

# ADDITIVE FUNCTIONALS ON $C(Y)$ <sup>1</sup>

J. KUELBS

**1. Introduction.** In [1] and [2] Cameron and Graves provide an interesting characterization of the class of additive Wiener measurable functionals on the space  $C$  of real valued continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$  which vanish at zero. The purpose of this paper is to obtain a similar characterization for additive measurable functionals on  $C(Y)$  when  $Y$  is the product space  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ . It is assumed that  $Y$  has the product topology, that  $b_k - a_k = O(2^{-k})$ , and that  $C(Y)$  denotes the real continuous functions on  $Y$  with the uniform topology. The measure on  $C(Y)$  is the Gaussian measure  $m$  defined in [3].

Since the class of open subsets of  $C(Y)$  is  $m$ -measurable it follows that continuous functionals are measurable and, in particular, that bounded linear functionals on  $C(Y)$  will have our representation. In Theorems 2 and 3 the relationship between the Riesz representation and our representation for bounded linear functionals is determined. In §4 it is shown that certain additive functionals on  $C(X)$ , where  $X$  is a compact metric space, also have our representation.

**2. Preliminary results.** Let  $Y_n = \prod_{k=1}^n [a_k, b_k] \times \alpha_n$  where  $\alpha_n = (a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and by  $S_n$  denote the  $2^n$  subsets of  $Y_n$  formed by selecting  $n - k$  of the first  $n$  coordinates and setting each such  $x_j$  equal to  $a_j$  while the remaining  $k$  coordinates among the first  $n$  are allowed to vary as they do in  $Y_n$ . The symbol  $S$  denotes  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ . If  $I \in S$  and  $I$  has  $k > 0$  coordinates which vary, then  $\mu_I$  denotes Lebesgue measure on  $I$  when  $I$  is considered as  $k$ -dimensional. If  $I$  is the single point  $(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ , then  $\mu_I$  is the measure obtained by placing mass one at this point.

If  $B$  is a Borel subset of  $Y$ , we define  $\nu(B) = \sum_{I \in S} \mu_I(B \cap I)$ . Then  $\nu$  is sigma-additive on the Borel sets and  $C(Y)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$  with respect to mean square convergence. In fact, polynomials in finitely many coordinates of  $Y$  with rational coefficients are dense in  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ , and, as a result, a countable orthonormal basis of polynomials exists for  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ .

Let  $\{\phi_k(p)\}$  be a complete orthonormal set in  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$  each of which is a polynomial in a finite number of variables. If  $g \in \mathcal{L}_2(Y)$  and  $g_n(p) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(p)$  where  $c_k = \int_Y g \phi_k d\nu$ , then, as is shown in [3], the P.W.Z. (Paley, Wiener, Zygmund) integral  $\int_Y g(df) \sim \lim_n \int_Y g_n df$

Received by the editors December 23, 1966.

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by NSF Grant GP-3483.

exists for almost all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$ . Here by  $\int_Y g_n df$  we mean  $\sum_{I \in S} \int_I g_n df$  where  $\int_I g_n df$  denotes the ordinary Riemann-Stieltjes integral of  $g_n$  with respect to  $f$  over  $I$  when  $g_n$  and  $f$  are thought of as functions on  $I$ . The existence of  $\int_Y g_n df$  for almost all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$  and the fact that  $\int_Y g_n df$  is a Gaussian functional with mean zero and variance  $\frac{1}{2} \int_Y g_n^2 d\nu$  is assured by results in [3]. It is also shown in [3] that  $\int_Y g(df)^\sim$  is a Gaussian functional with expectation zero and variance  $\frac{1}{2} \int_Y g^2 d\nu$  which is independent of the complete orthonormal set  $\{\phi_k(p)\}$  provided each  $\phi_k$  is a polynomial in a finite number of variables. Consequently, if  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  are orthonormal elements of  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ , then  $\int_Y g_1(df)^\sim, \dots, \int_Y g_n(df)^\sim$  form an independent family of Gaussian functionals with mean zero and variance one-half.

In [4] Cameron and Martin introduced a complete orthonormal set of functionals in  $\mathcal{L}_2(C)$  where  $C$  is as in the Introduction and the measure on  $C$  is Wiener measure. In a similar fashion one can introduce a complete orthonormal set of functionals for the space of square integrable functionals  $\mathcal{L}_2$  on  $C(Y)$ .

Let  $H_n(u)$  be the partially normalized Hermite polynomial

$$(-1)^n 2^{-n/2} (n!)^{-1/2} e^{u^2} \frac{d^n}{du^n} (e^{-u^2}) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $\{\phi_p(p)\}$  is any orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ , we define

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_{m,k}(f) = H_m \left( \int_Y \phi_k(df)^\sim \right), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots; k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(f) = \Phi_{m_1,1}(f) \cdots \Phi_{m_k,k}(f).$$

Since  $H_0(u) = 1$  it follows that  $\Phi_{0,k}(f) = 1$  and  $\Psi_{m_1, \dots, m_k, 0, \dots, 0}(f) = \Psi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(f)$ . By  $\{\Psi_\alpha\}$  we will denote the set of functionals of the form (2.2) where  $\alpha$  is any finite sequence of nonnegative integers. Then  $\{\Psi_\alpha\}$  is a complete orthonormal subset for  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . That is, if  $F$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_2$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ F(f) - \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_N=0}^N A_{m_1, \dots, m_N} \Psi_{m_1, \dots, m_N}(f) \right]^2 = 0$$

where  $A_{m_1, \dots, m_N} = E[F(f) \Psi_{m_1, \dots, m_N}(f)]$  and by  $E(\cdot)$  we mean integration with respect to the measure  $m$  on  $C(Y)$ . The proof of this can be carried out with only a few minor modifications to the proof of the corresponding result in [4].

Henceforth we will assume  $\{\phi_k(p)\}$  is a complete orthonormal set for  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$  and that each is a polynomial in a finite number of variables. Using the Fourier-Hermite expansion for functionals in  $\mathcal{L}_2$  men-

tioned above and the translation theorem of [3] for the measure  $m$  on  $C(Y)$  it is possible by application of the techniques of Cameron and Graves found in [1] and [2] to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let  $F(f)$  be measurable and additive on  $C(Y)$ . Let  $\{\phi_k(p)\}$  be the complete orthonormal set of polynomials for  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$  used in the definition of the Fourier-Hermite functionals and set  $\theta_k(p) = \int_{Y(p)} \phi_k d\nu$  where  $Y(p) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, x_k]$  if  $p = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [F(\theta_k)]^2 < \infty$  and, for almost all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad F(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\theta_k) \int_Y \phi_k df.$$

**3. The representation theorem and its relationship to the integral representation of Riesz.** A functional  $F$  will be called essentially additive, homogeneous, or linear if it is almost everywhere equal to a functional which is additive, homogeneous, or linear, respectively.

THEOREM 1. A measurable function  $F$  is essentially additive on  $C(Y)$  iff for almost all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$

$$(3.1) \quad F(f) = \int_Y h(df)^\sim$$

where  $h$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ .

PROOF. Since  $F$  is measurable and essentially additive there exists an additive measurable functional  $G$  on  $C(Y)$  such that  $F(f) = G(f)$  almost everywhere. Now by (2.3)

$$G(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(\theta_k) \int_Y \phi_k df$$

almost everywhere and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [G(\theta_k)]^2 < \infty$ . Let

$$h = \text{l.i.m.}_N \sum_{k=1}^N G(\theta_k) \phi_k,$$

then by definition  $\int_Y h(df)^\sim = \lim_N \int_Y g_N df$  where  $g_N = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \phi_k$  and  $c_k = \int_Y \phi_k h d\nu = G(\theta_k)$ . That is,  $\int_Y h(df)^\sim = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(\theta_k) \int_Y \phi_k df = G(f)$  for almost all  $f$  and hence (3.1) holds for  $F$  almost surely.

Suppose  $F(f) = \int_Y h(df)^\sim$  almost surely for some  $h$  in  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ . Since  $\int_Y h(df)^\sim$  is linear on a linear subspace of  $C(Y)$  of measure one it follows that  $\int_Y h(df)^\sim$  can be extended to be linear on all of  $C(Y)$ . Hence  $F(f)$  is essentially linear on  $C(Y)$  and the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY. If  $F$  is measurable and essentially additive on  $C(Y)$ ,

then  $F$  is essentially linear and has a Gaussian distribution with mean zero and variance  $\frac{1}{2} \int_Y h^2 d\nu$  when  $F$  is as in (3.1).

PROOF. The fact that  $F$  is essentially linear appears in the proof of Theorem 1. To see that  $F(f)$  has the indicated Gaussian distribution simply observe that  $F(f) = \int_Y h(df)^\sim$  almost everywhere for some  $h \in \mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ . Now  $\int_Y h(df)^\sim = \lim_n \int_Y g_n df$  where  $g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k$  and  $c_k = \int_Y h \phi_k d\nu$ , and since  $\{c_k \int_Y \phi_k df\}$  is a sequence of independent Gaussian functionals with mean zero and variance  $c_k^2/2$  the result follows.

If  $F(f)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $C(Y)$ , then the Riesz representation theorem asserts that there exists a finite signed measure  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $Y$  such that  $F(f) = \int_Y f d\mu$  for all  $f \in C(Y)$ . On the other hand,  $F(f) = \int_Y h(df)^\sim$  for almost all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$  where  $h$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ . We now proceed to relate the measure  $\mu$  and the function  $h$ .

If  $\mu$  is a finite signed measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\tau$  is a continuous function of  $Y$  into  $Y$ , then for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have  $\tau^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}$  and we define  $\mu^\tau$  to be the measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mu^\tau(B) = \mu(\tau^{-1}(B))$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

By  $\tau_n$  we mean the projection of  $Y$  onto  $Y_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . That is,  $\tau_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, a_{n+1}, \dots)$  for all  $p$  in  $Y$ . Now  $\tau_n$  is continuous and hence  $\mu_n = \mu^{\tau_n}$  is a finite signed measure on  $Y$  concentrated in  $Y_n$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $f$  is in  $C(Y)$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y f d\mu_n = \int_Y f d\mu.$$

PROOF. First observe that

$$\int_Y f(p) d\mu_n = \int_Y f(p) d\mu^{\tau_n} = \int_Y f(\tau_n(p)) d\mu \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then since  $f \in C(Y)$  and  $\tau_n$  converges uniformly to the identity map, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y f(p) d\mu_n = \int_Y f(p) d\mu.$$

Since  $\mu_n$  is a finite signed measure concentrated in  $Y_n$  it follows from [5, p. 288] that there exists a unique function  $H_n$  on  $Y_n$  such that

- (1)  $H_n$  is bounded and of bounded variation on all  $I \in S_n$ ,
- (2)  $H_n(p) = 0$  if any  $x_k = b_k$  when  $p = (x_1, \dots, x_n, a_{n+1}, \dots)$ ,
- (3)  $H_n$  is left continuous on  $Y_n$  except possibly for points  $p$

$= (x_1, \dots, x_n, a_{n+1}, \dots)$  when some  $x_k = b_k$ , and

$$(4) \int_{Y_n} f dH_n = \int_Y f d\mu_n \text{ for all } f \in C(Y).$$

On the other hand, the existence of an  $H_n$  satisfying (1), (2), and (3) implies the existence of a measure  $\mu_n$  concentrated on the Borel subsets of  $Y_n$  such that (4) holds. We extend  $H_n$  to be zero on  $Y - Y_n$ . Since  $H_n = 0$  on  $Y - Y_n$  it follows that

$$\int_Y (-1)^n H_n df = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}} \int_I (-1)^n H_n df = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}_n} \int_I (-1)^n H_n df.$$

Now by the integration by parts formula given in [6, p. 415] and the fact that  $H_n(p) = 0$  for all  $p = (x_1, \dots, x_n, a_{n+1}, \dots)$  when some  $x_k = b_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , we obtain  $\int_Y (-1)^n H_n df = \int_{Y_n} f dH_n$ . It is now possible to relate the Riesz representation of a bounded linear functional and the representation provided in (3.1). In the next theorem we assume  $\mu_n = \mu^n$  and that  $H_n(p)$  is related to  $\mu_n$  as above.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $F$  is a bounded linear functional on  $C(Y)$  such that  $F(f) = \int_Y f d\mu$  where  $\mu$  is a finite signed measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $F(f) = \int_Y h(df)^\sim$  for almost all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$  where  $h \in \mathcal{L}_2(Y)$  and  $h(p) = \text{l.i.m.}_n (-1)^n H_n(p)$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\lim_n \int_{Y_n} f d\mu_n = \int_Y f d\mu$  and  $\int_{Y_n} f d\mu_n = \int_{Y_n} f dH_n = \int_Y (-1)^n H_n df$  we have  $F(f) = \lim_n \int_Y (-1)^n H_n df$  for all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$ . Now  $F(f) = \int_Y h(df)^\sim$  and since  $\int_Y (-1)^n H_n df = \int_Y (-1)^n H_n(df)^\sim$  almost surely on  $C(Y)$  it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y [(-1)^n H_n - h](df)^\sim = 0$  for almost all  $f$ . However,  $\int_Y [(-1)^n H_n - h](df)^\sim$  is a Gaussian functional with mean zero and variance  $\int_Y [(-1)^n H_n - h]^2 d\nu$  so we have  $\lim_n \int_Y [(-1)^n H_n - h]^2 d\nu = 0$  as was to be proved.

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $E$  is an open subset of  $C(Y)$ , then  $m(E) > 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $I = \{f \in C(Y) : \|f\| \leq \lambda\}$  where  $\|f\|$  is the uniform norm of  $f$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of polynomials on  $Y$  each in a finite number of variables such that  $\{f_k\}$  is dense in  $C(Y)$ . Let  $I_k = \{f \in C(Y) : \|f - f_k\| \leq \lambda\}$ . Then  $I_k - f_k = I$ , and if  $F(f) = \chi_I(f)$ , we have by the translation theorem in [3] that

$$E(F(f)) = E \left\{ F(f + f_k) \exp \left[ - \int_Y f_k^2 d\nu - 2 \int_Y f_k df \right] \right\}.$$

Thus  $m(I) = 0$  if and only if  $m(I_k) = 0$ . However,  $C(Y) = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty I_k$  and if  $m(I) = 0$  then  $m(C(Y)) = 0$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $m(I) > 0$  and  $m(I_k) > 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $E$  is open there exists  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f_j$  such that  $I_j = \{f \in C(Y) : \|f - f_j\| \leq \lambda\}$  is a subset of  $E$ . Hence  $m(E) \geq m(I_j) > 0$  as was to be proved.

Let  $h(p)$  be a function on  $Y$  and define  $H_n(p) = h(p)$  for  $p \in Y_n$  except when some coordinate  $x_k$  of  $p$  is  $b_k$  and zero otherwise. Further, suppose  $H_n$  satisfies conditions (1) and (3) and that  $\mu_n$  is the finite signed measure concentrated on  $Y_n$  related to  $(-1)^n H_n$ . We then say  $\{\mu_n\}$  is obtained from  $h$ . If  $\mu$  is a signed measure on  $Y$  then  $|\mu|(Y)$  denotes the total variation of  $\mu$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $F(f) = \int_Y h(df)^\sim$  where  $h \in \mathcal{L}_2(Y)$  and  $\{\mu_n\}$  is obtained from  $h$  such that  $|\mu_n|(Y) < M$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $F(f)$  is essentially a bounded linear functional on  $C(Y)$ , and for almost all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$*

$$F(f) = \lim_n \int_Y f d\mu_n.$$

**PROOF.** Since  $\int_Y f d\mu_n = \int_{Y_n} (-1)^n f dH_n = \int_Y H_n df$  it follows that  $\lim_n \int_Y f d\mu_n = \lim_n \int_Y H_n df$ . Now  $H_n$  converges to  $h$  in  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ , and for almost all  $f \in C(Y)$

$$\lim_n \left[ \int_Y H_n df - \int_Y h(df)^\sim \right] = \lim_n \int_Y (H_n - h)(df)^\sim = 0$$

since  $\lim_n \int_Y (H_n - h)^2 d\nu = 0$ . Hence  $\lim_n \int_Y f d\mu_n = \int_Y h(df)^\sim = F(f)$  for almost all  $f$  in  $C(Y)$ . Since open sets have positive measure there exists a dense set  $\{f_k\}$  in  $C(Y)$  such that  $\lim_n \int_Y f_k d\mu_n = F(f_k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $f \in C(Y)$ . Then

$$\left| \int_Y f d\mu_n - \int_Y f_k d\mu_n \right| \leq 2M \|f - f_k\| + \left| \int_Y f_k d\mu_n - \int_Y f_k d\mu_m \right|,$$

so  $\lim_n \int_Y f d\mu_n$  exists for all  $f \in C(Y)$ . If  $L(f) = \lim_n \int_Y f d\mu_n$ , then  $L(f)$  is linear,  $L(f) = F(f)$  almost surely, and  $L(f) \leq M \|f\|$ . Hence  $F(f)$  is an essentially bounded linear functional on  $C(Y)$ .

4. Since any compact metric space  $X$  is homeomorphic to a closed subset of  $Y$  it follows that any additive functional on  $C(X)$  gives rise to an additive functional on  $C(Y)$ . That is, let  $\phi$  be a homeomorphism of  $X$  into  $Y$  and define  $\theta(f) = f(\phi(\cdot))$ ,  $f \in C(Y)$ , then  $\theta$  maps  $C(Y)$  onto  $C(X)$  and  $\theta$  is continuous. The measure  $m^\theta$  is defined on the Borel subsets  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $C(X)$  by the equation  $m^\theta(A) = m(\theta^{-1}(A))$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then if  $G$  is an essentially additive  $m^\theta$ -measurable functional on  $C(X)$ , we have  $F(f) = G(\theta(f))$  as an essentially additive measurable functional on  $C(Y)$ . Using Theorem 1 with  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  defined as above, we get the following result.

**THEOREM 4.** *If a functional  $G$  on  $C(X)$  is essentially additive and  $m^\theta$ -measurable, then there exists an  $h$  in  $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$  such that  $g = \theta(f)$  implies*

$$G(g) = \int_Y h(df) \sim$$

for almost every  $g$  in  $C(X)$ .

In particular, since the open sets of  $C(X)$  are  $m^0$ -measurable it follows that the bounded linear functionals on  $C(X)$  have the above representation.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. H. Cameron and R. E. Graves, *Additive functionals on a space of continuous functions*. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), 160–176.
2. R. E. Graves, *Additive functionals on a space of continuous functions*. II, Ann. of Math. **54** (1951), 275–285.
3. J. D. Kuelbs, *A Cameron-Martin translation theorem for a Gaussian measure on  $C(Y)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 109–114.
4. R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, *The orthogonal development of non-linear functionals in series of Fourier-Hermite functionals*, Ann. of Math. **48** (1947), 385–392.
5. E. J. McShane, *Integration*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1944.
6. J. Yeh, *Cameron-Martin translation theorems in the Wiener space of functions of two variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1963), 409–420.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN