

# THE PRIMITIVE SPECTRUM OF A TENSOR PRODUCT OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

AUBREY WULFSOHN

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be separable  $C^*$ -algebras,  $A \odot B$  their involutive algebraic tensor product and  $A \otimes B$  their  $C^*$ -tensor product. Let  $\text{Prim } A$  denote the primitive spectrum, i.e. the Jacobson structure space, of  $A$ , and let  $\hat{A}$  denote the spectrum of  $A$  [1, 2.9.7, 3.1.5]. Denote the homeomorphism  $(\pi, \nu) \mapsto \pi \otimes \nu$  of  $\hat{A} \times \hat{B}$  into  $(A \otimes B)^\wedge$  by  $\gamma$  [7]. We show that  $\gamma$  can be naturally projected to a homeomorphism of  $\text{Prim } A \times \text{Prim } B$  onto  $\text{Prim } A \otimes B$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\mathfrak{d}$  be a primitive ideal of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $D$ . If the canonic homomorphism  $D \rightarrow D/\mathfrak{d}$  is an isomorphism, then  $\mathfrak{d} = 0$ .*

PROOF. Evident.

PROPOSITION. *Every primitive ideal of  $A \otimes B$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Prim } A$ ,  $\mathfrak{b} \in \text{Prim } B$ .*

PROOF. Let  $z \in c \cap (A \odot B)$ , where  $c$  is a primitive ideal of  $A \otimes B$ . Suppose  $c = \text{Ker } \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is a factor representation of  $A \otimes B$ , and let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be the restrictions of  $\mu$  to  $A$  and  $B$  respectively [3]. Let  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ker } \mu_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Ker } \mu_2$ ; since  $A$  and  $B$  are separable,  $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Prim } A$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \in \text{Prim } B$  [2, p. 100]. So

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes y_i + \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \otimes b_j + \sum_{k=1}^N X_k \otimes Y_k,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathfrak{b}$ ;  $x_j, X_k \in A$ ;  $y_i, Y_k \in B$ ;  $X_k \notin \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $Y_k \notin \mathfrak{b}$ . Thus

$$0 = \mu(z) = \mu \left( \sum_{k=1}^N X_k \otimes Y_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^N \mu_1(X_k) \mu_2(Y_k).$$

Using [5, Theorem III], there exists a  $N \times N$  matrix  $(\alpha_{i,j})$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{i,j} \mu_1(X_i) = 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{i,j} \mu_2(Y_j) = \mu_2(Y_i)$ . Thus  $\sum_{k=1}^N X_k \otimes Y_k \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b}$ , and so  $c \cap (A \odot B) = \mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b}$ . It is easily seen that  $\|\mu(\cdot)\|$  is a compatible norm on  $(A \odot B)/(\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b})$ . Since the  $C^*$ -tensor product norm is the smallest compatible norm on  $A \odot B$  [6], its quotient norm will be the smallest compatible norm on  $(A \odot B)/(\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b})$ , and so  $\|\mu(z)\| \geq \|\hat{z}\|$ , where  $z \mapsto \hat{z}$  denotes the canonic homomorphism  $A \otimes B \rightarrow (A \otimes B)/(\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b})$ . The canonic homomorphism

---

Received by the editors December 5, 1966.

$$(A \otimes B)/(\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow (A \otimes B)/\mathfrak{c}$$

$$= [(A \otimes B)/(\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b})]/[\mathfrak{c}/(\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b})]$$

is thus an isomorphism. By Lemma 1,  $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b}$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $\pi$  and  $\nu$  are factor representations of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, then  $\text{Ker } \pi \otimes \nu = \text{Ker } \pi \otimes B + A \otimes \text{Ker } \nu$ . Thus  $(\text{Ker } \pi, \text{Ker } \nu) \mapsto \text{Ker } \pi \otimes \nu$  is a well-defined mapping of  $\text{Prim } A \times \text{Prim } B$  onto  $\text{Prim } A \otimes B$ .*

**PROOF.** The restrictions of  $\pi \otimes \nu$  to  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\pi$  and  $\nu$  respectively.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are primitive ideals of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, then  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b}$  is a primitive ideal of  $A \otimes B$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\pi, \nu$  be factor representations of  $A, B$  respectively such that  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ker } \pi, \mathfrak{b} = \text{Ker } \nu$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b}$  equals  $\text{Ker } \pi \otimes \nu$  and is thus primitive.

**COROLLARY 3** (cf. [8, PROPOSITION 1]). *If  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are primitive ideals of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, then  $(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes (B/\mathfrak{b})$  is isomorphic to  $(A \otimes B)/(\mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b})$ .*

**LEMMA 2.** *The mapping  $\alpha: (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) \mapsto \mathfrak{a} \otimes B + A \otimes \mathfrak{b}$  is a bijection of  $\text{Prim } A \times \text{Prim } B$  onto  $\text{Prim } A \otimes B$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}' \in \text{Prim } B, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \text{Prim } A, \mathfrak{a} \neq \mathfrak{a}'$ , let  $\mathfrak{a}' \ni x \in \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}' \ni y \notin \mathfrak{b}$ . Injectivity follows since  $\alpha(\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}') \ni x \otimes y \in \alpha(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$ . Surjectivity follows from the proposition above.

**THEOREM.** *The mapping  $\alpha$  is a homeomorphism of  $\text{Prim } A \times \text{Prim } B$  onto  $\text{Prim } A \otimes B$ .*

**PROOF.** The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times \hat{B} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \gamma(A \times \hat{B}) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ker} \\ \text{Prim } A \times \text{Prim } B & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Prim } A \otimes B \end{array}$$

is commutative,  $\psi$  denoting the canonic mapping.

**REMARK.** The  $C^*$ -algebra enveloping  $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{A}, \nu \in \hat{B}} \pi(A) \overline{\otimes} \nu(B)$ , where  $\pi(A) \overline{\otimes} \nu(B)$  is the algebra-of-operators tensor product of  $\pi(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$ , has spectrum  $\gamma(\hat{A} \times \hat{B})$ . It defines a tensor product equivalent in general neither to  $A \otimes B$  nor to the "projective" tensor product of Guichardet [4].

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Dixmier, *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
2. ———, *Sur les  $C^*$ -algèbres*, Bull. Math. Soc. France **88** (1960), 95–112.
3. A. Guichardet, *Caractères et représentations des produits tensoriels de  $C^*$ -algèbres*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **81** (1964), 189–206.
4. ———, *Tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **160** (1965), 986–989 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **6** (1965), 210–213.
5. F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators*, Ann. of Math. **37** (1936), 116–229.
6. M. Takesaki, *On the cross-norm of the direct product of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Tôhoku Math. J. **16** (1964), 111–122.
7. A. Wulfsohn, *Produits tensoriels de  $C^*$ -algèbres*, Bull. Sci. Math. **87** (1963), 13–27.
8. ———, *Le produit tensoriel de certaines  $C^*$ -algèbres*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **258** (1964), 6052–6054.

CENTRE DE PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, C.N.R.S., MARSEILLES, FRANCE