

## PAIRS OF CONSECUTIVE PRIMITIVE ROOTS MODULO A PRIME

EMANUEL VEGH

A. Brauer [1] has shown that given an integer  $N$ , there are at least  $N$  consecutive quadratic nonresidues modulo  $p$  for all sufficiently large primes  $p$ . A similar result, if true, for the primitive roots modulo  $p$  appears to be difficult to prove. A. Brauer has asked (oral communication) if, for all sufficiently large primes, there is a pair of consecutive primitive roots. In this direction we prove the

**THEOREM.** *If  $p$  is a prime greater than 3 such that  $\Phi(p-1)/(p-1) > 1/3$ , where  $\Phi$  is the Euler totient function, then  $p$  has consecutive primitive roots.*

First, we prove the

**LEMMA.** *If  $p$  is a prime greater than 3 then exactly half the primitive roots modulo  $p$  are followed by quadratic nonresidues.*

**PROOF OF THE LEMMA.** Let  $\xi$  be a primitive root modulo  $p$ . Now  $p-1$  and  $p-2$  are relatively prime and therefore  $\xi^{p-2}$  is also a primitive root modulo  $p$ . Furthermore  $\xi \not\equiv \xi^{p-2} \pmod{p}$  since  $p \neq 3$ . Since  $\xi$  is also a quadratic nonresidue, it follows from the congruence

$$\xi(\xi^{p-2} + 1) = \xi^{p-1} + \xi \equiv \xi + 1 \pmod{p}$$

that  $\xi + 1$  is a quadratic nonresidue if and only if  $\xi^{p-2} + 1$  is a quadratic residue. Thus exactly one of the primitive roots  $\xi$  and  $\xi^{p-2}$  is followed by a quadratic nonresidue. It is easy to see that if  $\eta$  is a primitive root incongruent to  $\xi$  and  $\xi^{p-2}$  then  $\eta^{p-2}$  is also incongruent to  $\xi$  and  $\xi^{p-2}$ . The lemma then follows by considering all pairs of primitive roots  $\xi$  and  $\xi^{p-2}$ .

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** Let  $p$  be a prime greater than 3 such that  $\Phi(p-1)/(p-1) > 1/3$ . If we assume that there are no consecutive primitive roots modulo  $p$ , then using the Lemma, one half of the  $\Phi(p-1)$  primitive roots are followed by quadratic nonresidues, no one of which is a primitive root. Since each of the primitive roots is a quadratic nonresidue it follows that there are then at least  $(3/2)\Phi(p-1)$  quadratic nonresidues. Since there is a total  $(p-1)/2$  quadratic nonresidues,  $(3/2)\Phi(p-1) \leq (p-1)/2$ , a contradiction. The theorem is thus proved.

---

Received by the editors June 13, 1967.

It might be noted that, as a consequence of the theorem cited in [1], for all sufficiently large primes of the form  $2^n + 1$ , there are arbitrarily long sequences of primitive roots, since here each quadratic nonresidue is also a primitive root.

## REFERENCE

1. A. Brauer, *Über Sequenzen von Potenzresten*, S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., 1928, pp. 9-16.

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY, WASHINGTON, D. C.