

PRIME IDEAL STRUCTURE OF RINGS OF BOUNDED CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

MARK MANDELKER

Introduction. The order structure of the family of prime ideals in the ring C of all real-valued continuous functions on a topological space has been extensively studied; in this paper we study the analogous problem in the subring C^* of *bounded* functions. The fundamental property of prime ideals in C^* is the following.

MAIN THEOREM. *Let M^* be any maximal ideal of C^* and let M be the unique maximal ideal of C such that the prime ideal $M \cap C^*$ is contained in M^* . Then every prime ideal contained in M^* is comparable with $M \cap C^*$.*

The proof involves topological properties of the Stone-Čech compactification βX of a completely regular Hausdorff space X .

Of special interest are the prime z -ideals of C^* . When X is a locally compact, σ -compact Hausdorff space, we show that the family of prime z -ideals of $C^*(X)$ contained in M^* is composed of two subfamilies, order-isomorphic with naturally corresponding families of prime z -ideals in the rings $C(X)$ and $C(\beta X - X)$.

1. Preliminaries. We shall use the terminology and notation of the Gillman-Jerison text [3]. Applying [3, Theorem 3.9], we immediately reduce the problem of the prime ideal structure of $C^*(X)$, and its relation to $C(X)$, to the case that X is a completely regular Hausdorff space. A basic property of prime ideals in rings of functions that will be used several times is a theorem of Kohls ([9, Theorem 2.4], see also [3, 14.8(a), 6.6(c)]): *In the ring $C(X)$, and also in $C^*(X)$, the prime ideals containing a given prime ideal form a chain.*

The proof of the main theorem is based on Kohls' result and the following theorem ([10, 4.4]; cf. [7, 3.1] and [6, p. 112]): *A prime z -filter \mathcal{Q} on a space T is minimal if and only if for every zero-set Z in \mathcal{Q} there is a zero-set W not in \mathcal{Q} such that $Z \cup W = T$.*

We shall use \mathfrak{N}^p and \mathfrak{O}^p to denote the z -filters $\mathbf{Z}[M^p]$ and $\mathbf{Z}[O^p]$, respectively.

2. The main theorem. Under the reduction made in §1 to the case of a completely regular Hausdorff space X , a maximal ideal of $C^*(X)$ corresponds to a point p of βX and is denoted M^{*p} , and the maximal ideal M^p of $C(X)$ that corresponds to p is the unique maximal ideal

Received by the editors July 28, 1967.

of $C(X)$ such that $M^p \cap C^* \subseteq M^{*p}$ (see [3, Chapter 7]). Thus the main theorem takes the following form.

THEOREM I. *Let $p \in \beta X$. Every prime ideal P of $C^*(X)$ contained in M^{*p} is comparable with $M^p \cap C^*$. Specifically, $P \subseteq M^p \cap C^*$ if and only if P contains no unit of C , while $M^p \cap C^* \subset P$ if and only if P contains a unit of C .*

PROOF. Let P be any prime ideal of $C^*(X)$ with $P \subseteq M^{*p}$. Choose a minimal prime ideal Q with $Q \subseteq P$. To prove that P and $M^p \cap C^*$ are comparable, it suffices to show that $Q \subseteq M^p \cap C^*$, for then P and $M^p \cap C^*$ both contain the prime ideal Q .

To show that $Q \subseteq M^p \cap C^*$, we first pass to the ring $C(\beta X)$ by means of the canonical isomorphism $f \rightarrow f^\beta$ of $C^*(X)$ onto $C(\beta X)$ [3, 6.6(b)], and then we pass to the family of prime z -filters on βX [3, 2.12]. According to the Gelfand-Kolmogoroff theorem [3, 7.3], the prime ideal in $C(\beta X)$ corresponding to $M^p \cap C^*$ is given by

$$(M^p \cap C^*)^\beta = \{g \in C(\beta X) : p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_X(g \mid X)\}.$$

Since $Z_X(g \mid X) = Z_{\beta X}(g) \cap X$, this is a z -ideal in $C(\beta X)$. We denote the corresponding prime z -filter on βX by \mathfrak{X}^p ; thus

$$\mathfrak{X}^p = \{Z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\beta X) : p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z \cap X)\}.$$

Also, the minimal prime ideal Q^β of $C(\beta X)$ corresponding to Q is a z -ideal [3, 14.7]; we denote the corresponding minimal prime z -filter on βX by \mathfrak{Q} . Let $Z \in \mathfrak{Q}$ and let V be any zero-set-neighborhood of p in βX . Since $\mathfrak{Q} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_{\beta X}^p$ and $V \in \mathfrak{O}_{\beta X}^p$ we have $V \in \mathfrak{Q}$ [3, 7.15] and thus $V \cap Z \in \mathfrak{Q}$. Using the minimality of \mathfrak{Q} , we choose a zero-set W not in \mathfrak{Q} such that $(V \cap Z) \cup W = \beta X$. If $V \cap Z$ has empty interior in βX , then W is dense in βX ; so $W = \beta X$ and $W \in \mathfrak{Q}$, contradicting the choice of W . Hence $V \cap Z$ has nonempty interior in βX , and thus $(V \cap Z) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. This shows that every neighborhood of p in βX meets $Z \cap X$; hence $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z \cap X)$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{X}^p$. Thus $\mathfrak{Q} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}^p$ and it follows that $Q \subseteq M^p \cap C^*$.

Now assume that P contains no unit of C . Let $f \in P$ and let V be any zero-set-neighborhood of p in βX . Since $\mathfrak{Z}[P^\beta]$ is a prime z -filter on βX contained in $\mathfrak{N}_{\beta X}^p$, we have $V \in \mathfrak{Z}[P^\beta]$, so that $V \cap \mathfrak{Z}(f^\beta) \in \mathfrak{Z}[P^\beta]$ and thus also $V \cap \mathfrak{Z}(f) \in \mathfrak{Z}[P]$. Since P contains no unit of C , $V \cap \mathfrak{Z}(f) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} \mathfrak{Z}(f)$, i.e., $f \in M^p$. Thus $P \subseteq M^p \cap C^*$. The converse is immediate, and the last statement then follows from the comparability.

REMARKS. The second part of the theorem generalizes [3, 7.9]: $M^{*p} = M^p \cap C^*$ if and only if M^{*p} contains no unit of C .

We also note that a nonunit of C in M^{*p} need not be contained in $M^p \cap C^*$. For example, choose any function g in $C^*(\mathbf{R})$ that vanishes at infinity and has nonempty compact zero-set. Then g is a nonunit of C and for any $p \in \beta\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$, we have $g \in M^{*p}$ but $g \notin M^p \cap C^*$. Whenever $f^\beta(p) = 0$, $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$, but $p \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$, Theorem I shows that although $f \in M^{*p}$ and f is a nonunit of C , M^{*p} contains no prime ideal that contains f and contains only nonunits of C .

3. ***z*-ideals in C^* .** As in C , a *z*-ideal in C^* is an ideal I that contains any function that belongs to the same maximal ideals as some function in I (see [8, p. 30] and [3, 2.7, 4A.5]). Thus the *z*-ideals of $C^*(X)$ are the ideals that correspond to *z*-ideals of $C(\beta X)$ under the isomorphism $f \rightarrow f^\beta$, and the family of all prime *z*-ideals of $C^*(X)$ is order-isomorphic with the family of all prime *z*-filters on βX [3, 2.12].

Every minimal prime ideal in C is a *z*-ideal [3, 14.7]. Thus a prime ideal in C is minimal if it contains no prime *z*-ideals. Also, if the prime *z*-ideals contained in a given maximal ideal of C form a chain, then all the prime ideals contained in that maximal ideal form a chain. By [3, 6.6(c)], prime ideals in C^* also have these properties.

4. **The isomorphism theorem.** In the case that $\beta X - X$ is a zero-set in βX (equivalently, that X is locally compact and σ -compact), the prime *z*-ideal structure of $C^*(X)$ may be described entirely in terms of prime *z*-ideals in the rings $C(X)$ and $C(\beta X - X)$. Some of the known results on the structure of these rings will be applied in §§6 and 7 to obtain information on the structure of $C^*(X)$.

When X is locally compact and σ -compact, there is a bounded unit of C that belongs to M^{*p} for every $p \in \beta X - X$; thus $M^p \cap C^* \neq M^{*p}$ if and only if $p \notin X$.

THEOREM II. *Let X be locally compact and σ -compact, and let $p \in \beta X$.*

(a) *The family of prime *z*-ideals of $C^*(X)$ contained in $M^p \cap C^*$ is order-isomorphic with the family of prime *z*-ideals of $C(X)$ contained in M^p .*

(b) *The family of prime *z*-ideals of $C^*(X)$ properly containing $M^p \cap C^*$ (when $p \notin X$) is order-isomorphic with the family of prime *z*-ideals of $C(\beta X - X)$ contained in $M^p_{\beta X - X}$.*

PROOF. We first place the prime *z*-ideals contained in M^{*p} in order-preserving correspondence with the prime *z*-filters on βX contained in $\mathfrak{N}^p_{\beta X}$, by means of the mapping $P \rightarrow Z[P^\beta]$. Under this mapping, we have $M^p \cap C^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^p$ (see §2). The order-isomorphisms will now be obtained by means of traces and induced *z*-filters [10, §5].

(a) If \mathcal{O} is a prime *z*-filter contained in \mathfrak{N}^p , then every member of

\mathcal{O} meets X . By [10, Theorem 5.2], the trace

$$\mathcal{O}|X = \{Z \cap X : Z \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

of \mathcal{O} on X is a prime z -filter on X . Since $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}^p$, we have $\mathcal{O}|X \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_X^p$. If \mathcal{Q} is any prime z -filter on X contained in \mathfrak{N}_X^p , the induced prime z -filter

$$\mathcal{Q}^\# = \{Z \in \mathbf{Z}(\beta X) : Z \cap X \in \mathcal{Q}\}$$

is clearly contained in \mathfrak{N}^p , and $\mathcal{Q}^\#|X = \mathcal{Q}$. Hence the mapping $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}|X$, for $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}^p$, is onto the family of prime z -filters on X contained in \mathfrak{N}_X^p . For any $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}^p$, it is immediate that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq (\mathcal{O}|X)^\#$. Now let $Z \in (\mathcal{O}|X)^\#$; thus there is $W \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $Z \cap X = W \cap X$. Since $W \subseteq Z \cup (\beta X - X)$, we have $Z \cup (\beta X - X) \in \mathcal{O}$. But $\beta X - X \notin \mathcal{O}$, so $Z \in \mathcal{O}$. Hence $\mathcal{O} = (\mathcal{O}|X)^\#$ and it follows that the mapping is one-to-one.

(b) If \mathcal{O} is a prime z -filter on βX properly containing \mathfrak{N}^p , then by [10, Theorem 5.2], the trace $\mathcal{O}|(\beta X - X)$ is a prime z -filter on $\beta X - X$. Since $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\beta X}^p$, we have $\mathcal{O}|(\beta X - X) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\beta X - X}^p$. If \mathcal{Q} is any prime z -filter on $\beta X - X$ contained in $\mathfrak{M}_{\beta X - X}^p$, the induced z -filter

$$\mathcal{Q}^\# = \{Z \in \mathbf{Z}(\beta X) : Z \cap (\beta X - X) \in \mathcal{Q}\}$$

is prime and $\mathcal{Q}^\#|(\beta X - X) = \mathcal{Q}$. Since $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\beta X - X}^p$, we have $\mathcal{Q}^\# \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\beta X}^p$. Furthermore, the zero-set $\beta X - X$ is in $\mathcal{Q}^\#$ but clearly not in \mathfrak{N}^p ; hence $\mathcal{Q}^\# \not\subseteq \mathfrak{N}^p$. It now follows from Theorem I that $\mathcal{Q}^\#$ properly contains \mathfrak{N}^p . Thus the mapping $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}|(\beta X - X)$, for $\mathfrak{N}^p \subset \mathcal{O}$, is onto the family of prime z -filters on $\beta X - X$ contained in $\mathfrak{M}_{\beta X - X}^p$. It is clear that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq (\mathcal{O}|(\beta X - X))^\#$. Now let $Z \in (\mathcal{O}|(\beta X - X))^\#$; thus there is $W \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $Z \cap (\beta X - X) = W \cap (\beta X - X)$. By Theorem I the z -ideal corresponding to \mathcal{O} contains a unit of C , and thus $\beta X - X \in \mathcal{O}$. It follows that $Z \in \mathcal{O}$. Hence $\mathcal{O} = (\mathcal{O}|(\beta X - X))^\#$ and the mapping is one-to-one.

5. An application to F -spaces. An immediate consequence of Theorem II is a well-known theorem on F -spaces [2, 2.7], (see also [3, 14.27] and [11, 3.3]). A space T is an F -space if every finitely generated ideal in $C(T)$ is principal, or, equivalently, if the prime ideals contained in any given maximal ideal form a chain [3, 14.25]. In part (b) of Theorem II, the prime z -ideals properly containing the prime ideal $\mathbf{M}^p \cap C^*$ form a chain; thus we have

COROLLARY 1 (GILLMAN-HENRIKSEN). *If X is locally compact and σ -compact, then $\beta X - X$ is a compact F -space.*

6. **Immediate successors.** It was shown in [4, p. 432] that if X is locally compact and σ -compact, and $p \in \beta X - X$, then \mathfrak{N}^p has an immediate successor $(\mathfrak{N}^p)^+$ in the family of prime z -filters on βX , generated by \mathfrak{N}^p and the zero-set $\beta X - X$, i.e.

$$(\mathfrak{N}^p)^+ = (\mathfrak{N}^p, \beta X - X).$$

(This result may also be obtained from Theorem I, which shows that a prime z -filter contained in $\mathfrak{N}_{\beta X}^p$ properly contains \mathfrak{N}^p if and only if it contains the zero-set $\beta X - X$.)

Furthermore, it is shown in [4, p. 433] that in the case of the countably infinite discrete space \mathbf{N} ,

$$(\mathfrak{N}^p)^+ = (\mathfrak{O}_{\beta \mathbf{N}}^p, \beta \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}).$$

We now generalize this as follows.

COROLLARY 2. *Let X be locally compact and σ -compact, and let $p \in \beta X - X$. Then*

$$(\mathfrak{N}^p)^+ = (\mathfrak{O}_{\beta X}^p, \beta X - X).$$

Hence the immediate successor of $\mathbf{M}^p \cap C^$ in the family of prime z -ideals of $C^*(X)$ consists of all functions f such that f^β vanishes on a neighborhood of p in $\beta X - X$.*

PROOF. According to the construction of the second isomorphism in §4, we have $(\mathfrak{N}^p)^+ = (\mathfrak{O}_{\beta X - X}^p)^\#$, and it is easily verified that

$$(\mathfrak{O}_{\beta X}^p, \beta X - X) = (\mathfrak{O}_{\beta X - X}^p)^\#.$$

REMARK. The present paper began with the observation that although $\mathfrak{O}_{\beta \mathbf{R}}^p$ is usually *not* prime (see [10, Theorem 11.2]), the z -filter $(\mathfrak{O}_{\beta \mathbf{R}}^p, \beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R})$ is *always* prime, because of the above representation as an induced z -filter and the Gillman-Henriksen theorem of §5. This raised the question of its relation to \mathfrak{N}^p and $(\mathfrak{N}^p, \beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R})$.

7. **Remote points and P -points.** For any space X , a point p in βX is called a *remote point* in βX if every member of \mathfrak{N}_X^p has non-empty interior (see [1]). When X is a metric space, *remote* generalizes *isolated*: a point p in X itself is a remote point in βX if and only if it is an isolated point of X . Also, if D is a discrete space, every point in βD is a remote point in βD . When X is a metric space with no isolated points, a point p in βX is a remote point in βX if and only if p is in the closure of no discrete subset of X (see [5, §23, VIII]).

Under the continuum hypothesis, the existence of remote points in $\beta\mathbf{R}$ was shown in [1]. It is shown in Theorem 11.2 of [10] (the proof given there for the real line is also valid for the case considered here) that if X is a separable metric space and $p \in \beta X$, the following are equivalent: (a) The prime ideals contained in M_X^p form a chain. (b) M_X^p is a minimal prime ideal. (c) p is a remote point in βX .

A point p of a space T is a P -point of T if every zero-set containing p is a neighborhood of p [3, 4L]; equivalently, if M_p^0 is a minimal prime ideal [3, 14.12]. Under the continuum hypothesis, there exist P -points of $\beta X - X$ whenever X is locally compact but not pseudo-compact [3, 9M].

Assuming the continuum hypothesis, Donald Plank [12, Theorem 6.2] has recently discovered points in $\beta\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$ that are both remote points in $\beta\mathbf{R}$ and P -points of $\beta\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$, points that are remote points but not P -points, points that are P -points but not remote points, and also points that are neither. He has also shown that each of these four classes of points is a dense subset of $\beta\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$ of cardinal 2^c . These points provide examples for the various types of prime ideal structure of C^* described below.

Applying Theorems I and II, and Theorem 11.2 of [10] (as stated above), we obtain the following relations between points in βX and the prime ideal structure of $C^*(X)$. (Corollary 4 generalizes [4, Theorem 3.10], which gives the result for the case $X = \mathbf{N}$.)

COROLLARY 3. *Let X be a locally compact, σ -compact metric space, and let $p \in \beta X$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *The prime ideals of C^* contained in M^{*p} form a chain.*
- (b) *$M^p \cap C^*$ is a minimal prime ideal of C^* .*
- (c) *p is a remote point in βX .*

COROLLARY 4. *Let X be locally compact and σ -compact, and let $p \in \beta X - X$. Then M^{*p} is the immediate successor of $M^p \cap C^*$ in the family of prime z -ideals of $C^*(X)$ if and only if p is a P -point of $\beta X - X$.*

COROLLARY 5. *Let X be a locally compact, σ -compact metric space, and let $p \in \beta X - X$. Then the family of prime z -ideals of C^* contained in M^{*p} consists of just the two ideals M^{*p} and $M^p \cap C^*$ if and only if p is both a remote point in βX and a P -point of $\beta X - X$.*

REFERENCES

1. N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Remote points in $\beta\mathbf{R}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 29-36.
2. L. Gillman and M. Henriksen, *Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principal*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1956), 366-391.

3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.
4. ———, *Quotient fields of residue class rings of function rings*, Illinois J. Math. **4** (1960), 425–436.
5. F. Hausdorff, *Mengenlehre*, 3rd ed., Göschens Lehrbuch. 7, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1935.
6. M. Henriksen and M. Jerison, *The space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 110–130.
7. J. Kist, *Minimal prime ideals in commutative semigroups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **13** (1963), 31–50.
8. C. W. Kohls, *Ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Fund. Math. **45** (1958), 28–50.
9. ———, *Prime ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Illinois J. Math. **2** (1958), 505–536.
10. M. Mandelker, *Prime z -ideal structure of $C(\mathbf{R})$* , Fund. Math. **63** (1968), 145–166.
11. S. Negrepontis, *Absolute Baire sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 691–694.
12. D. Plank, *On a class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ with applications to $\beta X - X$* , Fund. Math. (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS