

**ON STRONG RIESZ SUMMABILITY FACTORS  
OF INFINITE SERIES. I**

J. S. RATTI

(1.1) Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  be a given infinite series, and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  an increasing sequence of positive numbers, tending to infinity with  $n$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} A^0(\omega) &= A(\omega) = \sum_{\lambda_n < \omega} a_n, \\ A^k(\omega) &= \sum_{\lambda_n < \omega} (\omega - \lambda_n)^k a_n \\ &= \int_0^{\omega} (\omega - t)^k dA(t), \\ A^k(\omega) &= 0 \quad \text{for } \omega \leq 1, \text{ and } k > -1. \end{aligned}$$

The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $(R, \lambda, k)$  to the sum  $s$ , if  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega^{-k} A^k(\omega) \rightarrow s$ . The given series is said to be strongly summable  $(R, \lambda, k)$ , or simply summable  $[R, \lambda, k]$  to the sum  $s$ , if

$$\int_0^{\omega} |x^{-k+1} A^{k-1}(x) - s| dx = o(\omega);$$

where  $k > 0$ . The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be strongly summable  $(R, \lambda, k)$  with index  $m > 0$ , or summable  $[R, \lambda, k, m]$  to the sum  $s$ , if

$$\int_0^{\omega} |x^{-k+1} A^{k-1}(x) - s|^m dx = o(\omega),$$

where  $k > 0$  and  $km' > 1$ ,  $(1/m + 1/m' = 1)$ .

(1.2) The classical second theorem of consistency due to Hardy and Riesz [3] is to the effect that if  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $(R, \lambda, k)$  and  $\lambda_n = e^{\mu n}$ , then it is also summable  $(R, \mu, k)$  to the same sum. Later Hardy [2] generalized this theorem and proved:

**THEOREM A.** *If the series  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $(R, \lambda, k)$  and  $\mu$  is a logarithmico-exponential function (briefly an  $L$ -function) of  $\lambda$ , tending to infinity with  $\lambda$ , such that  $\mu = O(\lambda^{\Delta})$ , where  $\Delta$  is some constant, then  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $(R, \mu, k)$ .*

Finally Hirst [4] removed the limitation on  $\mu$  of being an  $L$ -function, replacing  $\mu$  by a more general function  $\phi(t)$ , and proved:

---

Received by the editors September 28, 1966.

**THEOREM B.** *If  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $(R, \lambda, k)$ , then it is summable  $(R, \mu, k)$  to the same sum, where  $\mu = \phi(\lambda)$ , and  $\phi(t)$  is a function which increases steadily to infinity with  $t$  and is a  $(k+1)$ th indefinite integral for  $t > 0$ , such that*

$$\int_0^x t^n |\phi^{(n+1)}(t)| dt = O\{\phi(x)\}; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

The following theorem on strong Riesz summability factors was proved by Borwein and Shawyer [1].

**THEOREM C.** *For all  $k \geq 1$ , if*

- (i)  $\phi(t)$  is an  $L$ -function,
- (ii)  $1/\omega = O\{\phi'(\omega)/\phi(\omega)\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\psi(\omega) = \{\phi(\omega)/\omega\phi'(\omega)\}^k$ ,

*then  $\sum a_n \psi(\lambda_n)$  is summable  $[R, \phi(\lambda), k]$  whenever  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $[R, \lambda, k]$ .*

The object of this paper is to establish a more general summability factor theorem for strong Riesz summability, which includes as a particular case Theorem C.

I should like to express my gratitude to Professor D. Waterman and the referee for their helpful suggestions.

(2.1) In the following we take functions  $\phi(t)$  and  $\psi(t)$  to be defined in  $(0, \infty)$  and to be as many times differentiable as required. In addition let  $\phi(t)$  be nonnegative, monotone increasing, and tending to infinity with  $t$ .

We establish the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer, and  $\phi(t)$  and  $\psi(t)$  be  $(k+1)$ th indefinite integrals for  $t > 0$ . If there is a positive, nondecreasing function  $\gamma(t)$  such that*

- (i)  $\gamma(t) = O(t)$  in  $(a, \infty)$ ;  $a > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $t^k \psi^{(n)}(t) = O\{\gamma(t)\}^{k-n}$ ;  $n = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ;  $t > a$ ,
- (iii)  $\{\gamma(t)\}^n \phi^{(n)}(t) = O[\phi(t)]$ ;  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;  $t > a$ ,

*then  $\sum a_n \psi(\lambda_n)$  is summable  $[R, \phi(\lambda), k, m]$ , whenever  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $[R, \lambda, k, m]$ , where  $m \geq 1$  and  $km' > 1$ .*

(2.2) The following lemmas will be required in the proof of our theorem.

**LEMMA 1 [3].** *If  $k$  is a positive integer, then  $A(t) = (1/k!)(d/dt)^k A^k(t)$ .*

**LEMMA 2 [5].** *If  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $[R, \lambda, k, m]$  for  $m \geq 1$ , then it is summable  $(R, \lambda, k)$ .*

LEMMA 3 [8]. If  $n$  is a positive integer and  $m \neq 0$ , then the  $n$ th derivative of  $\{f(x)\}^m$  is a sum of the constant multiples of a finite number of terms of the form

$$\{f(x)\}^{m-q} \prod_{p=1}^n \{f^{(p)}(x)\}^{\alpha_p},$$

where  $1 \leq q \leq n$  and the  $\alpha$ 's are nonnegative integers such that

$$\sum_1^n \alpha_p = q \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_1^n p\alpha_p = n.$$

If  $m$  is a positive integer, then  $1 \leq q \leq \min(m, n)$ .

3. **Proof of the theorem.** We may suppose without loss of generality that the sum of the given series is zero. Then the hypothesis of summability  $[R, \lambda, k, m]$  of  $\sum a_n$  reduces to

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^\omega |A^{k-1}(t)|^m dt = o[\omega^{(k-1)m+1}].$$

And for summability  $[R, \phi(\lambda), k, m]$  of  $\sum a_n \psi(\lambda_n)$  we must show

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^\omega \phi'(t) |B^{k-1}\{\phi(t)\}|^m dt = o[\{\phi(\omega)\}^{(k-1)m+1}],$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad B^{k-1}\{\phi(t)\} = \int_0^t \{\phi(t) - \psi(u)\}^{k-1} \psi(u) dA(u).$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$B^{k-1}\{\phi(t)\} = - \int_0^t (\partial/\partial u) [\{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1} \psi(u)] A(u) du.$$

And by Lemma 1,

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & B^{k-1}\{\phi(t)\} \\ &= - \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} [\{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1} \psi(u)] \left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^{k-1} A^{k-1}(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A^k(u)$  and its first  $(k-1)$  derivatives vanish at  $u=0$ , integrating (3.4) by parts  $(k-1)$  times, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & B^{k-1}\{\phi(t)\} = A^{k-1}(t) \psi(t) \{\phi'(t)\}^{k-1} \\ & + \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t A^{k-1}(u) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)^k [\{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1} \psi(u)] du. \end{aligned}$$

Thus to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^\omega |A^{k-1}(t)|^m \{\psi(t)\}^m \{\phi'(t)\}^{(k-1)m+1} dt = o[\{\phi(\omega)\}^{(k-1)m+1}]$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \int_0^\omega \phi'(t) \left| \int_0^t A^{k-1}(u) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)^k [\{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1} \psi(u)] du \right|^m dt = o[\{\phi(\omega)\}^{(k-1)m+1}].$$

PROOF OF (3.6). Let

$$J = \int_0^\omega |A^{k-1}(t)|^m \{\psi(t)\}^m \{\phi'(t)\}^{(k-1)m+1} dt = \int_0^1 + \int_1^\omega.$$

By hypotheses (ii) and (iii) of the theorem and the fact that  $A^k(\omega) = 0$  for  $\omega \leq 1$  and  $k > -1$ , we have

$$J = O\left(\int_1^\omega |A^{k-1}(t)|^m \left(\frac{\gamma(t)}{t}\right)^{km} \left(\frac{\phi(t)}{\gamma(t)}\right)^{(k-1)m+1} dt\right) = O\left(\{\phi(\omega)\}^{(k-1)m+1} \int_1^\omega |A^{k-1}(t)|^m t^{-km} \{\gamma(t)\}^{m-1} dt\right) = O\left(\{\phi(\omega)\}^{(k-1)m+1} \{\gamma(\omega)\}^{m-1} \int_1^\omega |A^{k-1}(t)|^m t^{-km} dt\right),$$

since  $k \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and  $\gamma(t)$  is a nondecreasing positive function.

Integrating the last expression by parts and using (3.1) we have:

$$J = o[\{\phi(\omega)\}^{(k-1)m+1} \{\gamma(\omega)\}^{m-1} \omega^{1-m}] = o[\{\phi(\omega)\}^{(k-1)m+1}]$$

by (i) of the theorem.

PROOF OF (3.7). Note that  $(\partial/\partial u)^k [\{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1} \psi(u)]$  is a sum of constant multiples of terms of type

$$\psi^{(\nu)}(u) (\partial/\partial u)^{k-\nu} \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1}; \quad 0 \leq \nu \leq k,$$

and, by Lemma 3,  $(\partial/\partial u)^{k-\nu} \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1}$  is a linear combination of expressions of the form

$$\{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1-\mu} \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{\phi^{(p)}(u)\}^{\alpha_p},$$

where  $0 \leq \mu \leq k - \nu - 1$  and

$$\sum_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \alpha_p = \mu, \quad \sum_{p=1}^{k-\nu} p\alpha_p = k - \nu.$$

Thus to prove (3.7) it is enough to show that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_0^\omega \phi'(t) \left| \int_0^t A^{k-1}(u) \{ \phi(t) - \phi(u) \}^{k-1-\mu} \psi^{(\nu)}(u) \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{ \phi^{(p)}(u) \}^{\alpha_p} du \right|^m dt = o[\{ \phi(\omega) \}^{(k-1)m+1}].$$

Consider

$$(3.9) \quad f(t) = \int_0^t A^{k-1}(u) \{ \phi(t) - \phi(u) \}^{k-1-\mu} \psi^{(\nu)}(u) \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{ \phi^{(p)}(u) \}^{\alpha_p} du.$$

Integrating (3.9) by parts and making use of the fact that summability  $[R, \lambda, k, m]$  implies summability  $(R, \lambda, k)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \left[ o(u^k) \psi^{(\nu)}(u) \{ \phi(t) - \phi(u) \}^{k-1-\mu} \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{ \phi^{(p)}(u) \}^{\alpha_p} \right]_0^t \\ &\quad + o \left( \int_0^t u^k \frac{d}{du} [\psi^{(\nu)}(u) \{ \phi(t) - \phi(u) \}^{k-1-\mu} \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{ \phi^{(p)}(u) \}^{\alpha_p}] du \right) \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

When  $0 \leq \mu < k - 1$ , then  $I_1 = 0$ ; and when  $\mu = k - 1$ ,

$$I_1 = \left[ o(t^k) \psi^{(\nu)}(t) \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{ \phi^{(p)}(t) \}^{\alpha_p} \right]$$

Using hypotheses (ii) and (iii) and the relations

$$\sum_1^{k-\nu} p\alpha_p = k - \nu, \quad \sum_1^{k-\nu} \alpha_p = \mu$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= o \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} [\{ \gamma(t) \}^p \{ \phi^{(p)}(t) \}^{\alpha_p}] \right) \\ &= o(\{ \phi(t) \}^{\sum \alpha_p}) \\ &= o(\{ \phi(t) \}^\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.10) \quad I_1 = o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-1}).$$

Now  $I_2 = I_3 + I_4 + I_5$ , where

$$I_3 = o\left(\int_0^t u^k \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-2-\mu} \phi'(u) \psi^{(\nu)}(u) \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{\phi^{(p)}(u)\}^{\alpha_p} du\right),$$

$$I_4 = o\left(\int_0^t u^k \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1-\mu} \psi^{(\nu+1)}(u) \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{\phi^{(p)}(u)\}^{\alpha_p} du\right),$$

$$I_5 = o\left(\int_0^t u^k \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1-\mu} \psi^{(\nu)}(u) \sum_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \alpha_p \frac{\phi^{(p+1)}(u)}{\phi^{(p)}(u)} \prod_{r=1}^{k-\nu} \{\phi^{(r)}(u)\}^{\alpha_r} du\right).$$

By hypothesis (ii),

$$I_3 = o\left(\int_0^t \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-\mu-2} \phi'(u) \prod_{p=1}^{k-\nu} [\{\gamma(u)\}^p \phi^{(p)}(u)]^{\alpha_p} du\right)$$

$$= o\left(\{\phi(t)\}^\mu \int_0^t \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-\mu-2} \phi'(u) du\right).$$

Thus

$$(3.11) \quad I_3 = o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-1}).$$

Consider  $I_4$  (a) If all  $\alpha_p$ 's are zero then  $\mu=0$  and  $k=\nu$ , since  $\sum \alpha_p = \mu$  and  $\sum p\alpha_p = k-\nu$ . Thus

$$I_4 = o\left(\int_0^t u^k \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1} \psi^{(k+1)}(u) du\right)$$

$$= o\left(t^k \{\phi(t)\}^{k-1} \int_0^t \psi^{(k+1)}(u) du\right)$$

$$= o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-1}),$$

since  $t^k \psi^{(k)}(t) = O(1)$  by (ii).

(b) Suppose that not all  $\alpha_p$ 's are zero, so that  $\mu \geq 1$ . If  $\alpha_q \geq 1$ , we have, by (ii) and (iii)

$$I_4 = o\left(\{\phi(t)\}^{\mu-1} \int_0^t \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1-\mu} \{\gamma(u)\}^{q-1} \phi^{(q)}(u) du\right)$$

$$= o\left(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-2} \{\gamma(t)\}^{q-1} \int_0^t \phi^{(q)}(u) du\right)$$

$$= o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-2} [\{\phi(t)\}^{q-1} \phi^{(q-1)}(t)])$$

$$= o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-1}).$$

Thus

$$(3.12) \quad I_4 = o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-1}).$$

When  $k > 1$ ,

$$I_5 = o\left(\int_0^t \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1-\mu} \sum_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \alpha_p \{\gamma(u)\}^p \left| \phi^{(p+1)}(u) \right| \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{k-\nu} \left| \{\gamma(u)\}^r \phi^{(r)}(u) \right|^{\alpha_r} du\right),$$

where  $\prod^{(p)}$  means that in the  $p$ th factor the power is  $\alpha_p - 1$  (not  $\alpha_p$ ). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= o\left(\int_0^t \{\phi(t) - \phi(u)\}^{k-1-\mu} \sum_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{\gamma(u)\}^p \phi^{(p+1)}(u) \{\phi(u)\}^{\sum \alpha_p - 1} du\right) \\ &= o\left(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-2} \sum_{p=1}^{k-\nu} \{\gamma(t)\}^p \int_0^t \phi^{(p+1)}(u) du\right) \\ &= o\left(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-2} \sum_{p=1}^{k-\nu} [\{\gamma(t)\}^p \phi^{(p)}(t)]\right) \\ &= o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

When  $k=1$ , the proof is similar to that for  $I_4$ , case (a). Thus

$$(3.13) \quad I_5 = o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-1}).$$

From (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) it follows that the function  $f(t)$  of (3.9) is  $o(\{\phi(t)\}^{k-1})$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\omega \phi'(t) |f(t)|^m dt &= o\left(\int_0^\omega \{\phi(t)\}^{(k-1)m} \phi'(t) dt\right) \\ &= o[\{\phi(\omega)\}^{(k-1)m+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

In the special case when  $\psi(t)=1$ ,  $\gamma(t)=t$ ,  $m=1$ , we have the "second theorem of consistency for strong summability" due to Srivastava [6].

When  $\phi(t)=e^t$ ,  $\psi(t)=t^{-k}$ ,  $\gamma(t)=1$ ,  $m=1$ , we have the following strong Riesz summability analogue of a theorem due to Tatchell [7].

**THEOREM.** *If  $k$  is a positive integer and the series  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $[R, \lambda, k]$ , then  $\sum a_n \lambda_n^{-k}$  is summable  $[R, e^\lambda, k]$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Borwein and B. L. R. Shawyer, *On strong Riesz summability factors*, J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965), 111-126.

2. G. H. Hardy, *The second theorem of consistency for summable series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **15** (1916), 72–78.
3. G. H. Hardy and M. Riesz, *The general theory of Dirichlet's series*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., No. 18, Cambridge Univ. Press, England.
4. K. A. Hirst, *On the second theorem of consistency in the theory of summability by typical means*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **33** (1932), 353–366.
5. P. Srivastava, *On strong Rieszian summability of infinite series*, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India Part A **23** (1957), 58–71.
6. ———, *On the second theorem of consistency for strong Riesz summability*, Indian J. Mech. Math. **1** (1958–1959), 1–16.
7. J. B. Tatchell, *A theorem on absolute Riesz summability*, J. London Math. Soc. **29** (1954), 49–59.
8. C. J. de la Vallée-Poussin, *Cours d'analyse infinitésimale*, Louvain, Paris, 1923.

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY AND  
OAKLAND UNIVERSITY