + \dots$ ,

$$h = 2g/(1 - g).$$

By Lemma 1,  $|g(z)| \leq r(r+\rho)/(1+r\rho)$ , and thus

$$|h(z)| \leq 2r(r + \rho)/(1 - r^2).$$

Then

$$r(\partial\phi/\partial r) = \text{Re}\{zf''/f'\} - 2r(r + \rho)/(1 - r^2),$$

and

$$\text{Re} \{zf''/f'\} \leq |zf''/f'| = |h| \leq 2r(r + \rho)/(1 - r^2).$$

Thus by (3)

$$r(\partial\phi/\partial r) \leq 0.$$

This completes the proof that

<sup>1</sup> See, for example, Hille, *Analytic function theory*. II, Ginn, Boston, Mass., p. 372.

$$|f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{1-r^2} \left[ \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right]^p$$

If equality is attained at a point, an investigation of the above proof shows that  $h(z)$  must achieve the estimate for it along a ray. Then, as in Lemma 1,  $h$  is determined up to a rotational constant:

$$h(z) = 2e^{it}z(z + \rho)/(1 - z^2).$$

From this it follows that

$$(4) \quad f(z) = (e^{-it}/2\rho) \left( \left[ \frac{1+e^{it}z}{1-e^{it}z} \right]^p - 1 \right).$$

We observe the following well-known<sup>2</sup> result:

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *Let  $f(z) = z + a_3z^3 + a_5z^5 + \dots$  be an odd convex function. Then*

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1/(1 - r^2).$$

**PROOF.** An odd function has vanishing even coefficients, and on setting  $a_2 = \rho = 0$  in (1) we obtain the result.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Let  $f(z) = z + \rho z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$  be convex in the unit disc. Then*

$$|f(z)| \leq (1/2\rho) \left( \left[ \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right]^p - 1 \right).$$

This estimate is sharp.

**PROOF.** As we remarked in the preliminaries, it is no loss of generality to assume as we have here that  $a_2 = \rho \geq 0$ . The result follows on integration of (1). The same function (4) is extremal for both Theorem 1 and this corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Let  $f(z) = z + 2\rho z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$  be starlike in the unit disc,  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ . Then*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{r}{1-r^2} \left[ \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right]^p.$$

**PROOF.** We observed earlier that  $g$  is convex if and only if  $zg'(z)$  is starlike. Or, what is the same,  $f$  is starlike if and only if  $\int_0^z (f(z)/z) dz$  is convex. Hence

$$\int_0^z (f(z)/z) dz = z + \rho z^2 + \frac{a_3}{3} z^3 + \dots$$

is convex, and, by Theorem 1,

<sup>2</sup> See, for example, Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, McGraw-Hill, New York, p. 238.

$$|f(z)/z| \leq \frac{1}{1-r^2} \left[ \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right]^\rho.$$

The result then follows. The function

$$(z/(1-z^2))[(1+z)/(1-z)]^\rho$$

is starlike and achieves this estimate. To determine the range of this function, we again use the Schwarz-Christoffel representation. Computing the derivative of this function,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \frac{1+2\rho z+z^2}{(1-z)^{2+\rho}(1+z)^{2-\rho}} \\ &= \frac{(1+e^{i\theta}z)(1+e^{-i\theta}z)}{(1-z)^{2+\rho}(1+z)^{2-\rho}}, \quad e^{i\theta}+e^{-i\theta}=2\rho. \end{aligned}$$

The range of  $f$  is thus a 4-sided polygon with exterior angles  $(2+\rho)\pi$ ,  $-\pi$ ,  $(2-\rho)\pi$ ,  $-\pi$ . It is then easily seen that this polygon is the entire plane minus two radial slits extending to  $\infty$ . The two slits make an angle of  $(1-\rho)\pi$  with one another.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(z) = z + \rho z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$  be convex in the unit disc,  $\rho \geq 0$ . Then*

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1/(1+2\rho r+r^2).$$

**PROOF.**

$$(5) \quad r(\partial/\partial r) \log |f'(z)| = \operatorname{Re} \{ z f''/f' \}.$$

Now  $1 + z f''/f' = (1+g)/(1-g)$ , for some  $|g(z)| < 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f''}{f'} \right\} &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1+g}{1-g} \right\} = \frac{1-g\bar{g}}{|1-g|^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1-g\bar{g}}{(1+|g|)^2} = \frac{1-|g|}{1+|g|}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{ z f''/f' \} \geq (-2|g|)/(1+|g|).$$

Applying Lemma 1 to  $g$ ,

$$|g(z)| \leq r(r+\rho)/(1+\rho r).$$

Hence from (6) we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ z f''/f' \} \geq \frac{-2r(r+\rho)/(1+\rho r)}{1+r(r+\rho)/(1+\rho r)} = \frac{-2r(r+\rho)}{1+2\rho r+r^2}.$$

But then by (5),

$$(7) \quad (\partial/\partial r) \log |f'(z)| \geq -2(r + \rho)/(1 + 2\rho r + r^2).$$

On integrating (7) with respect to  $r$ , and then exponentiating, we obtain

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1/(1 + 2\rho r + r^2).$$

If  $\rho < 1$ , the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2i \sin \theta} \log \left( \frac{1 - e^{-i\theta} z}{1 - e^{i\theta} z} \right), \quad \rho = \cos \theta$$

is convex and achieves the estimate of the theorem along the negative real axis from 0 to  $-1$ . By considering the Schwarz-Christoffel representation, it is seen that this function maps the unit disc onto an infinite strip, of width  $\pi/(2 \sin \theta)$ , with the image of the origin lying at distances from the two parallel boundary lines  $(\pi - \theta)/(2 \sin \theta)$ ,  $\theta/(2 \sin \theta)$  respectively.

If  $\rho = 1$ , the function  $f(z) = z/(1 - z)$  achieves the estimate of the theorem along the negative real axis from 0 to  $-1$ . This function maps the unit disc onto a half plane.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *Let  $f(z) = z + \rho z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$  be convex in the unit disc. Then*

$$|f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{r + \rho}{(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}} \right], \quad \rho \geq 0.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the straight line segment joining 0 and  $f(z)$ . Since  $f$  is convex,  $\Gamma$  lies in the range of  $f$ . Let  $\gamma = f^{-1}(\Gamma) = \{z: f(z) \in \Gamma\}$ . Then  $\gamma$  is an arc in the unit disc joining 0 and  $z$ . It follows that

$$|f(z)| = \int_{\gamma} |f'(z)| |dz| \geq \int_0^r |f'(s)| ds \geq \int_0^r \frac{ds}{1 + 2s\rho + s^2}.$$

The stated result then follows.

Now consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2i \sin \theta} \log \left( \frac{1 - e^{-i\theta} z}{1 - e^{i\theta} z} \right) = \int_0^z \frac{d\xi}{(1 - e^{i\theta} \xi)(1 - e^{-i\theta} \xi)},$$

which was discussed in the preceding theorem. For  $e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta} = 2\rho$ , it is clear that  $f'(r) = (1 + 2\rho r + r^2)^{-1}$ , which is the lower estimate for  $|f'(z)|$ . Hence in our proof above, if  $\Gamma$  is the straight line segment joining 0 and  $f(r)$ , then  $\gamma$  lies in the positive real axis. Hence all the

inequalities can be replaced by equalities for this function, which shows the estimate to be sharp.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let  $f(z) = z + \rho z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$  be starlike in the unit disc. Then*

$$|f(z)| \geq r/(1 + r\rho + r^2).$$

PROOF. The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Corollary 1.3. The function which shows the estimate to be sharp is  $z/(1 + \rho z + z^2)$ .

THEOREM 3. *Let  $f(z) = z + \rho z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$  be convex in the unit disc. Then*

$$|f''(z)| \leq \frac{2(r + \rho)}{(1 - r^2)^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r}{1 - r} \right]^p.$$

This result is sharp.

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 2, there exists a function  $g$ ,  $|g| < 1$ ,  $g(z) = \rho z + \dots$ , so that

$$zf''/f' = 2g/(1 - g).$$

$$\left| \frac{zf''}{f'} \right| = \left| \frac{2g}{1 - g} \right| \leq \frac{2|g|}{1 - |g|} \leq \frac{2r(r + \rho)}{1 - r^2},$$

on applying Lemma 1 to  $g$ . Hence

$$|f''| \leq \frac{2r(r + \rho)}{1 - r^2} \left| \frac{f'}{r} \right| \leq \frac{2(r + \rho)}{(1 - r^2)^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r}{1 - r} \right]^p$$

when we apply the estimate of Theorem 1 for  $|f'|$ . Again, the extremal function of Theorem 1 achieves this estimate.

The author is deeply indebted to his thesis adviser, Professor Charles Loewner, for his guidance and help in this work, which is part author's Doctoral dissertation.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Landau, *Über den Picardschen Satz*, Vierteljahrsschrift Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich **51** (1906), 252-318.
2. C. Loewner (K. Löwner), *Untersuchungen über die Verzerrung bei konformen Abbildungen des Einheitskreises  $|z| < 1$ , die durch Functionen mit nicht verschwindender Ableitung geliefert werden*, Ber. Verh. Sächs. Ges. Wiss. Leipzig Math.-Phys. Kl. **69** (1917), 89-106.
3. C. Loewner (K. Löwner), *Untersuchungen über schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises*. I, Math. Ann. **89** (1923), 103-121.