

## A NOTE ON ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY

OTTO PLAAT

**1. Introduction.** We consider a system of linear differential equations of the form

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} = [A + \epsilon B(t)]x$$

where  $x$  is an  $m$ -vector,  $A$  and  $B(t)$  are complex  $m \times m$  matrices,  $A$  is constant and skew-Hermitian ( $A^* = -A$ ),  $B$  is continuous for all real  $t$  and of period  $\omega > 0$ , and  $\epsilon$  is a small positive number. The problem of deciding the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of such a system is a common one in perturbation theory. The standard procedure for establishing the asymptotic stability of the trivial solution is to show that the characteristic multipliers have modulus less than 1 by expanding them in powers of  $\epsilon$  [1]. If  $A$  and  $B$  depend on a number of parameters, as is usually the case, the computations may be formidable. However, it is possible to exploit the fact that  $A$  is skew-Hermitian to obtain a sufficient condition for asymptotic stability which considerably reduces the computational labor—in some cases to little more than the inspection of a certain Hermitian matrix.

We employ the following terminology. If  $\phi(t)$ ,  $W(t)$  are  $m \times m$  matrices continuous for real  $t$  and  $\dot{W}(t) = \phi(t)W(t)$ ,  $W(0) = I$ , we say that  $W$  is the fundamental matrix of  $\dot{x} = \phi(t)x$ .  $C^*$  denotes the adjoint (conjugate transpose) of  $C$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be skew-Hermitian and  $B(t)$  continuous and of period  $\omega > 0$ . Let  $W_0$  be the fundamental matrix of  $\dot{x} = Ax$  and define  $C_n = \int_0^{n\omega} W_0^*(t)B(t)W_0(t)dt$ . If for some positive integer  $n$ ,  $C_n + C_n^*$  is negative definite, then for sufficiently small positive  $\epsilon$  the trivial solution of (1) is asymptotically stable.*

**2. Lemmas.** All matrices will be  $m$ -square.  $\|T\|$  is the operator norm of  $T$ ,

$$\|T\| = \max \{ \|Tx\|; \|x\| = 1 \}, \quad \text{where } \|x\| = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \bar{x}_i \right]^{1/2}.$$

$\rho[T]$  is the maximum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of  $T$ . Thus  $\|T\|^2 = \rho[TT^*]$ . We note that if  $W_0$  is the fundamental matrix of  $\dot{x} = Ax$ , where  $A^* = -A$ , then  $W_0^*(t) = W_0^{-1}(t)$ . We choose to circumvent Floquet's theorem by means of the following trivial lemma.

Received by the editors June 9, 1967.

LEMMA 1. Let  $W$  be the fundamental matrix of  $\dot{x} = \phi(t)x$ ,  $\phi$  continuous and of period  $\omega > 0$ .  $W(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  if (and only if)  $\|W(n\omega)\| < 1$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

PROOF.  $W(s+n\omega) = W(s)W^n(\omega)$  for all real  $s$  and integers  $n$ . Since any  $t$  can be written  $t = s+n\omega$ ,  $0 \leq s < \omega$ , we have  $W(t) = W(s)W^n(\omega)$ , where  $0 \leq s < \omega$ . The condition of asymptotic stability of the trivial solution,  $W(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , thus takes the form  $W^n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But this is equivalent to  $\|W^n(\omega)\| < 1$  for some  $n > 0$ . Since  $W^n(\omega) = W(n\omega)$ , the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. Let  $V(\epsilon) = I + \epsilon C + \epsilon^2 R(\epsilon)$ , where  $C$  is a matrix such that  $C + C^*$  is negative definite, and  $R(\epsilon)$  is continuous in  $\epsilon$ . Then  $\|V(\epsilon)\| < 1$  for sufficiently small positive  $\epsilon$ .

PROOF.  $\|V(\epsilon)\|^2 = \rho[V(\epsilon)V^*(\epsilon)]$ . Multiplying out yields  $V(\epsilon)V^*(\epsilon) = I + \epsilon T(\epsilon)$ , where  $T(\epsilon) = C + C^* + \epsilon S(\epsilon)$ ,  $S$  being continuous and Hermitian. By continuity, there is  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $T(\epsilon)$  is negative definite for  $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_0$ . It follows that if  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$  and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $V(\epsilon)V^*(\epsilon)$ , then  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ .

3. **Proof of the Theorem.** Let  $W(t, \epsilon)$  be the fundamental matrix of (1) and write  $W(t, \epsilon) = W_0(t)V(t, \epsilon)$ . Then

$$V(t, \epsilon) = I + \epsilon \int_0^t W_0^{-1}(s)B(s)W_0(s)V(s, \epsilon)ds.$$

Substituting for  $V$  in the integrand and replacing  $W_0^{-1}$  by  $W_0^*$  yields

$$V(t, \epsilon) = I + \epsilon \int_0^t W_0^*(s)B(s)W_0(s)ds + \epsilon^2 R(t, \epsilon),$$

where  $R$  is continuous. Let  $n$  be a positive integer for which  $C_n + C_n^*$  is negative definite. Then by Lemma 2,  $\|V(n\omega, \epsilon)\| < 1$  for sufficiently small positive  $\epsilon$ . Since  $W_0$  is unitary,  $\|W(t, \epsilon)\| = \|V(t, \epsilon)\|$ . Lemma 1 concludes the proof.

The theorem is easily extended to the case that  $B = B(t, \epsilon)$  provided  $B$  and  $\partial B/\partial \epsilon$  are continuous for  $|\epsilon|$  small. Except for the replacement of  $B(t)$  by  $B(t, 0)$  in the definition of  $C_n$ , the theorem and proof are unaltered.

The freedom offered by the arbitrariness of  $n$  can result in an appreciable computational simplification. If, for example, the off-diagonal entries of  $C_n + C_n^*$  are bounded in  $n$ , while the diagonal entries tend to  $-\infty$  with increasing  $n$ , the matrix is *obviously* negative definite for large  $n$ . Examples suggest that this situation is not unusual.

The author wishes to thank H. A. Antosiewicz for a suggestion which shortened the proof of the theorem.

#### REFERENCE

1. I. G. Malkin, *Theory of stability of motion*, translated from Russian, AEC-tr-3352, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Oak Ridge, Tenn. p. 224. (Available from the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C.)

UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO