

STEENROD REPRESENTABILITY OF STABLE HOMOLOGY

PETER S. LANDWEBER

1. Introduction. N. Steenrod posed the problem of determining those homology classes of a space which can be represented as the image of the fundamental class of a manifold. The problem, which is central in cobordism theory, has since been treated by R. Thom [12], P. Conner and E. Floyd [6], [7], and Y. Shikata [11], among others. In this paper the manifolds will be weakly almost complex (\mathfrak{U} -manifolds). A solution will be obtained in the stable range for the Eilenberg-MacLane spaces $K(\pi, q)$, π a cyclic group of prime or infinite order, and for the spaces $BU[2q]$ fibred over BU with $\pi_i(BU[2q]) = 0$ when $i < 2q$ and $\pi_i(BU[2q]) \rightarrow \pi_i(BU)$ an isomorphism when $i \geq 2q$. The spaces $BU[2q]$ were studied by J. F. Adams [1], who denoted them $BU(2q, \dots, \infty)$.

We now introduce some notation. All spaces will have base points, and all homology and cohomology theories will be reduced. For p a prime, $\rho_p: \tilde{H}_*(X; Z) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(X; Z_p)$ denotes the usual coefficient homomorphism. $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_*(X) = \tilde{H}_*(X; MU)$ is the (graded) complex bordism group of X , i.e., the homology of X with coefficients in the Milnor spectrum MU [7], [10]. There is a natural transformation $\mu: \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_*(\) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(\ ; Z)$ of homology theories; we denote by $\mu_p: \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(X; Z_p)$ the composition $\rho_p \mu$. In terms of μ , the problem posed above becomes that of determining the image of μ in $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z)$; see [6] for the geometric interpretation of μ .

The main results, along with several of an auxiliary nature, are summarized below. Their proofs are given in §§3 and 4. Some observations on the homology of a spectrum, with coefficients in another spectrum, are collected in §2.

LEMMA (1.1). *For any of the spaces $K(\pi, q)$, π cyclic of prime or infinite order, or $BU[2q]$, $\cap_p \ker(\rho_p) = 0$ in the stable range.*

THEOREM (1.2). *For any of the spaces $K(\pi, q)$, π cyclic of prime or infinite order or $BU[2q]$, a homology class x in the stable range is in the image of μ if and only if $\rho_p(x)$ is in the image of μ_p for all primes p .*

We are therefore led to consider the homomorphisms $\mu_p: \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(X; Z_p)$. Let $A^*(p)$ denote the mod p Steenrod algebra, and let $\chi: A^*(p) \rightarrow A^*(p)$ denote the canonical anti-automorphism [9]. Given $a \in A^i(p)$, make a act on $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z_p)$ by means of the Kronecker index:

Received by the editors July 4, 1966.

$$\langle ax, \xi \rangle = \langle x, \chi(a)\xi \rangle$$

for $x \in \tilde{H}_k(X; Z_p)$ and $\xi \in \tilde{H}^{k-i}(X; Z_p)$; thus a lowers degrees by i in homology. Let $I^*(p)$ denote the two-sided ideal in $A^*(p)$ generated by the Bockstein $Q_0^{(p)}$.

LEMMA (1.3). *For any space with base point, the image of μ_p is annihilated by all (homology) operations in $I^*(p)$.*

THEOREM (1.4). *For X any of the spaces $K(\pi, q)$, Π cyclic of prime or infinite order, or $BU[2q]$, the image of μ_p in the stable range is exactly the subspace of $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z_p)$ annihilated by $I^*(p)$.*

The following result may be of independent interest. Adams has defined classes $ch_{q,r} \in H^{2q+2r}(BU[2q]; Z)$ for $q \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$.

PROPOSITION (1.5). *For $r < q$, one can construct $y_{q,r} \in \tilde{u}_{2q+2r}(BU[2q])$ so that $\langle \mu(y_{q,r}), ch_{q,r} \rangle = 1$.*

2. Homology of a spectrum. The families of spaces $K(\pi, q)$ and $BU[2q]$, as well as the Thom spaces $MU(q)$, all yield spectra. We denote these spectra by $K(\pi)$, bu and MU . (The spectrum bu has spaces $bu_{2q} = BU[2q]$, $bu_{2q-1} = \Omega BU[2q]$; MU has spaces $MU_{2q} = MU(q)$, $MU_{2q+1} = S^1 \wedge MU(q)$.) If $\mathbf{M} = [M_q]$ denotes one of these spectra, then \mathbf{M} has the following properties:

- (a) M_q is $(q-1)$ -connected;
- (b) $SM_q \rightarrow M_{q+1}$ induces isomorphisms $\pi_i(SM_q) \rightarrow \pi_i(M_{q+1})$ for $i < 2q$ and an epimorphism for $i = 2q$.

We want to be able to prove results of the following sorts: $\tilde{u}_{2q+2r}(BU[2q])$ is free abelian for $r < q$; $\tilde{u}_{2q+2r+1}(BU[2q]) = 0$ if $r < q-1$; the map $MU(q) \rightarrow BU[2q]$ obtained from the K -theory Thom class induces an epimorphism $\tilde{u}_{2q+2r}(MU(q)) \rightarrow \tilde{u}_{2q+2r}(BU[2q])$ for $r < q$. Such results may be proved by letting $q \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain homology groups of a spectrum with coefficients in another spectrum —e.g., $H_r(bu; MU)$ —and by then noticing that we may interchange the roles of the two spectra and re-examine the questions. E.g., $H_r(bu; MU) \approx H_r(MU; bu)$, and the latter group may be investigated with the help of the homology theory $\tilde{H}_*(\ ; bu)$. These ideas are due to A. Hattori [8].

Let $\mathbf{M} = [M_q]$ and $\mathbf{M}' = [M'_s]$ be two spectra satisfying (a) and (b) above. Then

$$\tilde{H}_{q+r}(M_q; \mathbf{M}') = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{q+r+s}(M_q \wedge M'_s).$$

We define $H_r(\mathbf{M}; \mathbf{M}') = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{H}_{q+r}(M_q; \mathbf{M}')$, so that

$$H_r(\mathbf{M}; \mathbf{M}') = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{q+r+s}(M_q \wedge M'_s).$$

Taking the limit in the other order and twisting the factors, we obtain $H_r(\mathbf{M}'; \mathbf{M})$, proving

LEMMA (2.1). $H_r(\mathbf{M}; \mathbf{M}') \approx H_r(\mathbf{M}', \mathbf{M})$.

LEMMA (2.2). *If $r < q - 1$, then*

$$\tilde{H}_{q+r}(M_q; \mathbf{M}') \approx H_r(\mathbf{M}; \mathbf{M}').$$

PROOF. It suffices to show that $\tilde{H}_{q+r}(M_q; \mathbf{M}') \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{q+r+1}(M_{q+1}; \mathbf{M}')$ is an isomorphism for $r < q - 1$, and for this we must show that

$$\tilde{H}_{q+r+1}(SM_q; \mathbf{M}') \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{q+r+1}(M_{q+1}; \mathbf{M}')$$

is an isomorphism for $r < q - 1$. Regard $SM_q \rightarrow M_{q+1}$ as an inclusion, and put $N_{q+1} = M_{q+1}/SM_q$. Since \mathbf{M} satisfies (a), all three spaces SM_q, M_{q+1}, N_{q+1} are q -connected. It follows from the generalized suspension theorem [3] that for any of these spaces $\pi_i(\) = \pi_i^s(\)$ if $i \leq 2q$ ($\pi_i^s(\) = \tilde{H}_i(\ ; \mathbf{S})$ is stable homotopy, where \mathbf{S} denotes the sphere spectrum). Since \mathbf{M} satisfies (b), the exact sequence for stable homotopy implies that $\pi_i^s(N_{q+1}) = 0$ if $i \leq 2q$. Hence also $\pi_i(N_{q+1}) = 0$ for $i \leq 2q$, so N_{q+1} is $2q$ -connected. Then the spectral sequence for $\tilde{H}_*(N_{q+1}; \mathbf{M}')$ shows that $\tilde{H}_i(N_{q+1}; \mathbf{M}') = 0$ if $i \leq 2q$, since \mathbf{M}' satisfies (a). From the exact sequence for $\tilde{H}_*(\ ; \mathbf{M}')$, it follows that $\tilde{H}_i(SM_q; \mathbf{M}') \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(M_{q+1}; \mathbf{M}')$ is an isomorphism if $i < 2q$ (and onto if $i = 2q$). Q.E.D.

The spectra mentioned at the beginning of this section are multiplicative; this is well known for $K(\pi)$ and MU , and has been shown for bu by D. Anderson [2]. The coefficient ring $\tilde{H}_*(S^0; bu)$ is a polynomial ring over the integers on a 2-dimensional generator, and so has no torsion. The maps $MU(q) \rightarrow BU[2q]$ mentioned above give rise to a multiplicative map of spectra $\nu: MU \rightarrow bu$ ([2]); this induces an epimorphism of coefficient rings $\tilde{H}_*(S^0; MU) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(S^0; bu)$, which is essentially the Todd genus. Moreover, there are maps $BU[2q] \rightarrow K(Z, 2q)$ corresponding to $ch_{q,0} \in H^{2q}(BU[2q]; Z)$, which give rise to another multiplicative map of spectra $\lambda: bu \rightarrow K(Z)$. The composition $MU \rightarrow bu \rightarrow K(Z)$ is the usual map $\mathfrak{u}: MU \rightarrow K(Z)$ resulting from the cohomology Thom class; this latter map induces the natural transformation μ . Let ν and λ induce ν, λ respectively.

LEMMA (2.3). *Let X be a CW-complex with base point with $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z)$ free abelian. Then $\tilde{H}_*(X; MU)$ and $\tilde{H}_*(X; bu)$ are free abelian and the homomorphisms*

$$\tilde{H}_*(X; MU) \xrightarrow{\nu} \tilde{H}_*(X; bu), \tilde{H}_*(X; bu) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \tilde{H}_*(X; Z)$$

are epimorphisms.

PROOF. The spectral sequences for $\tilde{H}_*(X; MU)$ and $\tilde{H}_*(X; bu)$ both collapse, since $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z)$ and both coefficient rings are free abelian. Thus both $\mu: \tilde{H}_*(X; MU) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(X; Z)$ and λ map onto $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z)$. Let (c_i) be a homogeneous basis for $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z)$ as a free abelian group. Select a homogeneous pre-image γ_i of c_i in $\tilde{H}_*(X; MU)$; then the image γ'_i of γ_i in $\tilde{H}_*(X; bu)$ is also a pre-image of c_i . It follows easily, from an argument used by P. Conner and E. Floyd [6], that $\tilde{H}_*(X; MU)$ is a free $\tilde{H}_*(S^0; MU)$ -module on the γ_i , and that $\tilde{H}_*(X; bu)$ is a free $\tilde{H}_*(S^0; bu)$ -module on the γ'_i . Thus all assertions are proved. Q.E.D.

As a consequence of these lemmas, we obtain commutative diagrams such as

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_*(MU; MU) & \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} & H_*(MU; Z) \\ \downarrow \text{epi} & & \downarrow \nu_* \\ H_*(bu; MU) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H_*(bu; Z) \end{array}$$

showing that $\text{im}(\mu) = \text{im}(\nu_*)$ in $H_*(bu; Z)$. There is a similar diagram if bu is replaced by $K(\pi)$, π cyclic, and also diagrams such as

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_*(MU; MU) & \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} & H_*(MU; Z_p) \\ \downarrow \text{epi} & & \downarrow \nu_* \\ H_*(bu; MU) & \xrightarrow{\mu_p} & H_*(bu; Z_p) \end{array}$$

showing that $\text{im}(\mu_p) = \text{im}(\nu_*)$ in $H_*(bu; Z_p)$.

3. Proof of Theorem (1.2). To begin, we remark that for any of the spaces $K(\pi, q)$, π cyclic of prime or infinite order, or $BU[2q]$, the cohomology in the stable range has no elements of order p^2 for any prime p . This is shown by Adams [1] in the latter case, and is well known in the other cases. By the universal coefficient theorem the stable homology of these spaces has no elements of order p^2 . Since the homology groups are finitely generated, Lemma (1.1) follows immediately.

Theorem (1.2) is immediate for $K(\pi, q)$ if π is a finite cyclic group of prime order. With $\pi=Z$, the Hurewicz theorem implies that, in dimension q , μ and all μ_p are onto. Thus we confine attention to dimensions $> q$. Let $x \in \tilde{H}_{q+r}(K(Z, q); Z)$ with $\rho_p(x) = \mu_p(y_p)$ for all primes p . Since $\tilde{H}_{q+r}(K(Z, q); Z_p) = 0$ for almost all primes p , we may

assume that almost all the y_p vanish. By elementary number theory, if we replace y_p by a suitable multiple we may also assume that $\mu_{p'}(y_p) = 0$ if $p' \neq p$. Then putting $y = \sum y_p$, we have $\rho_p(x) = \mu_p(y)$ for all p , i.e., $\rho_p(x - \mu(y)) = 0$ for all p , so by Lemma (1.1) $x = \mu(y)$. Thus Theorem (1.2) is proved in this case.

Finally we consider $BU[2q]$, first proving Proposition (1.5). Recall that the classes $ch_{q,r} \in H^{2q+2r}(BU[2q]; Z)$ defined by Adams [1] pass in rational cohomology to $m(r)ch_{q+r}$, where

$$m(r) = \prod_p p^{\lfloor r/(p-1) \rfloor}$$

and ch_{q+r} is the component of the Chern character in dimension $2q+2r$. We wish to construct a class $y_{q,r} \in \tilde{u}_{2q+2r}(BU[2q])$ so that $\langle \mu(y_{q,r}), ch_{q,r} \rangle = 1$, provided that $r < q$. That is, we seek a \mathfrak{U} -manifold M^{2q+2r} and $\alpha \in K(M)$ so that $[M] = 0$ in the complex bordism ring and α is trivial on the $(2q-1)$ -skeleton of M , for which $\langle m(r)ch_{q+r}(\alpha), M \rangle = 1$.

Let $\omega = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ be a partition of degree r , so that $k \leq r < q$. Put $M_\omega = CP(i_1+1) \times \dots \times CP(i_k+1) \times S^{2q-2k}$ and let $\alpha_\omega \in K(M_\omega)$ be the product of the bundles $\eta-1$ on the projective spaces (η the Hopf bundle) and the generating bundle of $\tilde{K}(S^{2q-2k})$. Then M_ω is a \mathfrak{U} -manifold of dimension $2q+2r$, $[M_\omega] = 0$ in the complex bordism ring, and α_ω is trivial on the $(2q-1)$ -skeleton of M_ω . Thus a classifying map for α_ω lifts to a map $M_\omega \rightarrow BU[2q]$, and so we obtain $y_\omega \in \tilde{u}_{2q+2r}(BU[2q])$. Put $(\omega+1)! = (i_1+1)! \dots (i_k+1)!$; it is easily seen that

$$\langle \mu(y_\omega), ch_{q,r} \rangle = m(r)/(\omega+1)!$$

LEMMA (3.1). *The integers $m(r)/(\omega+1)!$, as ω runs through the partitions of degree r , have greatest common divisor 1.*

PROOF. It suffices to exhibit for each prime $p < r$ a partition ω of degree r with $m(r)/(\omega+1)!$ prime to p , since $m(r)$ is divisible by no greater primes. Given p , let ω be any partition of degree r with $p-1$ occurring exactly $\lfloor r/(p-1) \rfloor$ times. Then $p \lfloor r/(p-1) \rfloor$ divides $(\omega+1)!$, and so $m(r)/(\omega+1)!$ is prime to p . Q.E.D.

PROOF OF (1.5). For each partition ω of degree r , we have constructed y_ω in $\tilde{u}_{2q+2r}(BU[2q])$ with $\langle \mu(y_\omega), ch_{q,r} \rangle = m(r)/(\omega+1)!$. According to the lemma just established, there is an integral linear combination $y_{q,r} = \sum a_\omega y_\omega$ with $\langle \mu(y_{q,r}), ch_{q,r} \rangle = 1$. Q.E.D.

We now prove Theorem (1.2) for $BU[2q]$. Concerning the cohomology of $BU[2q]$, the following remarks are needed (see [1]). For r odd, $r < 2q-2$, $H^{2q+r}(BU[2q]; Z_p) = 0$ for almost all primes; for

$r < q$, $H^{2q+2r}(BU[2q]; Z_p) \approx Z_p$ with generator $\rho_p(\text{ch}_{q,r})$ for almost all primes p . Now let $x \in \tilde{H}_*(BU[2q]; Z)$ be given in the stable range with $\rho_p(x) \in \text{im}(\mu_p)$ for all primes p . If x has odd degree, we may argue as above that $x \in \text{im}(\mu)$. Thus suppose $x \in H_{2q+2r}(BU[2q]; Z)$; by virtue of Proposition (1.5), we may also assume that $\langle x, \text{ch}_{q,r} \rangle = 0$. Hence $\rho_p(x) = 0$ for almost all primes p . Now say $\rho_p(x) = \mu_p(y_p)$ for all primes p , with almost all the y_p zero. Again by Proposition (1.5), we may assume that $\langle \mu(y_p), \text{ch}_{q,r} \rangle = 0$ for all p , so that $\mu_{p'}(y_p) = 0$ for almost all primes p' . Replacing the y_p by suitable multiples, we may further require that $\mu_{p'}(y_p) = 0$ for all prime $p, p' (p \neq p')$. Putting $y = \sum y_p$, we see that $\rho_p(x) = \mu_p(y)$ for all primes p , so that $x = \mu(y)$ by Lemma (1.1). This completes the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem (1.4). We begin by proving Lemma (1.3). Recall that the mod p Steenrod algebra $A^*(p)$ acts on $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z_p)$ via the Kronecker index. The two-sided ideal $I^*(p)$ in $A^*(p)$ generated by the Bockstein $Q_0^{(p)}$ is also the left (or right) ideal generated by the elements of odd degree, according to Milnor [10].

PROOF OF (1.3). In view of the above remark, it suffices to show that any stable operation $\tilde{u}_*() \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(; Z_p)$ of odd degree is trivial. By Alexander-Spanier duality [13], such an operation corresponds to a stable operation $\tilde{u}^*() \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(; Z_p)$, and so to an element of $\tilde{H}^*(MU; Z_p)$. Since the stable cohomology of MU vanishes in odd dimensions, the result follows. Q.E.D.

Theorem (1.4) will now be proved for $BU[2q]$; a simplified, argument suffices to treat $K(\pi, q)$, π cyclic of prime or infinite order. It follows from (2.5) that in the stable range $\text{im}(\mu_p) = \text{im}(\nu_*)$ in $\tilde{H}_*(BU[2q]; Z_p)$, where $\nu_*: \tilde{H}_*(MU(q); Z_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(BU[2q]; Z_p)$ is induced by the map $\nu: MU(q) \rightarrow BU[2q]$. There is also an induced map in cohomology $\nu^*: \tilde{H}^*(BU[2q]; Z_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(MU(q); Z_p)$. Making use of the Kronecker index, it suffices to show that in the stable range

$$(4.1) \quad \ker(\nu^*) = I^*(p) \cdot \tilde{H}^*(BU[2q]; Z_p).$$

According to Adams [1], $\tilde{H}^*(BU[2q]; Z_p)$ is isomorphic in the stable range to a direct sum of $A^*(p)$ -modules isomorphic to $A^*(p)/J^*(p)$ on generators $\rho_p(\text{ch}_{q,r})$ with $r=0, 1, \dots, p-2$; here $J^*(p)$ is the left ideal generated by $Q_0^{(p)} \in A^1(p)$ and $Q_1^{(p)} \in A^{2p-1}(p)$. Milnor [10] has shown that $\tilde{H}^*(MU(q); Z_p)$ is isomorphic in the stable range to a direct sum of free modules over $A^*(p)/I^*(p)$, on generators s_ω of degree $2 \deg \omega$, where ω is any partition containing no integer of the form $p^i - 1$. Thus elements of $\tilde{H}^*(MU(q); Z_p)$ of degree $\leq 2q + 2(p-2)$ are independent over $A^*(p)/I^*(p)$ if they are independent over Z_p .

Let $\phi: H^*(BU(q)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(MU(q))$ denote the Thom isomorphism, and let ζ_q be the universal bundle over $BU(q)$. If u_q denotes the K -theory Thom class of ζ_q , then $\nu^*(\text{ch}_{q,r}) = \text{ch}_{q,r}(u_q) = m(r)\text{ch}_{q+r}(u_q)$; we regard $\tilde{H}^*(MU(q); Z) \subset \tilde{H}^*(MU(q); Q)$ as usual. Now $\text{ch}_{q+r}(u_q) = \phi(T_r(-\zeta_q))$ according to Bott [5], where $T_r(-\zeta_q)$ is the component of degree $2r$ of the Todd class of the "negative" of the bundle ζ_q . Therefore $\nu^*(\text{ch}_{q+r}) = \phi(m(r)T_r(-\zeta_q))$. It has been shown by M. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch [4] that $m(r)T_r(-\zeta_q) \in H^{2r}(BU(q); Z)$ is divisible by no primes, so that for p a prime $\rho_p[m(r)T_r(-\zeta_q)] \neq 0$. Hence $\nu^*[\rho_p(\text{ch}_{q,r})] \neq 0$ for $r=0, 1, \dots, p-2$, so these elements of $\tilde{H}^*(MU(q); Z_p)$ are independent over $A^*(p)/I^*(p)$ according to the preceding paragraph. Thus (4.1) is proved, and with it Theorem (1.4) for $BU[2q]$. Q.E.D.

REMARK. The image of $\mu_p: \mathfrak{U}_*(K(Z_p)) \rightarrow H_*(K(Z_p); Z_p)$ may be best described with the help of the dual Hopf algebra $A_*(p)$ to $A^*(p)$. Milnor has shown [9] that $A_*(p)$ is a tensor product of an exterior subalgebra with a polynomial subalgebra. If we identify $A_*(p)$ with $H_*(K(Z_p); Z_p)$, the image of μ_p is exactly the polynomial subalgebra which is the annihilator of the ideal $I^*(p)$ in $A^*(p)$.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *On Chern characters and the structure of the unitary group*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 189-199.
2. D. Anderson, unpublished.
3. M. Atiyah, *Bordism and cobordism*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 200-208.
4. M. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, *Cohomologie-Operationen und charakteristische Klassen*, Math. Z. **77** (1961), 149-187.
5. R. Bott, *Lectures on $K(X)$* , (mimeographed), Harvard Univ., Cambridge, Mass., 1962.
6. P. Conner and E. Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps*, Springer, Berlin, 1964.
7. ———, *Torsion in SU -bordism*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 60 (1966), 74 bb.
8. A. Hattori, *Integral characteristic numbers for weakly almost complex manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 259-280.
9. J. Milnor, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. **67** (1958), 150-171.
10. ———, *On the cobordism ring Ω^* and a complex analogue*. I, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 505-521.
11. Y. Shikata, *On the realizabilities of integral homology classes by orientable submanifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1961), 79-87.
12. R. Thom, *Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables*, Comment. Math. Helv. **28** (1954), 17-86.
13. G. Whitehead, *Generalized homology theories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962), 227-283.