

# ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN SEMIGROUPS OF INTEGERS

PAGE PAINTER

1. **Introduction.** Let  $j$  and  $k$  be positive integers. Let  $G_j$  be the multiplicative group of residue classes mod  $j$  whose elements are relatively prime to  $j$ , and denote its elements by  $R'_1, R'_2, \dots, R'_{\phi(j)}$ . We will always identify  $R'_1$  with the identity element, the class of all integers congruent to 1 mod  $j$ . Let  $R_i$  be the set of positive integers in  $R'_i$ , and note that  $R_1$  is a semigroup under multiplication. Similarly  $G_k$  has elements  $S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_{\phi(k)}$  where  $S_i$  is the set of positive integers in  $S'_i$ . Again  $S_1$  is a semigroup.

For the case  $j=1$  and  $k=2$ , any one-to-one mapping of the primes onto the odd primes can be extended to an isomorphism of  $R_1 = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  onto  $S_1 = \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ . However, the semigroup  $\{1, 4, 7, \dots\}$  corresponding to the identity element of  $G_3$  is not isomorphic to the above semigroups since unique factorization holds in the former cases but not in the latter ( $100 = 10 \cdot 10 = 25 \cdot 4$ ). Our main result is that  $G_j$  is isomorphic to  $G_k$  (written  $G_j \sim G_k$ ) if and only if  $R_1 \sim S_1$ . The problem of the existence of isomorphisms between these infinite semigroups is thereby reduced to a solvable problem.

## 2. Isomorphisms.

**THEOREM.**  $G_j \sim G_k$  if and only if  $R_1 \sim S_1$ .

**PROOF.** Assume  $G_j \sim G_k$ . We may choose our notation so that the class  $S'_i$  is the image of the class  $R'_i$ . Thus the isomorphism induces a mapping  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha(R_i) = S_i$ . We use  $\alpha$  to define a mapping of the integers in  $R_1$  onto the integers in  $S_1$  as follows: for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\phi(j)$  set up a one-to-one correspondence between the primes in  $R_i$  and the primes in  $S_i$ . Now given any element  $a$  in  $R_1$ , write its decomposition into prime factors  $p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots$  (these factors must be in the sets  $R_i$ ), and map these primes onto their corresponding primes  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots$  in the sets  $S_i$ . In this way we define a mapping  $a \rightarrow q_1 q_2 q_3 \dots$ . It is easy to show that this gives an isomorphism of  $R_1$  onto  $S_1$ .

Before proving the converse, we note that if  $F_j = \{H'_1, H'_2, \dots\}$  is a subgroup of  $G_j$ , where  $H_i$  is again the set of positive integers in  $H'_i$ , then  $H = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots$  is a semigroup under multiplication. Let  $F_k = \{I'_1, I'_2, \dots\}$  be a subgroup of  $G_k$ , and let  $I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots$ . The above proof is easily generalized to show that if  $G_j \sim G_k$  and  $F_j \sim F_k$ , then  $H \sim I$ . The converse is false since it is always true that

---

Received by the editors March 30, 1966.

$R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \dots \cup R_{\phi(j)} \sim S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_{\phi(k)}$  (unique factorization holds in both semigroups).

Conversely assume  $R_1 \sim S_1$ . Let  $p$  be any prime such that  $(p, j) = 1$ , and let  $t$  be the least positive integer such that  $p^t \equiv 1 \pmod{j}$ . Then  $p^t$  is a member of  $R_1$ , and its image under the  $R_1$  to  $S_1$  isomorphism is some product of primes  $q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$ . Let  $v$  be the least positive integer such that  $q_1^v \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ . Under the  $R_1$  to  $S_1$  isomorphism  $p^{tv}$  maps onto  $(q_1 q_2 \dots q_n)^v = q_1^v (q_2 \dots q_n)^v$ . Since the only factorization of  $p^{tv}$  into irreducible elements of  $R_1$  contains  $v$  irreducible factors each  $p^t$ ,  $(q_1 q_2 \dots q_n)^v$  must factor uniquely into  $v$  identical factors in  $S_1$ . But  $(q_1 q_2 \dots q_n)^v$  has an irreducible factor  $q_1^v$  in  $S_1$ , so we conclude that  $p^t$  maps onto  $q_1^v$ . Furthermore all the  $q_i$  are equal and  $n = v$ .

Now let  $p_0$  be another prime, different from  $p$ , such that  $p_0 \equiv p \pmod{j}$ . Then  $t$  is the least positive integer such that  $p_0^t \equiv 1 \pmod{j}$ , and under the  $R_1$  to  $S_1$  isomorphism  $p_0^t$  maps onto  $q_0^v$ , where  $q_0$  is a prime different from  $q_1$ . Consequently  $(p p_0^{t-1})^t$  maps onto  $q_1^v q_0^{v(t-1)}$ . Since this expression must be a  $t$ th power, we have  $t|v$ . Similarly we can show that  $v|t$ , so that  $t = v$ .

We now see that the  $R_1$  to  $S_1$  isomorphism can be embedded in a larger mapping  $\psi$  by defining  $\psi(p) = q_1$ ,  $\psi(p_0) = q_0$ : similarly every prime  $p_i$  (not a divisor of  $j$ ) maps onto a prime  $q_i$  (not a divisor of  $k$ ), where the  $R_1$  to  $S_1$  isomorphism maps  $p_i^{\phi(i)}$  onto  $q_i^{\phi(i)}$ . If  $a$  is any positive integer relatively prime to  $j$ , write its decomposition into prime factors  $p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots$ , and define  $\psi(a) = \psi(p_1) \psi(p_2) \psi(p_3) \dots$ .

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be elements of  $R_i$ . Then both  $ab^{\phi(i)-1}$  and  $b^{\phi(i)}$  are in  $R_1$ . Since  $\psi$ , restricted to  $R_1$ , is the  $R_1$  to  $S_1$  isomorphism, both of these products are mapped by  $\psi$  into  $S_1$ . Now it is easy to show that  $\psi(a)$  and  $\psi(b)$  are in the same set  $S_i$  ( $\psi$  preserves congruence), and that  $\psi$  maps  $R_i$  onto  $S_i$ . Hence we can define a mapping of  $G_j$  onto  $G_k$  by defining the image of  $R'_i$  to be  $S'_i$ , where  $\psi$  maps  $R_i$  onto  $S_i$ . It is easy to verify that this gives an isomorphism of  $G_j$  onto  $G_k$ .

**3. Monomorphisms and epimorphisms.** We can always define an isomorphism of  $R_1$  into  $S_1$  by setting up a one-to-one mapping of the primes into the primes of  $S_1$  and extending the mapping to the product of primes as before. By defining a mapping of the primes in  $R_1$  onto  $S_1$  and by extending this mapping so that any prime not in  $R_1$  maps onto 1, we have a homomorphism of  $R_1$  onto  $S_1$ . However, there are many examples where there is no isomorphism of  $G_j$  into  $G_k$  and where there is no homomorphism of  $G_j$  onto  $G_k$  e.g.  $G_5$  and  $G_8$ . Hence the theorem cannot be generalized to the case of monomorphisms or to the case of epimorphisms.