

# EXISTENCE CONDITIONS FOR THE LANE INTEGRAL

KEITH P. SMITH AND FRED M. WRIGHT

**1. Introduction.** We deal in this paper with an integral which was defined by R. E. Lane [4] and which is an extension of the Stieltjes mean sigma integral introduced by H. L. Smith [6]. If  $f$  and  $g$  are real-valued functions on an interval  $[a, b]$  of the real axis, and if  $D = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$  is a subdivision of  $[a, b]$ , we use the symbol  $S_D(f, g)$  to denote the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_{i-1}) + f(x_i)}{2} \cdot [g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})].$$

If  $[a, b]$  is an interval of the real axis, and if  $G$  is a subset of  $[a, b]$  containing  $a$  and  $b$ , we use the terminology  $G$ -subdivision of  $[a, b]$  and  $G$ -refinement of a subdivision of  $[a, b]$  as in [1]. The concepts of singular graph, exceptional number, and summability set are as in [4]. If  $f$  and  $g$  are real-valued functions on an interval  $[a, b]$  of the real axis, and if there is a summability set  $G$  for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ , the Lane integral  $L \int_a^b f dg$  is the refinement limit

$$\lim_{D \subset G, \Rightarrow} S_D(f, g),$$

and this integral is the Stieltjes mean sigma integral  $Fm \int_a^b f dg$  in case the entire interval  $[a, b]$  is a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ .

In §2 we deal with a bounded real-valued function  $f$  on an interval  $[a, b]$  of the real axis, a nondecreasing real-valued function  $g$  on the entire real axis and a subset  $G$  of  $[a, b]$  dense on this interval and containing its endpoints. We suppose that if  $H = (a, b) - G$  and  $H$  is nonempty then the ordered triple  $(g, f, H)$  is a singular graph, and that if  $y$  is in  $G$  and the restriction of  $g$  to  $[a, b]$  is discontinuous at  $y$ , then  $y$  is not an exceptional number for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ . We establish Theorem 2.1, which is analogous to Theorem 18 on page 278 of Graves [2] for the Stieltjes norm integral and the Stieltjes sigma integral, and which provides a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for  $G$  to be a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ . Theorem 2.1 clearly provides as a special case a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for the Stieltjes mean sigma integral  $Fm \int_a^b f dg$  to exist. We show how we have fairly simply from Theorem 2.1 the result due to Bzoch [1] that  $G$  is a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$  if and only if there is a bounded

---

Presented to the Society, August 30, 1966; received by the editors October 7, 1966.

real-valued function  $u$  on  $[a, b]$  such that  $u(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $G$  and such that the Stieltjes mean sigma integral  $Fm \int_a^b u dg$  exists.

In §3 with  $f, g, G,$  and  $H$  as in §2 we use our Theorem 2.1 to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for  $G$  to be a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$  which is analogous to one given by C. B. Murray [5] for the Stieltjes mean sigma integral.

2. **An existence theorem.** Suppose in this section that  $f$  is a bounded real-valued function on an interval  $[a, b]$  of the real axis,  $g$  is a non-decreasing real-valued function on the entire real axis,  $G$  is a subset of  $[a, b]$  containing  $a$  and  $b$  which is dense on  $[a, b]$ , if  $H = (a, b) - G$  and  $H$  is nonempty then  $(g, f, H)$  is a singular graph, and for each  $y$  in  $G$  if the restriction of  $g$  to  $[a, b]$  is discontinuous at  $y$  then  $y$  is not an exceptional number for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ . If  $a \leq y < b$  let  $f_G(y^+)$  denote the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y^+, x \in G} f(x),$$

and similarly define  $f_G(y^-)$  for  $a < y \leq b$ . Let  $M_G(f^+)$  denote the set of all numbers  $y$  such that  $a \leq y < b$  and  $f_G(y^+)$  does not exist, and similarly define  $M_G(f^-)$ . Then let

$$M_G(f) = M_G(f^+) + M_G(f^-).$$

Also, let  $s$  and  $\psi$  be a nondecreasing saltus function on the entire real axis and a continuous nondecreasing function on the entire real axis, respectively, such that  $g = s + \psi$ . Let  $\mu_\psi^*$  denote the outer measure function associated with the nondecreasing function  $\psi$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For  $G$  to be a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the following statements hold:*

- (a) *if  $a \leq y < b$  and  $g(y^+) \neq g(y)$ , then  $f_G(y^+)$  exists;*
- (b) *if  $a < y \leq b$  and  $g(y^-) \neq g(y)$ , then  $f_G(y^-)$  exists;*
- (c)  $\mu_\psi^*(M_G(f)) = 0$ .

**PROOF OF THE SUFFICIENCY.** We establish the sufficiency of the conjunction of (a), (b) and (c) by demonstrating that if these statements hold then

$$\lim_{D \subset G, \sup} S_D(f, s) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{D \subset G, \sup} S_D(f, \psi)$$

both exist, so that we can then conclude that

$$\lim_{D \subset G, \sup} S_D(f, g)$$

exists.

Proceeding in a manner analogous to that used by Lane in his proof of Theorem 3.1 of [3], we can show that the conjunction of (a) and (b) implies that the limit

$$\lim_{D \subset \tilde{G}, \triangleright} S_D(f, s)$$

exists, where  $\tilde{G}$  is the union of  $G$  and the set of points of  $(a, b)$  at which  $g$  is discontinuous. Then using the continuity of  $\psi$  in a modification of the sufficiency proof of Theorem 1 of [1] we can obtain the result that the conjunction of (a) and (b) implies that the limit

$$\lim_{D \subset G, \triangleright} S_D(f, s)$$

exists.

In this part of our sufficiency proof, we show that (c) implies that

$$\lim_{D \subset G, \triangleright} S_D(f, \psi)$$

exists. If  $A$  is a nonempty subset of  $G$ , let

$$\omega_G(A) = \text{l.u.b.}_{x \text{ in } A} f(x) - \text{g.l.b.}_{x \text{ in } A} f(x).$$

If  $y$  is in  $[a, b]$ , let

$$\omega_G(y) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_G((y - \delta, y + \delta) \cdot G).$$

For  $\eta > 0$ , let  $R_G(\eta)$  be the set of all numbers  $y$  in  $(a, b)$  such that  $\omega_G(y) \geq \eta$ ,  $y \in G$ , and  $y \in M_G(f)$ . If  $\eta > 0$ , each point of  $R_G(\eta)$  is an isolated point of this set, so that  $R_G(\eta)$  is countable, and hence  $\mu_\psi^*(R_G(\eta)) = 0$ . For  $\eta > 0$ , let  $T_G(\eta)$  denote the set of all  $y$  in  $[a, b]$  such that  $\omega_G(y) \geq \eta$ . If  $\eta > 0$ ,  $\mu_\psi^*(T_G(\eta)) = 0$ , and  $T_G(\eta)$  is closed. Proceeding as in the proof of the analogous result for the Stieltjes integral we can show that the desired limit exists.

**PROOF OF THE NECESSITY.** By assumption  $G$  is a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ .

Suppose that (a) does not hold. Then there is a  $y$  in  $[a, b)$  such that  $g(y^+) \neq g(y)$  and  $f_G(y^+)$  does not exist. Let  $l = g(y^+) - g(y)$ . There is a positive number  $k$  for which if  $y < z \leq b$  then there are points  $s$  and  $t$  in  $G \cdot (y, z)$  such that  $|f(s) - f(t)| \geq k$ . Let  $N$  be a positive number such that  $|f(x)| \leq N$  if  $x \in [a, b]$ . There is a number  $w$  in  $(y, b]$  such that if  $x \in (y, w)$  then  $|g(x) - g(y^+)| < \min\{l/2, lk/16N\}$ . Let  $\bar{G} = G + \{y\}$ . By Lemma 2 of [1],  $\bar{G}$  is a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ . Suppose that  $P$  is a  $\bar{G}$ -subdivision of  $[a, b]$ . Let  $\bar{P}$  be a  $\bar{G}$ -refinement of  $P$  containing  $y$  and at least one point of  $(y, w)$ . Let  $z$  be the smallest

number in  $\bar{P} \cdot (y, w)$ . Let  $s$  and  $t$  be in  $G \cdot (y, z)$  such that  $|f(s) - f(t)| \geq k$ . Let  $P' = \bar{P} + \{s\}$  and  $P'' = \bar{P} + \{t\}$ .  $P'$  and  $P''$  are  $\bar{G}$ -refinements of  $P$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{P'}(f, g) - S_{P''}(f, g)| &= \frac{1}{2} \{ |f(s) - f(t)| \cdot |g(z) - g(y)| \\ &\quad - |f(z) - f(y)| \cdot |g(s) - g(t)| \} \\ &> lk/8. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the result that  $\bar{G}$  is a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ .

We have from the above that (a) holds. Similarly (b) holds.

We now argue indirectly to show that (c) holds. Since by hypothesis

$$\lim_{D \subset G, \supset} S_D(f, g)$$

exists and since it follows from the result that (a) and (b) hold that

$$\lim_{D \subset G, \supset} S_D(f, s)$$

exists, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{D \subset G, \supset} S_D(f, \psi)$$

exists. Suppose that  $\mu_\psi^*(M_G(f)) > 0$ . Then at least one of  $\mu_\psi^*(M_G(f^+))$  and  $\mu_\psi^*(M_G(f^-))$  is positive; suppose for the sake of argument that  $\mu_\psi^*(M_G(f^+)) > 0$ . For each positive number  $\delta$ , let  $M_G(f, \delta^+)$  be the set of all  $x$  in  $[a, b)$  such that

$$\left[ \limsup_{t \rightarrow x^+, t \text{ in } G} f(t) - \liminf_{t \rightarrow x^+, t \text{ in } G} f(t) \right] \geq \delta.$$

There is a positive integer  $\bar{j}$  such that  $\omega_{\bar{j}}^+ \equiv \mu_\psi^*(M_G(f, (1/\bar{j})^+)) > 0$ . Let  $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$  be a  $G$ -subdivision of  $[a, b]$ . Let  $\{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m\}$  be the set of all positive integers  $i$  not exceeding  $n$  such that the segment  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$  contains a point of  $M_G(f, (1/\bar{j})^+)$ . For  $q = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let  $u_q = \text{l.u.b. } M_G(f, (1/\bar{j})^+) \cdot (x_{i_q-1}, x_{i_q})$ . Using the continuity of  $\psi$  we can show that

$$\sum_{q=1}^m [\psi(u_q) - \psi(x_{i_q-1})] > \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\bar{j}}^+$$

For each  $q = 1, 2, \dots, m$  for which  $u_q = x_{i_q}$ , or else  $u_q < x_{i_q}$  and  $u_q \in M_G(f, (1/\bar{j})^+)$ , let  $v_q$  be a number in  $(x_{i_q-1}, u_q)$  such that the inequality

$$(1) \quad |\psi(u_q) - \psi(x)| < (1/4m) \cdot \omega_{\bar{j}}^+$$

holds if  $x \in (v_q, u_q)$ , and such that the inequality

$$(2) \quad |\psi(x'') - \psi(x')| < (1/32mN) \cdot \omega_j^+ \cdot 1/\bar{j}$$

holds if  $x'$  and  $x''$  are in  $(v_q, u_q)$ . For each  $q=1, 2, \dots, m$  for which  $u_q < x_{i_q}$  and  $u_q \in M_G(f, (1/\bar{j})^+)$ , let  $v_q$  be a number in  $(u_q, x_{i_q})$  such that (1) holds if  $x \in (u_q, v_q)$ , and such that (2) holds if  $x'$  and  $x''$  are in  $(u_q, v_q)$ . For  $q=1, 2, \dots, m$ , let  $s_q$  and  $t_q$  be numbers in  $G$  satisfying  $v_q < s_q < t_q < u_q$  or  $u_q < s_q < t_q < v_q$  according as  $v_q < u_q$  or  $u_q < v_q$ , and such that  $|f(t_q) - f(s_q)| > 1/2\bar{j}$ . For  $q=1, 2, \dots, m$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} d_q = & [f(x_{i_{q-1}}) + f(t_q)][\psi(t_q) - \psi(x_{i_{q-1}})] \\ & - \{ [f(x_{i_{q-1}}) + f(s_q)][\psi(s_q) - \psi(x_{i_{q-1}})] \\ & \quad + [f(s_q) + f(t_q)][\psi(t_q) - \psi(s_q)] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $P_1$  be the  $G$ -refinement of  $P$  such that  $P_1 = P + Q_1 + \dots + Q_m$  where for  $q=1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $Q_q$  is  $\{s_q, t_q\}$  or  $\{t_q\}$  according as  $d_q$  is nonnegative or negative, and let  $P_2$  be the  $G$ -refinement of  $P$  such that  $P_2 = P + R_1 + \dots + R_m$  where for  $q=1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $R_q$  is  $\{t_q\}$  or  $\{s_q, t_q\}$  according as  $d_q$  is nonnegative or negative. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{P_1}(f, \psi) - S_{P_2}(f, \psi)| &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^m |d_q| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^m \{ |f(t_q) - f(s_q)| [\psi(s_q) - \psi(x_{i_{q-1}})] \\ &\quad - |f(s_q) - f(x_{i_{q-1}})| [\psi(t_q) - \psi(s_q)] \} \\ &> \frac{1}{2} \{ (1/2\bar{j}) \cdot \frac{1}{4}\omega_j^+ - (2Nm/32mN) \cdot \omega_j^+ \cdot 1/\bar{j} \} \\ &= (1/32)\omega_j^+ \cdot 1/\bar{j}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the result that  $\lim_{D \subset G} S_D(f, \psi)$  exists. We conclude that  $\mu_\psi^*(M_G(f)) = 0$ .

We now show how the following result due to Bzoch [1] follows fairly simply from Theorem 2.1.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *For  $G$  to be a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ , it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a bounded real-valued function  $u$  on  $[a, b]$  such that  $u(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $G$  and such that the Stieltjes mean sigma integral  $Fm \int_a^b u dg$  exists.*

**PROOF OF THE NECESSITY.** It is easy to determine a bounded real-valued function  $u$  on  $[a, b]$  such that

- (i)  $u(y) = f(y)$  if  $y \in G$ ;

- (ii) if  $a \leq y < b$  and  $f_G(y^+)$  exists, then  $u(y^+)$  exists;
- (iii) if  $a < y \leq b$  and  $f_G(y^-)$  exists, then  $u(y^-)$  exists.

Let  $D$  be the set of points of discontinuity of  $u$ . Since  $\mu_\psi^*(M_G(f)) = 0$  in view of Theorem 2.1, it follows that  $\mu_\psi^*(D) = 0$ . It follows, then, from Theorem 2.1 that  $Fm\int_a^b u dg$  exists.

PROOF OF THE SUFFICIENCY. By assumption there is a bounded real-valued function  $u$  on  $[a, b]$  such that  $u(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $G$ , and such that the Stieltjes mean sigma integral  $Fm\int_a^b u dg$  exists. Let  $D$  be the set of points of discontinuity of  $u$ . From Theorem 2.1 we have that  $\mu_\psi^*(D) = 0$ .  $M_G(f) \subset D$ ; hence  $\mu_\psi^*(M_G(f)) = 0$ . It follows, then, from Theorem 2.1 that the Lane integral  $L\int_a^b f dg$  exists.

**3. A further existence theorem.** Let the functions  $f, g, s, \psi, \omega_G$  and the sets  $G, H$  be as in §2. In the next theorem we present a necessary condition for  $G$  to be a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$  which is analogous to one given by C. B. Murray [5] for the Stieltjes mean sigma integral. Using the hypothesis that  $(g, f, H)$  is a singular graph in a modification of the sufficiency proof of Theorem 1.2 of [5] we can show that the condition of the following theorem is also sufficient.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a summability set for  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a, b]$ . Then for positive numbers  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$  there is a subdivision  $D = \{a = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n = b\}$  of  $[a, b]$  with the property that if  $\{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p\}$  is the set of all positive integers  $i$  not exceeding  $n$  for which there are points  $x', x''$  in  $(y_{i-1}, y_i) \cdot G$  such that  $|f(x') - f(x'')| \geq \eta$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^p [g(y_{i_j}) - g(y_{i_{j-1}})] < \epsilon$ .*

PROOF. We have from the sufficiency proof of Theorem 2.1 that there are a  $G$ -subdivision  $\tilde{D} = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = b\}$  of  $[a, b]$  and a subset  $\tilde{L} = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_q\}$  of the set of all positive integers not exceeding  $m$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^q [\psi(t_{k_j}) - \psi(t_{k_{j-1}})] < \epsilon/2$$

and such that if  $k$  is a positive integer not exceeding  $m$  such that  $k$  is not in  $\tilde{L}$  then  $\omega_G([t_{k-1}, t_k] \cdot G) < \eta$ .

Let  $j$  be a positive integer not exceeding  $q$ . Let  $\{z_{i,j}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of distinct points of  $[t_{k_{j-1}}, t_{k_j}]$  containing all of the points of this interval at which the saltus function  $s$  is discontinuous. For simplicity, let  $z_{1,j} = t_{k_{j-1}}$  and  $z_{2,j} = t_{k_j}$ . Let  $\bar{i}$  be a positive integer such that

$$\sum_{i=\bar{i}+2}^\infty [s(z_{i,j}^+) - s(z_{i,j}^-)] < \epsilon/2q.$$

Let  $\Delta_j = \{t_{k_j-1} = u_{0,j} < u_{1,j} < \dots < u_{i,j} = t_{k_j}\}$  be the subdivision of  $[t_{k_j-1}, t_{k_j}]$  consisting of the numbers  $z_{1,j}, z_{2,j}, \dots, z_{i+1,j}$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{i}$ , let  $u'_{i,j}$  be a number satisfying  $u_{i-1,j} < u'_{i,j} < u_{i,j}$ , and such that  $\omega_G((u_{i-1,j}, u'_{i,j}) \cdot G) < \eta$  in case  $g(u_{i-1,j}^+) \neq g(u_{i-1,j})$ , and let  $u''_{i,j}$  be a number satisfying  $u'_{i,j} < u''_{i,j} < u_{i,j}$ , and such that  $\omega_G((u'_{i,j}, u_{i,j}) \cdot G) < \eta$  in case  $g(u_{i,j}^-) \neq g(u_{i,j})$ . Let  $\bar{D}_j = \{t_{k_j-1} = t_{0,j} < t_{1,j} < \dots < t_{p_j,j} = t_{k_j}\}$  be the subdivision of  $[t_{k_j-1}, t_{k_j}]$  consisting of the points of  $\Delta_j$ , the numbers  $u'_{1,j}, u'_{2,j}, \dots, u'_{i,j}$ , and the numbers  $u''_{1,j}, u''_{2,j}, \dots, u''_{i,j}$ . Let  $\{i_{1,j} < i_{2,j} < \dots < i_{r_j,j}\}$  be the set of all positive integers  $i$  not exceeding  $p_j$  with  $\omega_G((t_{i-1,j}, t_{i,j}) \cdot G) \geq \eta$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^{r_j} [s(t_{i_{l,j},j}) - s(t_{i_{l,j}-1,j})] &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{i}} [s(u_{i,j}^-) - s(u_{i-1,j}^+)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{i}} \sum_{l: u_{i-1,j} < z_{l,j} < u_{i,j}} [s(z_{l,j}^+) - s(z_{l,j}^-)] \\ &= \sum_{i=i+2}^{\infty} [s(z_{i,j}^+) - s(z_{i,j}^-)] < \epsilon/2q. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $D = \{a = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n = b\}$  be the subdivision of  $[a, b]$  equal to  $\bar{D} + \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{D}_j$ . Let  $\{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r\}$  be the set of all positive integers  $j$  not exceeding  $n$  such that  $\omega_G((y_{j-1}, y_j) \cdot G) \geq \eta$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r [g(y_{j_i}) - g(y_{j_i-1})] &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q [\psi(t_{k_j}) - \psi(t_{k_j-1})] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{l=1}^{r_j} [s(t_{i_{l,j},j}) - s(t_{i_{l,j}-1,j})] < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. R. C. Bzoch, *Existence conditions for an integral of R. E. Lane*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **23** (1959), 117-124.
2. L. M. Graves, *The theory of functions of real variables* McGraw-Hill, New York, 1946.
3. R. E. Lane, *The integral of a function with respect to a function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 59-66.
4. ———, *The integral of a function with respect to a function*. II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6**(1955), 392-401.
5. C. B. Murray, *On the mean integral*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Texas Library, Austin, Texas, 1964.
6. H. L. Smith, *On the existence of the Stieltjes integral*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1925), 491-515.